SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
3 1223 10055 5607

5/S

San Francisco Public Library

Government Information Center
San Francisco Public Library
100 Larkin Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

REFERENCE BOOK

Not to be taken from the Library

3 1223 10055 5607

41 Library
100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

FILE NO. 002211 MOTION NO. M01-1

[Motion amending proposed schedule of management audits of City and County Departments.]

Motion amending proposed schedule of management audits to be conducted of programs of City and County Departments by the Budget Analyst.

WHEREAS, It is the policy of the Board of Supervisors that each department of the City and County of San Francisco be the subject of regular management audits; and,

WHEREAS, The prioritized list of management audits is contained in Motion 008 File 00-0039; and

WHEREAS, The Department of Public Health has requested several multi-million dollar supplemental appropriations in recent years; and

WHEREAS, The demand on health care services and the cost of those services are rising; and

WHEREAS, A management audit of the Public Health Department will enable the department to decrease demands on the General Fund and enhance the Department's ability to provide greater services; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby amend the audit priority list contained in Motion 8, File 000039 by directing the Budget Analyst to perform a managerial audit of the Department of Public Health immediately after completion of the San Francisco Fire Department Audit.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 18 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 002211 **Date Passed:** January 2, 2001

Motion amending proposed schedule of management audits to be conducted of programs of City and County Departments by the Budget Analyst.

January 2, 2001 Board of Supervisors — SEVERED FROM FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA

January 2, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED
Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Becerril, Bierman, Brown, Katz, Kaufman, Leno, Newsom, Teng, Yaki, Yee

File No. 002211

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on January 2, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 002212 MOTION NO. M01-2

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
JAN 18 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 002212 **Date Passed:** January 2, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

January 2, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Becerril, Bierman, Brown, Katz, Kaufman, Leno, Newsom, Teng, Yaki, Yee

File No. 002212

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on January 2, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010040 MOTION NO. M01-3

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisors Ammiano, Yee, Newsom, Leno

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010040 **Date Passed:** January 16, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

January 16, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Leno, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010040

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on January 16, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Jean Lum
Acting Clerk of the Board

As amended in committee
January 22, 2001.

FILE NO. 010083 MOTION NO. M01-4

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

[Rules of Order; Committees]

Amending the Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by repealing Sections 5.1 through 5.11 and replacing them with new Sections 5.1 through 5.10, thereby creating a new committee structure of the Board.

Moved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby repeal Sections 5.1 through 5.11 and replace them with new Sections 5.1 through 5.10 of its Rules of Order as follows:

5. Committees

5.1 Finance Committee. The Finance Committee shall be referred appropriation ordinances, and measures concerning bond issues, taxes, fees and other revenue measures, redevelopment, and real estate.

5.2 Housing, Transportation and Land Use Committee. The Housing, Transportation and Land Use Committee shall be referred measures concerning rent control, the San Francisco Housing Authority, housing subsidy and preservation programs, homeless services, planning, land use, transportation, and the Municipal Railway.

5.3 Public Health and Environment Committee. The Public Health and Environment Committee shall be referred measures concerning public health, emergency services, the environment, air and water quality, base closures, integrated waste management, and implementation of the Sustainability Plan.

5.4 Neighborhood Services and Parks. The Neighborhood Services and Parks Committee shall be referred measures concerning public safety, Golden Gate Park, neighborhood parks, after school programs, city recreation, public works, and infrastructure.

5.5 Rules Committee. The Rules Committee shall be referred measures concerning appointments, ballot measures and ballot arguments (except concerning bond issues), Charter amendments, Grand Jury reports, and amendments to the Administrative Code.

5.6 Economic Vitality, Small Business, and Social Policy Committee. The Economic Vitality, Small Business, and Social Policy Committee shall be referred measures concerning the promotion of economic development, employment and training, small business preservation, tourism, consumer protection, seniors, the disabled, delinquency prevention, children and their families, general assistance, discrimination, and human rights.

5.7 Audit, Labor and Government Efficiency Committee. The Audit, Labor and Government Efficiency Committee shall be referred measures concerning audits of City departments and agencies, labor agreements, claims, litigation, and rewards and customer service delivered by City government to constituents. The Audit and Government Efficiency Committee shall:

5.7.1 Maintain a direct and separate line of communication between the Board and the City and County's independent auditor;

5.7.2 Meet with the independent auditor to review the audited annual financial statement and the auditor's report on such matters as the quality and depth of management and compliance;

5.7.3 Recommend appropriate action to be taken by the Board to implement recommendations contained in the audit report;

5.7.4 Follow up, as necessary, to ensure that approved recommendations are promptly implemented;

5.7.5 Review proposed memoranda of understanding with City employee unions;

5.7.6 Review recommendations of the Controller and of the Budget Analyst contained in their performance audits and management audits; and

5.7.7 Perform other duties as assigned by the Board.

5.8 Public Utilities and Deregulation Committee. The Public Utilities and Deregulation ~~Select~~ Committee shall be referred measures concerning public and private utilities and the effects of competition and deregulation on consumers.

5.9 City and School District Committee. The City and School District ~~Select~~ Committee shall be referred measures concerning issues of mutual interest that affect the City and the School District, its employees, its students, and the families of its students, including the issue of the public use of School District facilities.

5.10 Fiscal Committees. In accordance with Administrative Code Section 2.6-3 concerning fiscal impact, the Finance Committee shall be a "fiscal committee" of the Board, the Audit, Labor and Government Efficiency Committee shall be a "fiscal committee" of the Board for purposes of considering proposed memoranda of understanding with City employee unions, and the other committees of the Board, solely for the purpose of considering grants to the City within their subject areas, shall also be considered "fiscal committees."

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010083 **Date Passed:** January 22, 2001

Motion amending the Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by repealing Sections 5.1 through 5.11 and replacing them with new Sections 5.1 through 5.10, thereby creating a new committee structure of the Board.

January 22, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Leno, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 010083

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on January 22, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

DOCUMENTS DEPT

FEB - 1 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 010095 **MOTION NO.** M01-5

[Parcel Map Mission Bay]

Motion approving Parcel Map, Mission Bay (Block N1), a 2 lot subdivision project, being a subdivision of Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 8702, and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan and City Planning Code Section 101.1 and the Mission Bay North Redevelopment Plan and Plan Documents.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "PARCEL MAP, MISSION BAY, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF LOT 1 OF ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 8702 AS SHOWN ON THAT CERTAIN MAP ENTITLED "MAP OF MISSION BAY" RECORDED ON JULY 19, 1999 IN BOOK Z OF MAPS AT PAGES 97-119 IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO" comprising 4 sheets, approved the January 17, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No.172,750 together with the Public Improvement Agreement for Mission Bay North Block 8702 (Block N1) dated January 17, 2001 between the City and County of San Francisco and Catellus Development Corporation are hereby approved and adopted as the Official Parcel Map of "Mission Bay" (Assessor's Block 8702 Lot 1.)

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors accept on behalf of the public the Catellus Development Corporation's waiver of/relinquishment of Vehicular Rights of Ingress and Egress and accepts, subject to improvements, all other dedications offered on this Parcel Map.

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Department, by its letter dated December 19, 2000, that the proposed development is consistent with the objectives and policies of the General Plan and the Eight Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the Planning Code.

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, by its letter dated December 18, 2000, that the proposed development is consistent with the Mission Bay North Redevelopment Plan and Plan Documents (as such term is defined therein).

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the Mission Bay Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

1/16/01
Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., RCE
Division Manager on behalf of
Harlan L. Kelly, Jr., City Engineer

J:\Mission Bay\Blk N-1\Legislation\Leg.doc

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010095 **Date Passed:** January 22, 2001

Motion approving Parcel Map, Mission Bay (Block N1), a 2 lot subdivision project, being a subdivision of Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 8702, and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan and City Planning Code Section 101.1 and the Mission Bay North Redevelopment Plan and Plan Documents.

January 22, 2001 Board of Supervisors — SEVERED FROM FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA

January 22, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Leno, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

File No. 010095

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on January 22, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

DOCUMENTS DEPT

FEB - 1 [illegible]

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 010101 **MOTION NO.** M01-6

[Final Map, Planned Development, Mission Bay]

Motion approving Final Map, Planned Development, Mission Bay (Blocks 41, 42, 43, and 45), an 11 lot subdivision project, being Phase 1 of a subdivision of Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 8709, and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan and City Planning Code Section 101.1 and the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Plan and Plan Documents.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "FINAL MAP, PLANNED DEVELOPMENT, MISSION BAY, BEING PHASE 1 OF A SUBDIVISION OF LOT 1 OF ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 8709 AS SHOWN ON THAT CERTAIN MAP ENTITLED "MAP OF MISSION BAY" RECORDED ON JULY 19, 1999 IN BOOK Z OF MAPS AT PAGES 97-119 IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO" comprising 9 sheets, approved the January 10, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No.172,743 together with the Public Improvement Agreement for Mission Bay South Block 8709 Phase 1 (Blocks 41, 42, 43, and 45) dated January 10, 2001 between the City and County of San Francisco and Catellus Development Corporation are hereby approved and adopted as the Official Final Map of "Mission Bay" (for Phase 1 Assessor's Block 8709 Lot 1.)

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors accepts on behalf of the public for emergency vehicle access purposes those strips of land delineated and designated on said Final Map of Mission Bay as: "EMERGENCY VEHICLE ACCESS EASEMENT (E.V.A.E.)" and accepts subject to improvements all other dedications offered on this Final Map.

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Department, by its letter dated December 19, 2000, that the proposed development is consistent with the objectives and policies of the General Plan and the Eight Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the Planning Code.

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, by its letter dated October 16, 2000, that the proposed development is consistent with the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Plan and Plan Documents (as such term is defined therein).

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the Mission Bay Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., RCE
Division Manager on behalf of
Harlan L. Kelly, Jr., City Engineer

J:\Mission Bay\Blks 41-43\Project Documents\Legislation\Ph1Leg.doc

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010101 **Date Passed:** January 22, 2001

Motion approving Final Map, Planned Development, Mission Bay, (Blocks 41, 42, 43, and 45), an 11 Lot Subdivision Project, being Phase 1 of a subdivision of Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 8709, and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan and City Planning Code Section 101.1 and the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Plan and Plan Documents.

January 22, 2001 Board of Supervisors — SEVERED FROM FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA

January 22, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Leno, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

41 Library
100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

File No. 010101

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on January 22, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

DOCUMENTS DEPT

FEB - 5 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 010138 MOTION NO. M01-7

[Suspend 30 Day Rule – Rent Passthrough]

MOTION SUSPENDING RULE 5.43 OF THE RULES OF ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO ALLOW THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO CONSIDER, WITHOUT WAITING 30 DAYS AFTER ITS INTRODUCTION, THE ORDINANCE AMENDING ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTER 37 "RESIDENTIAL RENT STABILIZATION AND ARBITRATION ORDINANCE" BY ADDING A NEW SECTION 37.8C "TEMPORARY MORATORIUM ON RENT BOARD PROCESSING OR APPROVAL OF LANDLORD PETITIONS FOR CERTIFICATION AND PASSTHROUGH OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT, REHABILITATION AND ENERGY CONSERVATION COSTS TO TENANTS, DURING PENDENCY OF THE SUPERIOR COURT'S PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION STAYING IMPLEMENTATION OF NOVEMBER 2000 PROPOSITION H."

WHEREAS, Rule 5.43 of the Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors requires that when a measure is introduced that would create or revise major City policy, the measure shall not be considered until at least 30 days after the day of the measure's introduction;

WHEREAS, Rule 4.38 of the Rules of order of the Board allows Rule 5.43 to be suspended by the affirmative vote of eight members of the Board, unless there be less than eight members present, in which case the unanimous consent of the members present, but not less than six members, shall be required; and

WHEREAS, The members of the Board of Supervisors wish to suspend the operation of Rule 5.43 with regard to the consideration of File 010060, establishing a temporary moratorium on the Rent Stabilization Board's processing or approval of landlords' requests for passthrough of capital improvement; now, therefore, be it

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010138 **Date Passed:** January 29, 2001

Motion suspending Rule 5.43 of the Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors to allow the Board of Supervisors to consider, without waiting 30 days after its introduction, Ordinance amending Administrative Code Chapter 37 "Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance" by adding a new Section 37.8C "Temporary Moratorium on Rent Board processing or approval of landlord petitions for certification and passthrough of capital improvement, rehabilitation and energy conservation costs to tenants, during pendency of the Superior Court's preliminary injunction staying implementation of November 2000 Proposition H."

January 29, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Leno, Newsom, Peskin
Absent: 2 - Sandoval, Yee

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

FEB - 8 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 010139 **MOTION NO.** M01-8

[Final Map for a 19 Unit Mixed Use Condominium Project]

Motion Approving Final Map of 1801-1807 Polk Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project, Being A Subdivision of Lot 3, Assessor's Block No. 598, and Adopting Findings Pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 1801-1807 Polk Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 3, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 598, comprising 9 sheets, approved the 24th day of January, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,762, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "1801-1807 Polk Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated February 3, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the Master Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

FILE NO. 010140 MOTION NO. M01-9

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB - 8
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom, Yee, Leno, Daly, Peskin
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

FEB - 8 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 010143 **MOTION NO.** M01-10

[Final Map for a 12 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion Approving Final Map of 500 Bryant Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 9,Assessor's Block No. 3762, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "500 Bryant A Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 9, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3762, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 24th day of January, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,763, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "500 Bryant Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated June 19, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr. - RCE
Division Manager

FILE NO. 010051 **MOTION NO.** M01-11

[Final Map for a 31 Unit Mixed Use Condominium Project]

Motion Approving Final Map of The Allied Box Factory, a Mixed Use Development Commercial Condominium Project (2169 Folsom Street), being a subdivision of Lot 74, Assessor's Block No. 3573, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of The Allied Box Factory A Mixed Use Development Live/Work and Commercial Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 74, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3573, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 10th day of January, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,749, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "The Allied Box Factory A Mixed Use Development Live/Work and Commercial Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated November 5, 1999, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the Master Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 and Notice of Special Restrictions File No. G53647.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr. - RCE
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB - 8 2001
SAN FRANCISCO

File No. 010051

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on January 29, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

41 Library
100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

41 Library
100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE - 2/7/01

FILE NO. 002053 MOTION NO. M01-12

[Golden Gate/Neighborhood Park Bond Award]

Motion Awarding Bonds and Fixing Definitive Interest Rates for $17,060,000 General Obligation Bonds (Golden Gate Park Improvements, 1992) and Series 2001A; $14,060,000 General Obligation Bonds (Neighborhood Recreation and Park Facilities Improvement Bonds, 2000) Series 2001B.

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco (the "City"), by its Resolution No. 879-00; and by its Resolution 880-00, (each, an "Authorizing Resolution" and collectively, the "Authorizing Resolutions"), each adopted on October 10, 2000, duly authorized the public sale of not to exceed $31,120,000 principal amount of general obligation bonds of the City and County of San Francisco (collectively, the "Bonds"), consisting of the following series, all as more particularly described in the Official Notice of Sale, dated January 24, 2001 (the "Official Notice of Sale"):

> $17,060,000 General Obligation Bonds (Golden Gate Park Improvements, 1992), Series 2001A; $14,060,000 General Obligation Bonds (Neighborhood Recreation and Park Facilities Improvement Bonds, 2000) Series 2001B.

WHEREAS, The notice of the sale of the Bonds has been duly given in the manner prescribed by the Authorizing Resolutions and the following bids for the Bonds were the only bids received by the City in accordance with the Official Notice of Sale (a copy of each bid is attached to this motion and incorporated herein by this reference):

///

///

///

///

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 2 0 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Name of Bidder	True Interest Cost to City
EBONDTRADE LLC	4.418729%
US Bancorp Piper Jaffray	4.475844
PaineWebber Inc.	4.479841
Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co.	4.481449
Merrill Lynch & Co.	4.482084
Banc of America Securities, LLC	4.487247
Prudential Securities, Inc.	4.492645
Sutro & Co., Inc.	4.499981

WHEREAS, the Authorizing Resolutions authorized the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors to award the Bonds to the bidder whose bid represents the lowest true interest cost to the City in accordance with the provisions described in the Official Notice of Sale; and

WHEREAS, The bid of EBONDTRADE LLC is the bid for the Bonds, taking into consideration the interest rates and premium, if any, specified therein, that represents the lowest true interest cost to the City; now, therefore be it

MOVED, By the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors of the City and Count of San Francisco, as follows:

1. The bid of EBONDTRADE LLC for the Bonds is hereby accepted, and the Treasure of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to deliver the Bonds to EBONDTRADE LLC upon payment to the Treasurer of the purchase price of $31,250,776.50 together with accrued interest to the date of delivery.

The Bonds will mature and bear interest as set forth in Appendix A hereto.

The Bonds shall bear interest from February 21, 2001 at the foregoing rates, payable on June 15, 2001, and semiannually thereafter on June 15 and December 15 in each year.

2. All bids except the bid of EBONDTRADE LLC are hereby rejected.

File Number: 002053 **Date Passed:** February 7, 2001

Motion awarding Bonds and fixing definitive interest rates for $17,060,000 General Obligation Bonds (Golden Gate Park Improvements, 1992) and Series 2001A; $14,060,000 General Obligation Bonds (Neighborhood Recreation and Park Facilities Improvement Bonds, 2000) Series 2001B.

February 7, 2001 Finance Committee - AWARDED

Ayes: 3 - Leno, Peskin, Gonzalez

File No. 002053

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was AWARDED on February 7, 2001 by the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 002110 MOTION NO. M01-13

[Muncipal Utility District Wards]

ESTABLISHING WARDS FOR PROPOSED MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT ELECTION.

A petition has been circulated, and referred to the Board of Supervisors by the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission, for submission to the voters proposing formation of a Municipal Utility District.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That pursuant to California Public Utilities Code section 11642, wards for the proposed Municipal Utility District are hereby established, bounded and described as follows:

FIRST WARD shall comprise all of that portion of the City and County of San Francisco commencing at the point of intersection of the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean and a straight-line extension of Lincoln Way; thence easterly along Lincoln Way to Nineteenth Avenue; thence southerly along Nineteenth Avenue to Judah Street continuing on Parnassus Avenue; thence easterly along a straight-line extension of Parnassus Avenue to the western boundary of the campus of the University of California San Francisco; thence generally northeasterly along the northern boundary of the said campus; thence generally southerly, southeasterly, easterly and northeasterly along the eastern and northeastern boundary of said campus to intersect Stanyan Street; thence southerly in a straight line extension of Stanyan Street to Clarendon Avenue; thence easterly and northeasterly along Clarendon Avenue to Twin Peaks Boulevard; thence northeasterly along Twin Peaks Boulevard to Clayton Street; thence northerly along Clayton Street to Ashbury Street; thence northeasterly and north along Ashbury Street to Frederick Street; thence easterly along Frederick Street to Buena Vista Avenue West; thence southerly along Buena Vista Avenue West and along the southeasterly boundary of Buena Vista Park to Buena Vista Avenue East; continuing on Buena Vista Avenue East easterly and northeasterly along the boundaries of said park to the intersection with Duboce Avenue; thence easterly along Duboce Street to Market Street; thence

SUPERVISORS AMMIANO, McGoldrick
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 2 0 2001
SAN FRANCISCO

northeasterly along Market Street to Laguna Street; thence northerly along Laguna Street to California Street; thence westerly along California Street to Presidio Avenue; thence southerl along Presidio Avenue to Geary Boulevard; thence easterly along Geary Boulevard to St. Joseph's Avenue; thence southeasterly and southerly along St. Joseph's Avenue to Turk Boulevard; thence westerly along Turk Boulevard to Masonic Avenue; thence southerly alon Masonic Avenue to Fulton Street; thence westerly along Fulton Street to Parker Avenue; thence northerly along Parker Avenue to Lone Mountain Terrace; thence westerly along Lone Mountain Terrace to Stanyan Boulevard; thence northerly along Stanyan Boulevard to Geary Boulevard; thence westerly along Geary Boulevard to Arguello Boulevard; thence northerly along Arguello Boulevard to Lake Street; thence westerly along Lake Street to Twenty-Seventh Avenue; thence southerly along Twenty-Seventh Avenue to California Street; thenc westerly along California Street to its point of intersection with the eastern boundary of Lincol Park; thence northerly along said boundary to the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean; thence westerly and southerly along said shoreline to the point of commencement. Unless specifical designated to the contrary, all references to streets, boulevards, drives, avenues, terraces ar ways contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the centerlines of said streets, boulevards, drives, avenues, terraces and ways, respectively.

SECOND WARD, shall comprise all of that portion of the City and County of San Francisco commencing at the point of intersection of the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean and the eastern boundary of Lincoln Park; thence southerly along said boundary to California Street; thence easterly along California Street to Twenty-Seventh Avenue; thence northerly along Twenty-Seventh Avenue to Lake Street; thence easterly along Lake Street to Arguello Boulevard; thence southerly along Arguello Boulevard to Geary Boulevard; thence easterly along Geary Boulevard to Stanyan Boulevard; thence southerly along Stanyan Boulevard to Lone Mountain Terrace; thence easterly along Lone Mountain Terrace to Parker Avenue;

thence southerly along Parker Avenue to Fulton Street; thence easterly along Fulton Street to Masonic Avenue; thence northerly along Masonic Avenue to Turk Boulevard; thence easterly along Turk Boulevard to St. Joseph's Avenue; thence northerly and northwesterly along St. Joseph's Avenue to Geary Boulevard; thence westerly along Geary Boulevard to Presidio Avenue; thence northerly along Presidio Avenue to California Street; thence easterly along California Street to Laguna Street; thence southerly along Laguna Street to Eddy Street; thence easterly along Eddy Street to Gough Street; thence northerly along Gough Street to Ellis Street; thence easterly along Ellis Street to Larkin Street; thence northerly along Larkin Street to Post Street; thence easterly along Post Street to Mason Street; thence southerly along Mason Street to Ellis Street; thence easterly along Ellis Street to Powell; thence southerly along Powell Street to Market Street; thence Northeasterly along Market Street and a straight-line extension of Market Street to the Embarcadero; thence southerly along the Embarcadero to the point of intersection with the straight-line extension of Mission Street northeasterly to the shoreline of San Francisco Bay and encluding all piers north of said intersection; thence generally northerly and westerly to the eastern boundaries of the Presidio; continuing along the northerly boundaries of the Presidio along said shoreline westerly and northerly to the Pacific Ocean shoreline; continuing southerly along said shoreline including the Presidio boundary on the west to the point of commencement. The Second Ward shall include Yerba Buena and Treasure Islands. Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to streets, boulevards, drives, avenues, terraces and ways contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the centerlines of said streets, boulevards, drives, avenues, terraces and ways, respectively.

THIRD WARD, shall comprise all of that portion of the City and County of San Francisco commencing at the intersection of the southern boundary of the city and county and the centerline of Junipero Serra Boulevard; thence northerly along Junipero Serra Boulevard to Brotherhood Way; thence southeasterly and south along Brotherhood Way to Alemany Boulevard; thence southeasterly along Alemany Boulevard to the intersection of the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280); thence easterly and northeasterly along the centerline of the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280) to Bosworth Street; thence northwesterly along Bosworth Street to O'Shaughnessy Boulevard; thence generally northwesterly along O'Shaughnessy Boulevard to Portola Drive; thence northeasterly along Portola Drive to Twin Peaks Boulevard; thence generally northerly along Twin Peaks Boulevard to Clarendon Avenue; thence westerly along Clarendon Avenue and a straight-line extension thereof to Stanyan Street; thence northerly along Stanyan Street to the intersection of Stanyan Street and Seventeenth Street; thence westerly to the intersection of a straight-line extension of Seventeenth Street with the eastern boundary of the campus of the University of California San Francisco; thence northerly, northwesterly and westerly along the eastern and northeastern boundary of said campus to Parnassus Avenue; thence westerly along Parnassus Avenue continuing on Judah Street to Nineteenth Avenue; thence northerly along Nineteenth Avenue to Lincoln Way; thence westerly along Lincoln Way and a straight-line extension of Lincoln Way to the point of intersection with the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean; thence southerly along said shoreline to the southern boundary of the city and county; thence easterly along said boundary to the point of commencement. Unless specifically designated t the contrary, all references to streets, boulevards, drives, avenues, terraces and ways contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the centerlines of said streets, boulevards drives, avenues, terraces and ways, respectively.

FOURTH WARD, shall comprise all of that portion of the City and County of San Francisco commencing at the intersection of San Jose Avenue and Bosworth Street; thence northwesterly along Bosworth Street to O'Shaughnessy Boulevard; thence generally northwesterly along O'Shaughnessy Boulevard to Portola Drive; thence northeasterly along

Portola Drive to Twin Peaks Boulevard; thence generally northerly along Twin Peaks Boulevard to Clarendon Avenue; thence easterly along Clarendon Avenue to Twin Peaks Boulevard; thence northeasterly along Twin Peaks Boulevard to Clayton Street; thence northerly along Clayton Street to Ashbury Street; thence northeasterly and northerly along Ashbury Street to Frederick Street; thence easterly along Frederick Street to Buena Vista Avenue West; thence southerly along Buena Vista Avenue West to Buena Vista Avenue East; thence northeasterly along Buena Vista Avenue East to Duboce Avenue; thence easterly along Duboce Avenue to Market Street; thence northeasterly along Market Street to Guerrero Street; thence southerly along Guerrero Street to Seventeenth Street; thence easterly along Seventeenth Street to the centerline of the James Lick Freeway (State Route 101); thence generally southerly along the centerline of the James Lick Freeway (State Route 101) to the interchange with the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280); thence generally southwesterly along the centerline of the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280) to the intersection with a northerly straight-line extension of Bowdoin Street; thence southerly along that straight-line extension and Bowdoin Street to Sweeney Street; thence westerly along Sweeny Street to Colby Street; thence southerly along Colby Street to Silver Avenue; thence westerly along Silver Avenue to Amherst Street; thence southerly along Amherst Street to Felton Avenue; thence westerly along Felton Street to Cambridge Street; thence southerly along Cambridge Street to Wayland Street; thence westerly along Wayland Street to Oxford Street; thence northerly along Oxford Street to Bacon Street; thence westerly along Bacon Street to Harvard Street; thence northerly along Harvard Street to Burrows Street; thence westerly along Burrows Street to Mansfield Street; thence northwesterly on Mansfield Street to LaGrand Avenue; thence north and northwesterly along LaGrand Avenue to Avalon Avenue; thence southwesterly to the centerpoint of the intersection with Moscow Street; thence northwesterly along Avalon Avenue to Mission Street; thence southwesterly along

Mission Street to Ocean Avenue; thence westerly along Ocean Avenue to the intersection of the centerline of the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280); thence northeasterly and easterly along the centerline of the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280) to San Jose Avenue; thence northeasterly along San Jose Avenue to the point of commencement. Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to streets, boulevards, drives, avenues, terraces and ways contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the centerlines of said streets, boulevards, drives, avenues, terraces and ways, respectively.

FIFTH WARD, shall comprise all of that portion of the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Brisbane commencing at the point of intersection of a northeasterly straight-line extension of Mission Street and the shoreline of San Francisco Bay; thence southwesterly along said straight-line extension of Mission Street to the Embarcadero; thence northwesterly along the Embarcadero to the intersection with a northeasterly straight-line extension of Market Street; thence southwesterly along Market Street to Powell Street; thence northerly along Powell Street to Ellis Street; thence westerly along Ellis Street to Mason Street; thence northerly along Mason Street to Post Street; thence westerly along Post Street to Larkin Street; thence southerly along Larkin Street to Ellis; thence westerly along Ellis Street to Gough Street; thence southerly on Gough Street to Eddy Street; thence westerly along Eddy Street to Laguna Street; thence southerly along Laguna Street to Market Street; thence northeasterly along Market Street to Guerrero Street; thence southerly along Guerrero Street to Seventeenth Street; thence easterly along Seventeenth Street to the centerline of the James Lick Freeway (State Route 101); thence generally southerly along the centerline of the James Lick Freeway (State Route 101) to the interchange with the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280); thence generally southeasterly along the centerline of the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280) to the intersection with a northerly straight-line extension of Bowdoin Street; thence southerly along that straight-line extension and Bowdoin Street to

Sweeney Street; thence westerly along Sweeny Street to Colby Street; thence southerly along Colby Street to Silver Avenue; thence westerly along Silver Avenue to Amherst Street; thence southerly along Amherst Street to Felton Avenue; thence westerly along Felton Street to Cambridge Street; thence southerly along Cambridge Street to Wayland Street; thence westerly along Wayland Street to Oxford Street; thence northerly along Oxford Street to Bacon Street; thence westerly along Bacon Street to Harvard Street; thence northerly along Harvard Street to Burrows Street; thence westerly along Burrows Street to Mansfield Street; thence northwesterly on Mansfield Street its end; thence northerly to LaGrand Avenue; thence north and northwesterly along LaGrand Avenue to Avalon Avenue; thence southwesterly to the centerpoint of the intersection with Moscow Street; thence northwesterly along Avalon Avenue to Mission Street; thence southwesterly along Mission Street to Ocean Avenue; thence westerly along Ocean Avenue to the intersection of the centerline of the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280); thence southerly and southwesterly along the centerline of the Southern Freeway (Interstate Route 280) to the intersection with Alemany Boulevard; thence northwesterly along Alemany Boulevard to Brotherhood Way; thence northwesterly and westerly along Brotherhood Way to Junipero Serra (Interstate Highway 1); thence southerly from the center point of intersection along Junipero Serra (Interstate Highway 1) to the southern boundary of the City and County of San Francisco; thence easterly to Bayshore Boulevard; thence southwesterly across the boundary of the County of San Mateo along the easterly boundaries of the City of Brisbane, encompassing and including the entire boundaries of the City of Brisbane including southerly, westerly and southwesterly to the shoreline of San Francisco Bay; thence northerly along the San Francisco Bay shoreline, including all piers and rows of vessels to the boundary of San Mateo; thence across the boundary of the City and County of San Francisco following the shoreline generally northeasterly including all piers and rows of vessels to the point of commencement. Unless specifically designated to the contrary, all references to streets, boulevards, drives, avenues, terraces and ways contained in the foregoing description shall refer to the centerlines of said streets, boulevards, drives, avenues, terraces and ways, respectively.

Voters residing within the boundaries of the City and County of San Francisco as established in Government Code Section 23138 or within the boundaries of the City of Brisbane, but not on the San Francisco Peninsula, Yerba Buena Island, or Treasure Island, shall be deemed for the purpose of the municipal utility district election to reside in the ward on the Peninsula closest to the voter's place of residence.

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 002110 **Date Passed:** February 12, 2001

Motion establishing wards for proposed Municipal Utility District Election.

February 12, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Leno, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 2 - Hall, Newsom

File No. 002110

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on February 12, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole in Board
2/12/01

FILE NO. 002111 **MOTION NO.** M01-14

[Special Election for MUD Petition]

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND THE CITY OF BRISBANE ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 2001, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS A PROPOSAL TO FORM A MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND THE CITY OF BRISBANE, TO BE KNOWN AS THE "SAN FRANCISCO-BRISBANE MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT"; CONSOLIDATING THE SPECIAL ELECTION WITH THE GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001.

A petition for submission to the voters of a proposal for the formation of a municipal utility district for the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Brisbane has been (1) submitted pursuant to California Public Utilities Code sections 11611 et seq., and (2) referred by the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission to the Board of Supervisors to call an election to submit the petition to the voters.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That a special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Brisbane on Tuesday, November 6, 2001, for the purpose of submitting to the voters a proposal to form a municipal utility district for the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Brisbane, to be known as the "San Francisco-Brisbane Municipal Utility District"; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the special election shall be held and conducted and the votes thereafter received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the results thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and in all particulars not herein recited such election shall be held according to the laws of the State of California and all other applicable laws providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 20 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

SUPERVISOR GONZALEZ, AMMIANO, McGOLDRICK, DALY
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Francisco and the City of Brisbane, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by such laws; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the general municipal election scheduled for Tuesday, November 6, 2001, in the City and County of San Francisco; and the voting precincts, polling places, voting booths, and election officials in San Francisco shall in every case be the same; there shall be only one set of election officers in each precinct, to wit: the election officers to be appointed b the Director of Elections for each of said precincts; the candidates and measures at the general municipal election shall be set forth on the ballots for said special election; all proceedings had in the premises shall be recorded in one set of documents; the election shal be held in all respects as though there were only one election; and, the returns of said genera municipal election and special election shall be canvassed by the Director of Elections, all as provided in the Elections Code of the State of California and all other applicable laws, and reference is hereby made to the notice of election setting forth the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for the November 6, 2000 general municipal election to be published by the Director of Elections in the official newspapers of the City and County on the date required under the laws of the State of California; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco urges the City Council of the City of Brisbane to consolidate said election with the general election to be held in Brisbane on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 002111 **Date Passed:**

Motion calling and providing for a Special Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Brisbane on Tuesday, November 6, 2001, for the purpose of submitting to the voters a proposal to form a Municipal Utility District for the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Brisbane, to be known as the "San Francisco-Brisbane Municipal Utility District"; consolidating the Special Election with the General Municipal Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on November 6, 2001.

February 12, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Leno, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

February 12, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Leno, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 2 - Hall, Newsom

File No. 002111

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on February 12, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010247 MOTION NO. M01-15

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 2 0 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom, Yee, Leno, Daly, Peskin, McGoldrick
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010247 **Date Passed:** February 12, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

February 12, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Leno, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 2 - Newsom, Yee

File No. 010247

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on February 12, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010251 **MOTION NO.** M01-16

[Final Map for a 17 Unit Mixed Use Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 1601 Pacific Avenue, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project, being a Subdivision of Lot 1, Assessor's Block No. 595, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 1601 Pacific Avenue, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 1, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 595, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 31st day of January, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,774, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "1601 Pacific Avenue, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated April 21, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the Master Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 and the provisions of Case No. 98.187E, the Final Negative Declaration, adopted and issued on November 23, 1998.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 2 0 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010251 **Date Passed:** February 12, 2001

Motion approving final map of 1601 Pacific Avenue, a Mixed-Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 1, Assessor's Block No. 595, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

February 12, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Leno, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 2 - Newsom, Yee

File No. 010251

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on February 12, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

FILE NO. 010209 MOTION NO. M01-17

[Appointment to San Francisco LAFCO]

Motion appointing Supervisor Tom Ammiano to the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission.

Government Code Section 56325 provides that the Local Agency Formation Commission shall consist of two members representing the county, appointed by the board of supervisors from their own membership. The board shall also appoint a third supervisor to serve as an alternate member on the commission. The legislative body of the city shall appoint two additional members representing the city, who shall be the mayor or council member, and an alternate. The four members of the commission shall thereafter appoint one additional member to represent the general public.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That Supervisor Tom Ammiano is appointed as a member of the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010209 **Date Passed:** February 20, 2001

Motion appointing Supervisor Tom Ammiano to the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission.

February 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Leno, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Excused: 1 - Ammiano

File No. 010209

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on February 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010299 MOTION NO. M01-18

[Board Off-site Meetings]

Resolution ordering the City and School District Committee meeting of the Board of Supervisors be held at the Irving G. Breyer Board Meeting Room, Board Of Education, 555 Franklin Street, First Floor, San Francisco, on March 14, 2001, at 3:00 P.M. and directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare the proper notices and postings.

WHEREAS, In the November 1993 election, Proposition O was passed by the voters of San Francisco to allow committee meetings of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to be held outside of City Hall; and

WHEREAS, The 1996 Charter Section 2.103 continued to allow meetings of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors committees to be held outside of City Hall; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on January 22, 2001, adopted Rule 5.9 of its Rules of Order which created the City and School District Committee to hear measures concerning issues of mutual interest that affect the City and School District, its employees, its students, and the families of its students, including the issue of the public use of the School District facilities; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Education on June 22, 1999 adopted Rule 5.6, Policy No. P120, creating the City and School District Committee with the same purpose as cited above and stating the City and School District Committee shall be comprised of two members of the Board of Supervisors and two members of the Board of Education; and,

WHEREAS, At the October 27, 1999 meeting of the City and School District Committee, it was decided to hold quarterly meetings at the Irving G. Breyer Board Meeting Room, Board of Education, 555 Franklin Street, First floor, San Francisco at 3:00 p.m.; now, therefore, be it

DOCUMENTS
MAR - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby order that the March 14, 2001, meeting of the City and School District Committee be held at the Irving G. Breyer Board Meeting Room, Board of Education, 555 Franklin Street, First floor, San Francisco at 3:00 p.m.; and be it,

FURTHER MOVED, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to prepare the necessary notices and postings pursuant to Charter Section 2.103.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010299 **Date Passed:** February 20, 2001

Motion ordering the City and School District Committee meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held at the Irving G. Breyer Board Meeting Room, Board of Education, 555 Franklin Street, First Floor, San Francisco, on March 14, 2001, at 3:00 P.M. and directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare notices and postings.

February 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, McGoldrick, Leno, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 1 - Maxwell

File No. 010299

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on February 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010310 MOTION NO. M01-19

[Calling for the formation of the San Francisco-Brisbane Municipal Utility District]

Motion declaring that in the Board's opinion the public interest or necessity demands the creation and maintenance of a municipal utility district with the same exterior boundaries as the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Brisbane, to be known as the "San Francisco-Brisbane Municipal Utility District," and directing the Clerk to refer this request to the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission with certain information.

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors has approved motions (1) calling for a special election for the purpose of submitting to the voters a proposal to form a municipal utility district requested by petition pursuant to the Municipal Utility District Act (Public Utilities Code Sections 11501 *et seq.*), and (2) establishing wards for the proposed municipal utility district election; and

WHEREAS, The petition called for a municipal utility district with the same exterior boundaries as the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Brisbane; and

WHEREAS, Upon receipt of the petition, the Board of Supervisors appointed members to the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission and referred the petition to that body; and

WHEREAS, After considering the matter, the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission transmitted the petition to the Board of Supervisors with directions that the Board call for an election on the formation of a municipal utility district and to divide the proposed districts into five wards from which to elect directors for the district; and

WHEREAS, The Board and the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission have received conflicting advice regarding the appropriate procedure for consideration and

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

action by a Local Agency Formation Commission on a petition to create and maintain a municipal utility district; and

WHEREAS, State legislative amendments are under consideration that may affect the procedures for the formation of municipal utility districts; and

WHEREAS, The deregulation of power in the State has resulted in an energy crisis and substantial uncertainty with respect to the policies that the State will adopt in response to that crisis; and

WHEREAS, The referral of this request to the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission will enable the Commission to take such action as it deems appropriate with respect to the creation and maintenance of the "San Francisco-Brisbane Municipal Utility District"; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that in the Board's opinion the public interest or necessity demands the creation and maintenance of a municipal utility district with the same exterior boundaries as the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Brisbane, to be known as the "San Francisco-Brisbane Municipal Utility District"; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board directs the Clerk of the Board to refer this request to the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission for its consideration and action pursuant to Part 3 of Division 3 of the Government Code, with a map and description of the boundaries of the proposed municipal utility district and with notice that the persons to whom the executive officer of the Commission is to provide reports, notices, and other communications are the President and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010310 **Date Passed:** February 20, 2001

Motion declaring that in the Board's opinion the public interest or necessity demands the creation and maintenance of a municipal utility district with the same exterior boundaries as the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Brisbane, to be known as the "San Francisco-Brisbane Municipal Utility District," and directing the Clerk to refer this request to the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission with certain information.

February 20, 2001	Board of Supervisors — SEVERED FROM FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA
February 20, 2001	Board of Supervisors — APPROVED Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, McGoldrick, Leno, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee Noes: 2 - Hall, Newsom Absent: 1 - Maxwell

File No. 010310

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on February 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

MAR - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 010313 **MOTION NO.** M01-20

[Final Map for an 11 Unit Mixed Use Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 826 Folsom Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project, being a Subdivision of Lot 16, Assessor's Block No. 3733, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 826 Folsom Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 16, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3733, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 14th day of February, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,790, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "826 Folsom Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated March 8, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:	DESCRIPTION APPROVED:
Edwin M. Lee Director of Public Works	Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE Division Manager

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010313 **Date Passed:** February 20, 2001

Motion approving final map of 826 Folsom Street, a Mixed-Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 16, Assessor's Block No. 3733, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

February 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, McGoldrick, Leno, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 1 - Maxwell

File No. 010313

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on February 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010320 MOTION NO. M01-21

[Appointment to San Francisco LAFCO]

Motion appointing Supervisor Sophie Maxwell to the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission.

Government Code Section 56325 provides that the Local Agency Formation Commission shall consist of two members representing the county, appointed by the board of supervisors from their own membership. The board shall also appoint a third supervisor to serve as an alternate member on the commission. The legislative body of the city shall appoint two additional members representing the city, who shall be the mayor or council member, and an alternate. The four members of the commission shall thereafter appoint one additional member to represent the general public.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That Supervisor Sophie Maxwell is appointed as a member of the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission.

DOCUMENTS DEP
MAR -
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010320 **Date Passed:** February 20, 2001

Motion appointing Supervisor Sophie Maxwell to the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission.

February 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, McGoldrick, Leno, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Excused: 1 - Maxwell

File No. 010320

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on February 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010321 **MOTION NO.** M01-22

[Appointment to San Francisco LAFCO]

Motion appointing Supervisor Chris Daly to the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission.

Government Code Section 56325 provides that the Local Agency Formation Commission shall consist of two members representing the county, appointed by the board of supervisors from their own membership. The board shall also appoint a third supervisor to serve as an alternate member on the commission. The legislative body of the city shall appoint two additional members representing the city, who shall be the mayor or council member, and an alternate. The four members of the commission shall thereafter appoint one additional member to represent the general public.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That Supervisor Chris Daly is appointed as a member of the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010321 **Date Passed:** February 20, 2001

Motion appointing Supervisor Chris Daly to the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission.

February 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Leno, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Excused: 1 - Daly

File No. 010321

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on February 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010322 **MOTION NO.** M01-23

[Appointment to San Francisco LAFCO]

Motion appointing Supervisor Jake McGoldrick to the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission.

Government Code Section 56325 provides that the Local Agency Formation Commission shall consist of two members representing the county, appointed by the board of supervisors from their own membership. The board shall also appoint a third supervisor to serve as an alternate member on the commission. The legislative body of the city shall appoint two additional members representing the city, who shall be the mayor or council member, and an alternate. The four members of the commission shall thereafter appoint one additional member to represent the general public.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That Supervisor Jake McGoldrick is appointed as a member of the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR -
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010322 **Date Passed:** February 20, 2001

Motion appointing Supervisor Jake McGoldrick to the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission.

February 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, Leno, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Excused: 1 - McGoldrick

File No. 010322

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on February 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010323 MOTION NO. M01-24

[Appointment to San Francisco LAFCO]

Motion appointing Supervisor Matt Gonzalez as an alternate member to the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission.

Government Code Section 56325 provides that the Local Agency Formation Commission shall consist of two members representing the county, appointed by the board of supervisors from their own membership. The board shall also appoint a third supervisor to serve as an alternate member on the commission. The legislative body of the city shall appoint two additional members representing the city, who shall be the mayor or council member, and an alternate. The four members of the commission shall thereafter appoint one additional member to represent the general public.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That Supervisor Matt Gonzalez is appointed as an alternate member of the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR -
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010323 **Date Passed:** February 20, 2001

Motion appointing Supervisor Matt Gonzalez as an alternate member to the Local Agency Formation Commission.

February 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Leno, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Excused: 1 - Gonzalez

File No. 010323

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on February 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010350

MOTION NO. M01-25

41 Library
100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 15 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom, Yee, Leno, Daly, Peskin, McGoldrick
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010350 **Date Passed:**

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

February 26, 2001 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Leno, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 2 - Newsom, Yee

File No. 010350

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was ADOPTED on February 26, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAR 1 5 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 010397 **MOTION NO.** M01-26

[Final Map for a 152 Unit Mixed Use Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of Pacific Place (1701 Jackson Street, 1800 Washington Street and 1901 Van Ness Avenue), A Mixed-Use Condominium Project, being a Subdivision of Lot 128, Assessor's Block No. 599, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of Pacific Place (1701 Jackson Street, 1800 Washington Street and 1901 Van Ness Avenue), A Mixed-Use Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 128, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 599, comprising 14 sheets, approved the 28th day of February, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,809, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of Pacific Place (1701 Jackson Street, 1800 Washington Street and 1901 Van Ness Avenue), A Mixed-Use Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter (originally approved) July 25, 2000, and confirmed as to final revised Map by letter dated November 16, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010397 **Date Passed:** March 5, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of Pacific Place (1701 Jackson Street, 1800 Washington Street and 1901 Van Ness Avenue), a Mixed-Use Condominium Project, being a Subdivision of Lot 128, Assessor's Block No. 599, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

March 5, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010397

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on March 5, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010426 MOTION NO. M01-27

[Extending Time for Negotiations]

Motion extending time for negotiations pursuant to Charter Section 11.100

WHEREAS, the City and the Unions have been meeting and conferring over negotiable terms and conditions of employment to take effect July 1, 2001; and

WHEREAS, in order to be included in the budget to take effect July 1, 2001 an agreement or arbitration award must be adopted by ordinance within timelines set forth in Charter Section A8.409-4, as may be extended by Charter Section 11.100; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors previously extended in such deadlines in Resolution No. 91-97; and

WHEREAS, under Charter Section A8.409-4 the Human Resources Director has requested that the Board of Supervisors take action pursuant to Charter Section 11.100 to extend by thirty days the date for final adoption of ordinances approving such salary and benefits,

IT IS MOVED THAT, the date for final adoption of ordinances approving salary, benefits, and/or working conditions to be effective July 1, 2001 is hereby extended by thirty days. For Unions in negotiation which impasse has not been declared, the date for final adoption of ordinances approving salary, benefits, and/or working conditions to be effective July 1, 2001 is May 2, 2001. Such proposed collective bargaining agreements must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board no later than March 28th, 2001 in a form that will allow for complete review and costing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, Arbitration panel awards issued pursuant to Charter Section A8.409-3 shall be submitted to the Clerk of the Board no later than May 30th, 2001 in a form that will allow for complete review and costing.

DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 27 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Gavin Newsom
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010426 **Date Passed:** March 12, 2001

Motion extending time for negotiations pursuant to Charter Section 11.100.

March 12, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 6 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin
Absent: 5 - Daly, Hall, McGoldrick, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010426

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on March 12, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Jean Lum
Acting Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010427 MOTION NO. M01-28

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 27 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010427 **Date Passed:** March 12, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

March 12, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 6 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin
Absent: 5 - Daly, Hall, McGoldrick, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010427

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on March 12, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Jean Lum
Acting Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010430 MOTION M01-29

[Final Map for a 26 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 2130 Harrison Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 25, Assessor's Block No. 3573, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "2130 Harrison Street A Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 25, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3573, comprising 8 sheets, approved the 7th day of March, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,824, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "2130 Harrison Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated August 11, 1999, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 27 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010430 **Date Passed:** March 12, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 2130 Harrison Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 25, Assessor's Block No. 3573, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

March 12, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 6 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin
Absent: 5 - Daly, Hall, McGoldrick, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010430

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on March 12, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Jean Lum
Acting Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010431 **MOTION M01-30**

[Final Map for a 16 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 1000 Pennsylvania Avenue, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 42, Assessor's Block No. 4224, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "1000 Pennsylvania Avenue A Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 42, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 4224, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 7th day of March, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,821, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "1000 Pennsylvania Avenue, A Live/Work Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated August 10, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 27 2001
SAN FRANCISCO

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010431 **Date Passed:** March 12, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 1000 Pennsylvania Avenue, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 42, Assessor's Block No. 4224, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

March 12, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 6 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin
Absent: 5 - Daly, Hall, McGoldrick, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010431

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on March 12, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Jean Lum
Acting Clerk of the Board

FILE 010432 MOTION NO. M01-31

[Final Map for a 10 Unit Residential/Commercial Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 3439 Sacramento Street, A Residential/Commercial Condominium Project, being a Subdivision of Lot 47, Assessor's Block No. 1020, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 3439 Sacramento Street, A Residential/Commercial Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 47, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 1020, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 7th day of March, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,823, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "3439 Sacramento Street, A Residential/Commercial Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated January 25, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the Master Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1, and City Planning Commission Motion #14760.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr. - RCE
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 27
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010432 **Date Passed:** March 12, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 3439 Sacramento Street, a Residential/Commercial Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 47, Assessor's Block No. 1020, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

March 12, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 6 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin
Absent: 5 - Daly, Hall, McGoldrick, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010432

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on March 12, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Jean Lum
Acting Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010435 **MOTION NO.** M01-32

[Final Map, Planned Development, Mission Bay]

Motion approving Final Map, Planned Development, Mission Bay (Blocks N3 and N3A), an 11 Lot Subdivision, being Phase 1 of a Subdivision of Lot 1 in Assessor's Blocks 8704, 8705, 8707 and 8708 and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan and City Planning Code Section 101.1 and the Mission Bay North Redevelopment Plan and Plan Documents.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "FINAL MAP, PLANNED DEVELOPMENT, MISSION BAY, (N3-N3A) BEING PHASE 1 OF A PLANNED DEVELOPMENT SUBDIVISION OF ASSESSOR'S BLOCKS 8704, 8705, 8707 and 8708 SHOWN ON THAT CERTAIN MAP ENTITLED "MAP OF MISSION BAY" RECORDED ON JULY 19, 1999 IN BOOK Z OF MAPS AT PAGES 97-119 AND A PORTION OF BERRY STREET VACATED BY ORDINANCE 328-98, RECORDED JULY 19, 1999 AS DOCUMENT 99-G622153-00 (REEL H429 OR IMAGE 505) AND SUBSEQUENTLY CONVEYED TO CATELLUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION BY DEED RECORDED IN REEL H845 OR IMAGES 495, RECORDS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY A MERGER AND RESUBDIVISION OF SAID AB 8705, LOT 1 AND A PORTION OF SAID BERRY STREET; A MERGER AND RESUBDIVISION OF SAID AB 8708, LOT 1 AND A PORTION OF SAID BERRY STREET, AND THE BALANCE OF SAID BERRY STREET." comprising 4 sheets, approved March 1, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,820 together with the Public Improvement Agreement for Mission Bay North Blocks 8705 and 8708/N3 and N3A, dated March 5, 2001 between the City and County of San Francisco and Catellus Development Corporation are hereby approved and adopted as the Official Final Map of "Mission Bay" (for Phase 1 Lot 1 of Assessor's Blocks 8704, 8705, 8707 and 8708.)

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 2 7 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors accepts the "RELINQUISHMENT OF VEHICULAR RIGHTS OF INGRESS AND EGRESS TO AND FROM "LOT 6", "LOT 7", AND "LOT A" IN BLOCK 8705 ALONG KING STREET OVER THOSE AREAS SHOWN HEREIN AND DESCRIBED AS "RELINQUISHMENT OF VEHICULAR ACCESS RIGHTS" and accepts subject to improvements all other dedications offered on this Final Map.

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Department, by its letter dated February 5, 2001, that the proposed development is consistent with the objectives and policies of the General Plan and the Eight Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the Planning Code.

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, by its letter dated February 12, 2001, that the proposed development is consistent with the Mission Bay North Redevelopment Plan and Plan Documents (as such term is defined therein).

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Director of the Department of Public Works to enter all necessary prior recording information on the Final Map and this Motion before recordation and transmit a copy of the recorded Final Motion to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the Mission Bay Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., RCE
Division Manager on behalf of
Harlan L. Kelly, Jr., City Engineer

J:\Mission Bay\Blks N3 - N4\Project Documents\Legislation\Ph1Leg.doc

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010435 **Date Passed:** March 12, 2001

Motion approving Final Map, Planned Development, Mission Bay (Blocks N3 and N3A), an 11 Lot Subdivision, being Phase 1 of a Subdivision of Lot 1 in Assessor's Blocks 8704, 8705, 8707 and 8708 and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan and City Planning Code Section 101.1 and the Mission Bay North Redevelopment Plan and Plan Documents.

March 12, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 6 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin
Absent: 5 - Daly, Hall, McGoldrick, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010435

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on March 12, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Jean Lum
Acting Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010470 MOTION NO. M01-33

[Small Business Commission.]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward legislation primarily impacting the small business community to the Small Business Commission for review and comment.

WHEREAS, Small businesses provide the foundation for San Francisco's economy, by employing San Franciscans and encouraging entrepreneurship; and,

WHEREAS, The voice of the small business community has often been left out of critical public policy debates; and

WHEREAS, The unique needs of small business owners and employees must be considered in the public policymaking conducted by the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Board of Supervisors created the Small Business Commission to advise the Mayor and Board of Supervisors on issues of concern to small business; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That before the Board of Supervisors takes final action on any matter that primarily affects small businesses in the City and County, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall refer the matter to the Small Business Commission for comment and recommendation; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Commission or its staff shall provide any response it deems appropriate within 12 days of the date the Board of Supervisors referred the matter to the Commission; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after the 12 day period has elapsed, the Board of Supervisors may act on the matter whether or not the Board has received a response.

SUPERVISORS CHRIS DALY, GAVIN NEWSOM, HALL, SANDOVAL, LENO

FURTHER MOVED, That this referral requirement shall not apply to any matter where immediate action by the Board of Supervisors is necessary to protect the public interest.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010470 **Date Passed:** March 19, 2001

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward legislation primarily impacting the small business community to the Small Business Commission for review and comment.

March 19, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 2 - Newsom, Yee

File No. 010470

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on March 19, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 2 7 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

businesses and visitors; and,

WHEREAS, The current process of the Board of Supervisors for considering Mayoral appointments to the Planning Commission, the Board of Appeals, and the Public Utilities Commission does not automatically provide for public hearing and public consideration by th Board of Supervisors of the appointments to these three bodies; and,

WHEREAS, The Board wishes to establish a new process that will require swift public consideration by the Board of Supervisors, accompanied by the opportunity for public comment, of each mayoral appointment to the Planning Commission, the Board of Appeals, and the Public Utilities Commission; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby amends the Rules of Order of the Board to add a new Rules 2.31, to read as follows:

2.31. Consideration of Mayoral Appointments to the Planning Commission, the Board of Appeals, and the Public Utilities Commission. Upon receipt of each and every Notice of Appointment from the Mayor appointing a member to the Planning Commission, th Board of Appeals, or the Public Utilities Commission, the Clerk of the Board shall immediatel prepare and introduce three motions for each such appointment. The Clerk shall refer the motions to the Rules Committee for hearing as soon as possible. If the Rules Committee is unable to schedule and consider the motions within a time period that will allow for full Board consideration before the expiration of the thirty day period provided for in Charter Section 3.100, then the Clerk shall place the motions on the calendar (Adoption Without Committee Reference) of the last Board meeting at which the Board may consider the proposed motions before the thirty days expires. One motion shall support the appointment. A second motion shall reject the appointment. The third motion shall take no position on the appointment. Th motion rejecting the appointment shall state in its text that the motion requires a two-thirds vote (eight votes) for approval under Section 3.100 of the City Charter. Each Committee an

DOCUMENTS DEPT
APR - 3 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board calendar upon which motions prepared under this Rule appear shall also state that Section 3.100 of the City Charter provides that the Board of Supervisors has the authority to reject the appointment by a two-thirds vote of the Board (eight votes) within thirty days following transmittal of the Mayor's Notice of Appointment, and that failure of the Board to reject the appointment by two-thirds vote within the thirty day time period shall result in the appointee continuing to serve as appointed.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010243 **Date Passed:** March 26, 2001

Motion amending the Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish a new process for the Board's consideration of Mayoral appointments to the Planning Commission, the Board of Appeals, and the Public Utilities Commission, the new process requiring that the Clerk of the Board prepare and submit for Board consideration for each such appointment a motion supporting the appointment, a motion rejecting the appointment, and a motion taking no position on the appointment.

March 26, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

March 26, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

APR - 3 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

File No. 010243

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on March 26, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR - 3 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE – 3/21/01
FILE NO. 010440

MOTION NO. M01-35

[Increase of Services of the Budget Analyst for fiscal year 2000-2001]

Motion amending the Agreement for Professional Budget Analyst Services between the City and County of San Francisco and Stanton W. Jones and Associates/Debra A. Newman/Rodriguez, Perez, Delgado & Company Certified Public Accountants/Harvey M. Rose Accountancy Corporation Certified Public Accountants/~~Mah & Louie~~ Louie & Wong LLP Certified Public Accountants – ("A Joint Venture"), to increase the level of service for Fiscal Year 2000-2001 by $218,208.

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors requests its Budget Analyst Office to increase its level of support in the amount of $218,208, to provide additional resources as follows: 1) To analyze the proposed Fiscal Year 2001-2002 Budget prior to June 1, 2001 with focus on specific departments; 2) To perform a zero base budget review of the Fire Department; 3) To conduct periodic meetings with members of the Board of Supervisors for the purpose of reviewing their budget priorities and reviewing major departmental policies; and 4) To increase performance audit resources of the Budget Analyst Office, as assigned; and

WHEREAS, Section 5 of the existing agreement allows for the Board, by motion, to authorize the Budget Analyst to provide specific work on performance audits and special projects under the process; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors has approved an appropriation of $218,208 of the General Reserve Fund to fund the increase of services provided by the Board of Supervisor's Budget Analyst for FY 2000-2001; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby amends its agreement with the Budget Analyst Joint Venture to provide that the Budget Analyst shall increase the level of service to provide the services aforementioned;

FURTHER, BE IT MOVED, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is authorized and directed to take all necessary administrative steps to carry out the intent of this motion.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010440 **Date Passed:** March 26, 2001

Motion amending the Agreement for Professional Budget Analyst Services between the City and County of San Francisco and Stanton W. Jones and Associates/Debra A. Newman/Rodriguez, Perez, Delgado & Company Certified Public Accountants/Harvey M. Rose Accountancy Corporation Certified Public Accountants/Louie & Wong LLP Certified Public Accountants - ("A Joint Venture"), to increase the level of service for Fiscal Year 2000-2001 by $218,208.

March 26, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

DOCUMENTS DEP
APR -
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

File No. 010440

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on March 26, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010475 MOTION NO. M01-36

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR - 3 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

[Meeting Schedule]

Motion calling a special meeting of the Board of Supervisors for Monday, April 2, 2001, at 10:00 a.m. to consider matters, which normally would be considered at the regular meeting of April 2, 2001 at 2:00 p.m., and cancelling the regular meeting of April 2.

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco worked hard to ensure that the San Francisco Giants not relocate to another city and that the team continue to play in San Francisco; and,

WHEREAS, It is especially appropriate for city officials to attend the opening home game on April 2, 2001; and

WHEREAS, It is the desire of the Board of Supervisors to meet at 10:00 a.m. on Monday, April 2, rather than at its usual meeting time of 2:00 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, There is precedent for such a meeting time change when the Board similarly changed its schedule so members could attend the opening home game which fell on a Monday in 1987 and 1993; now, therefore, be it

Moved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby calls a special meeting of the Board to be held on Monday, April 2, 2001 at 2:00 p.m.; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the regular meeting of April 2 is hereby cancelled; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Clerk of the Board is directed (1) to alert the public to this change in schedule, and (2) to place as many items as possible on the consent agenda in order to expedite the meeting.

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010475 **Date Passed:** March 26, 2001

Motion calling a special meeting of the Board of Supervisors for Monday, April 2, 2001, at 10:00 a.m. to consider matters, which normally would be considered at the regular meeting of April 2, 2001 at 2:00 p.m., and cancelling the regular meeting of April 2.

March 26, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 010475

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on March 26, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010509 MOTION NO. M01-37

DOCUMENTS DEPT
APR - 3 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

[District Town Hall Budget Meetings]

Motion urging the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to coordinate with interested members of the Board of Supervisors, the Budget Analyst, the Mayor's Office, the Chair of the Finance Committee and all City Departments to create District Town Hall Budget Meetings that allow citizens of San Francisco greater input into this year's budget process.

WHEREAS, In 1996, the citizens of San Francisco passed an initiative to elect members of the Board of Supervisors from eleven districts, in order to increase neighborhood representation and participation in civic affairs; and,

WHEREAS, In 2000, eleven district representatives were elected with a mandate to respond to the concerns of their district as well as the City as a whole; and,

WHEREAS, The entire operation of City government is a direct outgrowth of the funds appropriated in the City's annual budget, introduced by the Mayor and reviewed and passed by the Board of Supervisors during the months of June and July; and,

WHEREAS, In recent years, Town Hall Budget Meetings have been utilized as a way to involve citizens in the budget process in the months leading up to the official Budget hearings at the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors; and,

WHEREAS, The new system of Supervisors from districts offers an opportunity to increase the amount of public input citizens can offer by directly communicating their concerns to a representative from their own neighborhood and members of the Finance Committee; and,

WHEREAS, the Clerk of the Board and the Budget Analyst's Office are tasked with assisting members of the Board of Supervisors in their role as decision makers on important matters like the budget; now, therefore be it,

MOVED, that the City and County of San Francisco Board of Supervisors hereby urges the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to coordinate with interested members of the Board of Supervisors, the Budget Analyst, the Mayor's Office, the Chair of the Finance Committee and all City Departments to create District Town Hall Budget Meetings that allow citizens of San Francisco greater input into this year's budget process.

City and County of San Francisco
Tails
Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010509 **Date Passed:** March 26, 2001

Motion urging the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to coordinate with interested members of the Board of Supervisors, the Budget Analyst, the Mayor's Office, the Chair of the Finance Committee and all City Departments to create District Town Hall Budget Meetings that allow citizens of San Francisco greater input into this year's budget process.

March 26, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

DOCUMENTS DEPT
APR - 3
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

File No. 010509

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on March 26, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

DOCUMENTS DEPT
APR - 3
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 010510 MOTION NO. M01-38

[Extending Time for Negotiations]

Motion extending time for negotiations pursuant to Charter Section 11.100

WHEREAS, the City and the Unions have been meeting and conferring over negotiable terms and conditions of employment to take effect July 1, 2001; and

WHEREAS, in order to be included in the budget to take effect July 1, 2001 an agreement or arbitration award must be adopted by ordinance within timelines set forth in Charter Section A8.409-4, as may be extended by Charter Section 11.100; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors previously extended in such deadlines in Resolution No. 91-97; and

WHEREAS, under Charter Section A8.409-4 the Human Resources Director has requested that the Board of Supervisors take action pursuant to Charter Section 11.100 to extend by thirty days the date for final adoption of ordinances approving such salary and benefits,

IT IS MOVED THAT, the date for final adoption of ordinances approving salary, benefits, and/or working conditions to be effective July 1, 2001 is hereby extended by thirty days. For Unions in negotiation which impasse has not been declared, the date for final adoption of ordinances approving salary, benefits, and/or working conditions to be effective July 1, 2001 is May 2, 2001. Such proposed collective bargaining agreements must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board no later than March 28th, 2001 in a form that will allow for complete review and costing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, Arbitration panel awards issued pursuant to Charter Section A8.409-3 shall be submitted to the Clerk of the Board no later than May 30th , 2001 in a form that will allow for complete review and costing.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010510 **Date Passed:** March 26, 2001

Motion extending time for negotiations pursuant to Charter Section 11.100.

March 26, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 010510

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on March 26, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

DOCUMENTS DEPT
APR
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 010511 MOTION NO. M01-39

DOCUMENTS DEPT
APR - 3 20
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom, Yee, Leno, Daly, Peskin, McGoldrick
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010511 **Date Passed:** March 26, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

March 26, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 010511

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on March 26, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010399 MOTION M01-40

[Final Map for a 16 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 128 Morris Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 50, Assessor's Block No. 3778, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "128 Morris Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 50, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3778, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 21st day of March, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,849, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "128 Morris Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letters dated September 8, 2000 and October 11, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010399 **Date Passed:** April 2, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 128 Morris Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 50, Assessor's Block No. 3778, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

March 26, 2001 Board of Supervisors — SEVERED FROM FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA

March 26, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 2 - Newsom, Yee

April 2, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 2 - Daly, Yee

File No. 010399

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on April 2, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010486 MOTION M01-41

[Final Map for a 10 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 699 Pennsylvania Avenue, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 2, Assessor's Block No. 4104, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "699 Pennsylvania Avenue, A Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 2, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 4104, comprising 4 sheets, approved the 21st day of March, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,851, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "699 Pennsylvania Avenue, A Live/Work Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated November 21, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT
APR 1 2 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010486 **Date Passed:** April 2, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 699 Pennsylvania Avenue, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 2, Assessor's Block No. 4104, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

March 26, 2001 Board of Supervisors — SEVERED FROM FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA

March 26, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 2 - Newsom, Yee

April 2, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 2 - Daly, Yee

File No. 010486

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on April 2, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

41 Library
100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

FILE NO. 010602 MOTION NO. M01-42

[Committee of the Whole]

Motion scheduling a Committee of the Whole to examine the process of re-drawing the district lines within San Francisco should the Department of the Elections find the need to do so, to be held on April 16, 2001 at 3:00 p.m.

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors convene as a Committee of the Whole to examine the process of re-drawing the district lines within San Francisco should the Department of the Elections find the need to do so, to be held on April 16, 2001 at 3:00 p.m.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 12 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisor, Sandoval, Hall
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010602 **Date Passed:** April 9, 2001

Motion scheduling a Committee of the Whole to examine the process of re-drawing the district lines within San Francisco should the Department of Elections find the need to do so, to be held on April 16, 2001 at 3:00 p.m.

April 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 010602

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on April 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Jean Lum
Acting Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010604 MOTION NO. M01-43

41 Library
100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 27 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom, Yee, Leno, Daly, Peskin, McGoldrick, Maxwell
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010604 **Date Passed:** April 9, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

April 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 010604

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on April 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Jean Lum
Acting Clerk of the Board

As Amended in Board 4/9/01

FILE NO. 010608 **ORIGINAL** When Stamped In Red MOTION NO. M01-44

[Motion setting special off site meetings of the Finance.]

Motion setting special off site meetings of the Finance Committee for the purpose of receiving community input on the City and County of San Francisco's 2001-2002 budget.

WHEREAS, The Finance Committee will begin its deliberations on the City and County of San Francisco's 2000-2001 budget in June; and

WHEREAS, District community budget hearings provide a convenient, accessible forum for individuals to provide their input about the budget; and

WHEREAS, Special off site committee meetings will be held on the following dates and times and locations: Saturday, May 5, 2001, 1:00 ~~12~~ p.m., Grace Cathedral, 1100 California Street; and Tuesday, May 8, 2001, 6:30 p.m., Ella Hill Hutch Community Center, 1050 McAllister Street. Other meetings will be scheduled in the future during the month of May; now therefore, be it

Moved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby order that the aforementioned special off site Finance Committee meetings be held in the community.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 27 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010608 **Date Passed:** April 9, 2001

Motion setting special off site meetings of the Finance Committee for the purpose of receiving community input on the City and County of San Francisco's 2001-2002 budget.

April 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — SEVERED FROM FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA

April 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

April 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 010608

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on April 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Jean Lum
Acting Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010609 **MOTION** M01-45

APR 27 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

[Final Map for a 24 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 317 Harriet Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lots 3 and 125, Assessor's Block No. 3779, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "317 Harriet Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lots 3 and 125, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3779, comprising 8 sheets, approved the 4th day of April, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,876, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "317 Harriet Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated August 1, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010609 **Date Passed:** April 9, 2001

Motion approving final map of 317 Harriet Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lots 3 and 125, Assessor's Block No. 3779, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

April 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 010609

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on April 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Jean Lum
Acting Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010613 MOTION NO. M01-46

DOCUMENTS DEPT
APR 27 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

[Final Map for a 14 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 761 Tehama Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 90, Assessor's Block No. 3729, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 761 Tehama Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 90, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3729, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 11th day of April, 2001, by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,887, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "761 Tehama Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated November 7, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr. - RCE
Division Manager

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010613 **Date Passed:** April 9, 2001

Motion approving final map of 761 Tehama Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 90, Assessor's Block No. 3729, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

April 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 010613

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on April 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Jean Lum
Acting Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010614 **MOTION NO.** M01-47

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 27 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

[Final Map for a 6 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 374 11th Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 12, Assessor's Block No. 3521, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 374 11th Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 12, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3521, comprising 6 sheets, approved the 11th day of April, 2001, by Department of Public Works Order No. 172, 888, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "374 11th Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated July 20, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010614 **Date Passed:** April 9, 2001

Motion approving final map of 374 11th Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 12, Assessor's Block No. 3521, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

April 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 010614

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on April 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Jean Lum
Acting Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010700 MOTION NO. M01-48

[Motion setting special off site meetings of the Finance.]

Motion setting special off site meetings of the Finance Committee for the purpose of receiving community input on the City and County of San Francisco's 2001-2002 budget.

WHEREAS, The Finance Committee will begin its deliberations on the City and County of San Francisco's 2000-2001 budget in June; and

WHEREAS, District community budget hearings provide a convenient, accessible forum for individuals to provide their input about the budget; and

WHEREAS, Special off site committee meetings will be held on the following dates and times and locations: Saturday, May 12, 2001; 10:00 a.m., James Denman Middle School 241 Oneida Street; Wednesday, May 16, 2001; 7:00 p.m., Stonestown YMCA, Lane Senior Annex, 3150 20th Avenue; Thursday, May 17, 2001, 7 p.m., Everett Middle School, 450 Church Street; Saturday, May 19, 2001; 12:00 p.m., Southeast Community Facility, 1800 Oakdale Avenue; Wednesday, May 23, 2001; 7 p.m., George Moscone Elementary School, 2576 Harrison Street; Wednesday, May 30, 2001; 5:30 p.m., Tenderloin Police Station, 301 Eddy Street; and Thursday, May 31, 2001, 6:30 p.m., Newcomer High School, 2340 Jackson Street. Other meetings will be scheduled in the future during the month of May; now therefore, be it

Moved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby order that the aforementioned special off site Finance Committee meetings be held in the community.

DOCUMENTS DEPT

APR 2 7 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010700 **Date Passed:** April 23, 2001

Motion setting special off site meetings of the Finance Committee for the purpose of receiving community input on the City and County of San Francisco's 2001-2002 budget.

April 23, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 6 - Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin
Absent: 5 - Ammiano, Leno, Newsom, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010700

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on April 23, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Jean Lum
Acting Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010721 MOTION NO. M01-49

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 2[illegible]

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom, Yee, Leno, Daly, Peskin, McGoldrick, Maxwell
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010721 **Date Passed:** April 23, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

April 23, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 6 - Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin
Absent: 5 - Ammiano, Leno, Newsom, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010721

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on April 23, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Jean Lum
Acting Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010727 File Copy Do Not Remove **MOTION** M01-50

[Final Map for a 12 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 370 7th Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 198, Assessor's Block No. 3755, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 370 7th Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 198, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3755, comprising 6 sheets, approved the 18th day of April, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,903, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "370 7th Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated May 20, 1999, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the Master Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 1 8 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010727 **Date Passed:** April 23, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 370 7th Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 198, Assessor's Block No. 3755, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

April 23, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 6 - Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin
Absent: 5 - Ammiano, Leno, Newsom, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010727

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on April 23, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Jean Lum
Acting Clerk of the Board

File Copy
Do Not Remove

FILE NO. 010663 MOTION NO. M01-51

[Tentative Subdivision Map]

Motion disapproving decision of the Director of Public Works, approving Tentative Subdivision Map to legalize the proposed subdivision creating three lots in Assessor's Block 4031, Lot 26, at 692-696 De Haro Street.

MOVED, That the decision of the Department of Public Works, dated March 28, 2001, affirming approval of Tentative Subdivision Map to legalize the proposed subdivision of Lot 26 in Assessor's Block 4031 into a 3 lot subdivision at a location described as follows:

262-696 Deharo Street; west side between 18th and 19th Streets;

Lot 26, in Assessor's Block No. 4031, in an RH-2 (Residential, Two House) District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District,

be and the same is approved.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 20

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010663 **Date Passed:** April 30, 2001

Motion disapproving decision of the Director of Public Works, approving Tentative Subdivision Map to legalize the proposed subdivision creating three lots in Assessor's Block 4031, Lot 26, at 692-696 De Haro Street.

April 23, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 8 - Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 3 - Ammiano, Newsom, Yee

April 30, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval
Noes: 3 - Hall, Newsom, Yee

File No. 010663

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on April 30, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010664 MOTION NO. M01-52

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Findings]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings relating to Tentative Subdivision Map to legalize the proposed subdivision creating three lots in Assessor's Block 4031, Lot 26, at 692-696 De Haro Street, for consideration by the Board at its next meeting.

IT IS HEREBY MOVED, That the Clerk of the Board be and she is hereby directed to prepare findings relating to Tentative Subdivision Map to legalize the proposed subdivision creating three lots in Assessor's Block No. 4031, Lot 26, at 692-692 De Haro Street for consideration by the Board at its next meeting.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 18
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010664 **Date Passed:** April 30, 2001

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings relating to Tentative Subdivision Map to legalize the proposed subdivision creating three lots in Assessor's Block 4031, Lot 26, at 692-696 De Haro Street, for consideration by the Board at its next meeting.

April 23, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 8 - Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 3 - Ammiano, Newsom, Yee

April 30, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval
Noes: 3 - Hall, Newsom, Yee

File No. 010664

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on April 30, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010757 File Copy Do Not Remove **MOTION** M01-53

[Final Map for a 103 Unit Residential Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of The Brannan – Tower "B" (229 Brannan Street), a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 516, Assessor's Block No. 3789, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of The Brannan – Tower "B" (229 Brannann Street), A Residential Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 516, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3789, comprising 16 sheets, approved the 25th day of April, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,913, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "The Brannan - Tower "B" (229 Brannan Street), A Residential Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated April 23, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 18 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010757 **Date Passed:** April 30, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of The Brannan - Tower "B" (229 Brannan Street), a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 516, Assessor's Block No. 3789, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

April 30, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 010757

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on April 30, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010758 File Copy Do Not Remove MOTION M01-54

[Final Map for a 19 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 360 6th Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 8, Assessor's Block No. 3754, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 360 6th Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 8, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3754, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 25th day of April, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,921, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "360 6th Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated November 22, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010758 **Date Passed:** April 30, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 360 6th Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 8, Assessor's Block No. 3754, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

April 30, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 010758

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on April 30, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010765 MOTION NO. M01-55

[Committee of the Whole]

Motion scheduling a Committee of the Whole to consider a resolution approving a contract for the purchase of power from Calpine Energy Services, to be held on May 7, 2001 at 3:00 p.m.

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors convene as a Committee of the Whole to consider a resolution approving a contract for the purchase of power from Calpine Energy Services, to be held on May 7, 2001 at 3:00 p.m.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY - 9 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010765 **Date Passed:** April 30, 2001

Motion scheduling a Committee of the Whole to consider a resolution approving a contract for the purchase of power from Calpine Energy Services, to be held on May 7, 2001 at 3:00 p.m.

April 30, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 010765

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on April 30, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010767 As amended in Board 4/30/01 MOTION NO. M01-56

[Motion setting special off site meetings of the Finance.]

Motion setting special off site meetings of the Finance Committee for the purpose of receiving community input on the City and County of San Francisco's 2001-2002 Budget.

WHEREAS, The Finance Committee will begin its deliberations on the City and County of San Francisco's 2000-2001 budget in June; and

WHEREAS, District community budget hearings provide a convenient, accessible forum for individuals to provide their input about the budget; and

WHEREAS, Special off site committee meetings will be held on the following dates, times and locations: Saturday, May 19, 2001, 10:00 a.m., Richmond Recreation Center, 251 18th Avenue, and Tuesday, May 22, 2001, 6:30 p.m., Sunset Recreation Center, ~~2001~~ 2201 Lawton Street; now, therefore, be it

Moved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby order that the aforementioned special off site Finance Committee meetings be held in the community.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY - 3 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010767 **Date Passed:** April 30, 2001

Motion setting special off site meetings of the Finance Committee for the purpose of receiving community input on the City and County of San Francisco's 2001-2002 Budget.

April 30, 2001 Board of Supervisors — SEVERED FROM FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA

April 30, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

April 30, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 010767

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on April 30, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

41 Library
100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

FILE NO. 010810 MOTION NO. M01-57

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

[illegible] 1 8 [illegible]

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom, Yee, Leno, Daly, Peskin, McGoldrick, Maxwell

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010810 **Date Passed:** May 7, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

May 7, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin
Absent: 3 - Newsom, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010810

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on May 7, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum
Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010814 File Copy Do Not Remove MOTION NO. M01-58

[Findings for the Tentative/Parcel Subdivision Map Appeal for 692-696 De Haro Street.]

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the decision of the Director of Public Works approving a Tentative/Parcel Map to legalize a proposed subdivision creating three lots in Assessor Block 4031, Lot 26, at 692-696 De Haro Street.

The appellants, Barry and Barbara Deutsch, through their attorney, Christopher Cole, filed a timely appeal on April 6, 2001, protesting the March 28, 2001, decision of the Director of Public Works approving a proposed Tentative/Parcel Map to legalize a proposed subdivision creating three lots in Assessor's Block 4031, Lot 26, at 692-696 De Haro Street.

The public hearing before the Board of Supervisors on said appeal was scheduled for April 23, 2001. On April 23, 2001, the Board of Supervisors conducted a duly noticed hearing on the appeal from the approval of the Tentative/Parcel Map.

In reviewing the appeal of the approval of the requested Tentative/Parcel Map, the Board of Supervisors reviewed and considered the written record before the Board, including, but not limited to, the project's negative declaration, adopted pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act, and all of the public comments made in support of and opposed to the appeal.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby finds that:

1. Pursuant to the California Subdivision Map Act (Government Code Sections 66410 et seq.), including Section 66474 (a)-(d), and based upon substantial evidence in the record before this Board and in the files of the Department of Public Works and the City Planning Department, the Map is not consistent with the San Francisco General Plan, and the design or improvement of the proposed subdivision is not consistent with the San Francisco General

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 1 8 2011
SAN FRANCISCO

Plan. Specifically, the Board determines that the Map and its affect on the existing historic on-site structure are inconsistent with the General Plan, including, without limitation, the following enumerated policies:

a. Urban Design Element, Objective 2 (conservation of resources which provide a sense of nature, continuity with the past, and freedom from overcrowding), in particular Policy 4 (preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development).

b. Recreation and Open Space Element, Objective 2 (develop and maintain a diversified and balanced citywide system of high quality public open space), Policy 13 (preserve and protect significant natural resource areas).

c. Environmental Protection Element, Objective 8 (ensure the protection of plant and animal life in the City), Policy 2 (protect the habitats of known plant and animal species that require a relatively natural environment).

2. That this Board finds that the proposed tentative/parcel map is inconsistent with the Priority Policies of the San Francisco General Plan, as set forth in Planning Code Section 101.1. In particular, the proposed tentative/parcel map is inconsistent with Section 101.1(b)(2) (conservation and protection of existing housing and neighborhood character) and 101.1(b)(3) (preservation and enhancement of the City's supply of affordable housing).

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors, on April 30, 2001, after carefully balancing the competing public and private interests, disapproved the decision of the Director of Public Works on March 28, 2001, and disapproved the proposed Tentative/Parcel Map which would have legalized a proposed subdivision creating three lots in Assessor's Block 4031, Lot 26, at 692-696 De Haro Street.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010814 **Date Passed:** May 7, 2001

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the decision of the Director of Public Works approving a Tentative/Parcel Map to legalize a proposed subdivision creating three lots in Assessor's Block 4031, Lot 26, at 692-696 De Haro Street.

May 2, 2001 Board of Supervisors — REFERRED: Board of Supervisors

May 7, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010814

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on May 7, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010832

File Copy
Do Not Remove

MOTION M01-59

[Final Map for an 6 Unit Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 1143 - 1153 Leavenworth Street, a Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 2, Assessor's Block No. 248, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 1143 - 1153 Leavenworth Street, A Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 2, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 248, comprising 6 sheets, approved the 2nd day of May, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,935, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "1143 - 1153 Leavenworth Street, A Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated January 28, 1998, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the Master Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 18 2011
SAN FRANCISCO

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010832 **Date Passed:** May 7, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 1143 - 1153 Leavenworth Street, a Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 2, Assessor's Block No. 248, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

May 7, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin
Absent: 3 - Newsom, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010832

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on May 7, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum

Gloria L. Young
for Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010833

File Copy
Do Not Remove

MOTION M01-60

[Final Map for an 8 Unit Residential Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 63 Lafayette Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 62, Assessor's Block No. 3511, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 63 Lafayette Street, A Residential Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 62, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3511, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 2nd day of May, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,927, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "63 Lafayette Street, A Residential Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated January 5, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010833 **Date Passed:** May 7, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 63 Lafayette Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 62, Assessor's Block No. 3511, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

May 7, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin
Absent: 3 - Newsom, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010833

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on May 7, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jean Lum
Gloria L. Young
for Clerk of the Board

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE - 5/2/01
As amended in Board - 5/14/01

File Copy
Do Not Remove

FILE NO. 001783 MOTION NO. M01-61

[Release of Reserve for Legal Assistance to Property Owners of Limited Means.]

Motion releasing $100,000 placed on Board of Supervisors Reserve for the Legal Assistance to Property Owners of Limited Means Program.

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors, as part of the FY2000-2001 budget, approved $100,000 for the development of a program to provide legal assistance to property owners of limited means; and,

WHEREAS, This money was included in the Mayor's Office of Economic Development's budget, allocated to the San Francisco Apartment Association, and placed on Board of Supervisors' reserve pending submittal of the program details; and

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Apartment Association, in concert with the Coalition for Better Housing, the San Francisco Association of Realtors, the Professional Property Management Association, Chinese Realtors of America and the Association of Homeowners has developed a proposal which offers bi-lingual services to income-qualified property owners in San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, Legal services will focus on real property legal issues, specifically, but not limited to collection of delinquent rent, enforcement of covenants, and representation before city departments; and

WHEREAS, Many property owners of limited means have removed their units from the rental market, further impacting an already tight rental housing market, or cannot occupy their own home because their limited income cannot cover their legal fees; and

WHEREAS, A number individuals who would benefit from this program, for example the Galvez family whose situation has been chronicled in the local newspapers, have been

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 1 8
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

unable to access subsidized legal services, and have had to expend thousands of dollars to be able to legally move into their own homes; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby release the $100,000 from reserve for the Legal Assistance to Property Owners with Limited Means program; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Apartment Association is requested to report back to the Finance Committee by December 31, 2001, as to the results of the first six months of the new program, and, be it

/14/01

FURTHER MOVED, That these released funds shall not be used for the purpose of tenant evictions based on owner move-ins or the Ellis Act.

rt

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 001783 **Date Passed:** May 14, 2001

Motion releasing $100,000 placed on Board of Supervisors reserve for the legal assistance to property owners of limited means program.

May 14, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

May 14, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 1 - Gonzalez
Absent: 1 - Daly

File No. 001783

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on May 14, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010861 MOTION NO. M01-62

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Committee of the Whole.]

Motion scheduling a Committee of the Whole to consider an ordinance adopting City policy regarding the construction of new electric power generation capacity in Southeast San Francisco, to be held on May 21, 2001 at 3:00 p.m.

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors convene as a Committee of the Whole to consider an ordinance adopting City policy regarding the construction of new electric power generation capacity in Southeast San Francisco, to be held on May 21, 2001 at 3:00 p.m.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 [illegible]

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010861 **Date Passed:** May 14, 2001

Motion scheduling a Committee of the Whole to consider an ordinance adopting City policy regarding the construction of new electric power generation capacity in Southeast San Francisco, to be held on May 21, 2001 at 3:00 p.m.

May 14, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 010861

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on May 14, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010871 File Copy Do Not Remove MOTION NO. M01-63

[Final Map for a 226 Unit Condominium Project.]

Motion approving Final Map of Avalon Towers By The Bay, (388 Beale Street), a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 91, Assessor's Block No. 3747, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of Avalon Towers By The Bay, (388 Beale Street), A Residential Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 91, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3747, comprising 21 sheets, approved the 9th day of May, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,953, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "Avalon Towers By The Bay, (388 Beale Street), A Residential Condominium Project".; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated June 28, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the Master Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:	DESCRIPTION APPROVED:
Edwin M. Lee Director Public Works	Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE Division Manager

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 18 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010871 **Date Passed:** May 14, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of Avalon Towers By The Bay, (388 Beale Street), a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 91, Assessor's Block No. 3747, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

May 14, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 010871

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on May 14, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010911

ORIGINAL
When Stamped In Red

MOTION NO. M01-64

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Board Off-site Special Rules Committee Meeting]

Motion scheduling a special meeting of the Rules Committee be held on TUESDAY JUNE 5, 2001 at the HORACE MANN ACADEMIC MIDDLE SCHOOL, 3351 TWENTY-THIRD STREET, AUDITORIUM in the MISSION NEIGHBORHOOD of San Francisco at 7:00PM.

WHEREAS, In the November 1993 election, Proposition O was passed by the voters of San Francisco to allow meetings of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to be held outside of City Hall; and

WHEREAS, Specifically, many residents of the MISSION have expressed the desire to have direct dialogue and more access to the Board of Supervisors members to discuss the issue of the Day Laborers; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby order a JUNE 5, 2001 Special meeting of the Rules Committee to be held at the HORACE MANN ACADEMIC MIDDLE SCHOOL in the Mission neighborhood of San Francisco to discuss the San Francisco Day Laborer issue at 7:00PM.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano,

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010911 **Date Passed:** May 14, 2001

Motion scheduling a special meeting of the Rules Committee to be held on Tuesday, June 5, 2001, at the Horace Mann Academic Middle School, 3351 Twenty-third Street, Auditorium in the Mission neighborhood of San Francisco at 7:00 p.m.

May 14, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 010911

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on May 14, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

File Copy
Do Not Remove

FILE NO. 010862 MOTION NO. M01-65

[Special Offsite Committee meeting.]

Motion setting a special offsite meeting of the Audit, Labor and Government Efficiency Committee for the purpose of receiving community input on the following items concerning Single Room Occupancy (SRO) Hotels: File Numbers 00-1926, 00-1185, 01-0024, and 01-0526. (Tuesday, June 12, 2001 – 3:30 PM to 6:30 PM, Seneca Hotel lobby, 34-6th Street)

WHEREAS, The Audit, Labor and Government Efficiency Committee has motioned to have a special meeting offsite on Tuesday, June 12th, 2001; and,

WHEREAS, It is critical that those persons who reside in Single Room Occupancy (SRO) Hotels have the opportunity to provide input about the living conditions and safety while residing in these hotels; and,

WHEREAS, Community meetings provide a convenient, accessible forum for individuals to provide their input about SRO hotels; and,

WHEREAS, A special offsite committee meeting will be held on June 12th, 2001 from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. in the Seneca Hotel lobby area located at 34-6th Street; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby order that the aforementioned special offsite Audit, Labor and Government Efficiency Committee meeting be held in the community.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 1 8
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010862 **Date Passed:** May 21, 2001

Motion setting a special offsite meeting of the Audit, Labor and Government Efficiency Committee for the purpose of receiving community input on the following items concerning Single Room Occupancy (SRO) Hotels; File Numbers 00-1926, 00-1185, 01-0024, and 01-0526. (Tuesday, June 12, 2001 - 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. Seneca Hotel lobby, 34-6th Street.)

May 21, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 2 - Newsom, Yee

File No. 010862

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on May 21, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010916

File Copy
Do Not Remove

MOTION NO. M01-66

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
[illegible] 1 8 [illegible]
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom, Yee, Leno, Daly, Peskin, McGoldrick, Maxwell
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010916 **Date Passed:** May 21, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

May 21, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 3 - Leno, Newsom, Yee

File No. 010916

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on May 21, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011003

MOTION M01-67

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Final Map for an 18 Unit Residential Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 560 Haight Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 6A, Assessor's Block No. 848, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "560 Haight Street, A Residential Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 6A, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 848, comprising 7 sheets, approved the 21st day of May, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,970, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "560 Haight Street, A Residential Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated February 21, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011003 **Date Passed:** May 29, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 560 Haight Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 6A, Assessor's Block No. 848, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

May 29, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 2 - McGoldrick, Sandoval

File No. 011003

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on May 29, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE - 5/30/01
As Amended in Board 6/4/01

FILE NO. 010863 File Copy Do Not Remove MOTION NO. M01-68

[Release of the Sunset Infrastructure Reserve.]

/4/01
rt

Motion releasing ~~$500,000~~ ~~$475,000~~ $490,000 placed on Board of Supervisors Reserve for Sunset Infrastructure.

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors, in its FY2000-2001 budget, approved $500,000 for improvements to Sunset infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, This funding was placed on reserve pending a review of the program details; and

WHEREAS, The Department of Public Works, in conjunction with the Recreation and Park Department and the Department of Parking and Traffic, has developed cost estimates for the projects and has the program details; and

WHEREAS, The money will fund critically needed pedestrian safety projects and recreation and park projects; now, therefore, be it

/4/01
rt

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby release ~~$500,000~~ ~~$475,000~~ $490,000 placed on reserve for various Sunset infrastructure projects.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 18 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010863 **Date Passed:** June 4, 2001

Motion releasing $490,000 placed on Board of Supervisors Reserve for Sunset Infrastructure.

June 4, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED

Ayes: 7 - Ammiano, Daly, Hall, Maxwell, Newsom, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 3 - Gonzalez, Leno, Peskin
Absent: 1 - McGoldrick

June 4, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 1 - Gonzalez
Absent: 1 - McGoldrick

File No. 010863

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on June 4, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010920 MOTION NO. M01-69

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Appointment]

Motion taking no position concerning the Mayor's appointment of Myrna Lim to the Planning Commission, for term ending January 15, 2002.

WHEREAS, Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100, the Mayor has submitted a communication notifying the Board of Supervisors of the appointment of Myrna Lim to the Planning Commission, received on May 11, 2001; and

WHEREAS, Under Charter Section 3.100, the Board of Supervisors has the authority to reject the appointment by a two-thirds vote (eight votes) within thirty days following transmittal of the Mayor's Notice of Appointment, and the failure of the Board to reject the appointment by two-thirds vote within the thirty day time period shall result in the appointee continuing to serve as appointed; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors, by Motion 01-34, established a process to review the Mayor's appointment to the Planning Commission; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby takes no position concerning the Mayor's appointment of Myrna Lim to the Planning Commission, for term ending January 15, 2002.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 [illegible]

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010920 **Date Passed:** June 4, 2001

Motion taking no position concerning the Mayor's appointment of Myrna Lim to the Planning Commission, for term ending January 15, 2002.

June 4, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 7 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, Peskin, Sandoval
Noes: 3 - Daly, Newsom, Yee
Absent: 1 - McGoldrick

File No. 010920

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on June 4, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011053 MOTION NO. M01-70

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 1 8 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom, Yee, Leno, Daly, Peskin, McGoldrick, Maxwell
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011053 **Date Passed:** June 4, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

June 4, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

Absent: 1 - McGoldrick

File No. 011053

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on June 4, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011034 File Copy Do Not Remove **MOTION** M01-71

[Final Map for a 7 Unit Residential Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 419 Fulton Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 39, Assessor's Block No. 793, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "419 Fulton Street, A Residential Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 39, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 793, comprising 4 sheets, approved the 21st day of May, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,972, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "419 Fulton Street, A Residential Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated April 17, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 18 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011034 **Date Passed:** June 11, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 419 Fulton Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 39, Assessor's Block No. 793, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

June 11, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011034

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on June 11, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011055 File Copy [illegible] Remove **MOTION** M01-72

[Final Map for a 6 Unit Mixed Use Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 798 South Van Ness and 3310 19th Street, a Mixed Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 10, Assessor's Block No. 3590, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 798 South Van Ness Avenue and 3310 19th Street, A Mixed Use Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 10, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3590, comprising 3 sheets, approved the 30th day of May, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,989, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "798 South Van Ness Avenue and 3310 19th Street, A Mixed Use Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated July 25, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 1 8 [illegible]
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011055 **Date Passed:** June 11, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 798 South Van Ness and 3310 19th Street, a Mixed Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 10, Assessor's Block No. 3590, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

June 11, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011055

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on June 11, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole
in committee 6/7/01

FILE NO. 010701

MOTION NO. M01-73

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Time limits for public speakers who request translation assistance.]

Motion amending the Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors by adding Rule 1.2.1, which will provide twice the amount of speaking time for public speakers who request translation assistance at meetings of the Board of Supervisors and Board Committees.

Note: This entire Rule is new.

WHEREAS, Section 67.15 of the Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance) requires that the Board of Supervisors and its Committees shall apply uniformly time limits on public testimony; and,

WHEREAS, Section 67.13 (e) of the Administrative Code and Rule 1.2 of the Board's Rules of Order establish a process for members of the public to request the assistance of translators at regular Board meetings and Board Committee meetings; and

WHEREAS, Persons who testify at public meetings who request translation services would be unfairly denied their right to be heard by Supervisors and members of the public if they were required to testify and have their testimony translated within the same time period given to other speakers who do not need translation services – in effect they would be given only half as much time to present their testimony as members of the public who do not request translation assistance; and

WHEREAS, The purpose of this Motion is to place in the Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors the policy of the Board to provide equal opportunity for members of the public who request translation assistance when addressing the Board and its Committees, and that translation time will not be deducted from a uniform time limit applied to public speakers; the Rule will allow these public speakers to have their total testimony time limit doubled, thereby

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 18 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

allowing them the opportunity to testify for the same amount of time as all other members of the public, and allowing the same amount of time for translation of their testimony, which is beneficial to Supervisors and members of the public; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That Rule 1.2.1 is hereby added to the Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors, to read as follows:

"**RULE 1.2.1. Time limits for public speakers who request translation assistance**. When a member of the public is addressing the Board of Supervisors or one of its Committees, and when time limits have been placed on public testimony, the Chair of the meeting, in order to afford all public speakers a uniform time limit for testimony, shall allow persons requesting translation assistance to testify for twice the amount of the time limit, thereby providing uniform time for the speaker's testimony, as well as the time necessary for the translation of the testimony for the benefit of the Supervisors and the public. Public speakers who use simultaneous translation services will be governed by the public testimony time limit applied to speakers who have not requested translation assistance."

and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to send a copy of this Motion to all Boards, Commissions, and Task Forces of the City, and to convey the Board's request that all such City bodies adopt similar policies for the conduct of their public meetings.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010701 **Date Passed:** June 18, 2001

Motion amending the Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors by adding Rule 1.2.1, which will provide twice the amount of speaking time for public speakers who request translation assistance at meetings of the Board of Supervisors and Board Committees.

June 18, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 1 - McGoldrick

File No. 010701

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on June 18, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011105

File Copy
Do Not Remove

MOTION NO. M01-74

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom, Yee, Leno, Daly, Peskin, McGoldrick, Maxwell
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011105 **Date Passed:** June 18, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

June 18, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011105

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on June 18, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010874 MOTION NO. M01-75

[Environmental Review]

File Copy
Do Not Remove

Motion certifying review of Final Negative Declaration relating to proposed Conditional Use of Property at 3620 19th Street, and adopting findings pursuant to Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That on April 5, 2001 as part of File No. 99.210E, the Planning Department adopted and issued a Negative Declaration at 3620 19th Street, making a finding that the project would have no significant impact on the environment; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors certifies that it has reviewed said Final Negative Declaration and adopts as its own the findings of the Planning Department.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 1 8 [illegible]
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010874 **Date Passed:** June 25, 2001

Motion certifying review of Final Negative Declaration relating to proposed Conditional Use of Property at 3620 19th Street, and adopting findings pursuant to Planning Code Section 101.1.

May 29, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 8 - Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 2 - Ammiano, Daly
Absent: 1 - McGoldrick

June 18, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 1 - Gonzalez

June 25, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 1 - Daly

File No. 010874

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on June 25, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

As Amended in Board
6/25/01

FILE NO. 010877 MOTION NO. M01-76

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Zoning Appeal]

Motion approving decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16134, which ~~approving~~ approved **Conditional Use Application No. 99.201C, on property located at 3620 19th Street, and** ~~adopting findings pursuant to Planning Code Section 101.1.~~ approving Conditional Use Application No. 99.201C with the conditions approved by the Planning Commission as amended by new conditions imposed by the Board of Supervisors.

MOVED, That the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No.16134, dated April 5, 2001 approving Conditional Use Application No. 99.210C, subject to certain conditions, a conditional use authorization to develop a residential project comprised of 43 dwelling units and 52 off-street parking spaces, requiring conditional use approval to develop the project as a Planned Unit Development, with exceptions from rear yard requirements and residential density standards within an RH-2 (Residential, House, Two-Family) District and an RH-3 (Residential, House, Three-Family) District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District, on property located at:

3620 19th Street, bounded on the south by 19th Street, on the north by 18th Street, on the west by Oakwood Street and on the east by Guerrero Street;

Lots 18, 68, 70, in Assessor's Block 3587,

be and the same is disapproved; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby approved Conditional Use Application No. 99.210C, subject to the conditions imposed by the Planning Commission in

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

its Motion No. 16134 as amended by the following conditions imposed by the Board of Supervisors:

1) Gateway building façade will be notched back from adjacent corner building. 2) Gateway building will be articulated as two masses on 19th Street creating the appearance of two separate buildings. 3) The northern portion of the Gateway building will be reduced in size. 4) Emergency vehicle access shifted west with addition of a lobby and secured indoor entrance. 5) Southern row house will be removed from west side the row of houses. 6) Second floor bedroom windows of western row of houses must face south, not west towards Oakwood. 7) The one-story; access from the Garage to the northern end of the Courtyard will be relocated to ensure light for existing windows. 8) The eastern end of the Commons Building will be reduced in size. 9) The roofs of Mews Buildings will be pitched down to the east to reduce height at property line. 10) One Mews Building shown on the 15 March 2001 site plan has been removed. 11) One pair of Mews Buildings will be shifted to align directly behind existing industrial building. 12) Project shall have up to 39 dwelling units and 52 independently accessible off-street parking spaces. 13) The Project Sponsor shall designate a total of 5 units as affordable [Below Market Rate (BMR] rental units to be constructed on the site of the principal project. 14) One of these five BMR units shall be of the Project Sponsor's choosing, while the remaining four (10 percent) of the BMR units shall reflect the unit size mix of the market rate units and shall be distributed in the following range of unit sizes: 2 two bedroom units in the Gateway Building; 1 one-bedroom unit in the Gateway Building; 1 two-bedroom unit in the Commons Building. 15) All five of the BMR units shall be (i) interspersed evenly throughout the project, (ii) marked concurrently with the

rental of the market-rate units in the Project and (iii) constructed of the same quality and materials as the market-rate units in the Project.

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010877 **Date Passed:** June 25, 2001

Motion disapproving decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16134, which approved Conditional Use Application No. 99.201C, on property located at 3620 19th Street, and approving Conditional Use Application No. 99.201C with the conditionsapproved by the Planning Commission as amended by new conditions imposed by the Board of Supervisors.

May 29, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 8 - Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 2 - Ammiano, Daly
Absent: 1 - McGoldrick

June 18, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 1 - Gonzalez

June 25, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED

June 25, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 2 - Daly, Gonzalez

File No. 010877

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on June 25, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010878 MOTION NO. M01-77

[Findings]

File Copy
Do Not Remove

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings relating to proposed Conditional Use Application No. 99.201C, on property located at 3620 19th Street, for action by the Board at its next meeting.

IT IS HEREBY MOVED, That the Clerk of the Board be and he/she is hereby directed to prepare findings relating to proposed Conditional Use Application No. 99.201C on property located at 3620 19th Street, for action by the Board at its next meeting.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 1 8 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010878 **Date Passed:** June 25, 2001

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings relating to proposed Conditional Use Application No. 99.201C, on property located at 3620 19th Street, for action by the Board at its next meeting.

May 29, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 8 - Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 2 - Ammiano, Daly
Absent: 1 - McGoldrick

June 18, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 1 - Gonzalez

June 25, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 1 - Daly

File No. 010878

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on June 25, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

File No. 010878

FILE NO. 011001 MOTION NO. M01-78

[Ethics Commission Regulation]

File Copy
Do Not Remove

Motion vetoing proposed regulation number 67-33-1, adopted by the Ethics Commission on May 14, 2001, that would clarify the time and manner for filing the annual Sunshine Ordinance declaration required by San Francisco Administrative Code 67.33 – Department Head Declaration.

MOVED, That pursuant to Charter Sec. 15.102, which states that regulations adopted by the Ethics Commission become effective 60 days after the date of adoption unless two-thirds of the members of the Board of Supervisors vote to veto the regulations during the 60-day period, proposed regulation number 67-33-1, adopted by the Ethics Commission on May 14, 2001, to clarify the time and manner for filing the annual Sunshine Ordinance declaration required by San Francisco Administrative Code 67.33 – Department Head Declaration, is vetoed.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAY 1 8 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011001 **Date Passed:** July 2, 2001

Motion vetoing proposed regulation number 67-33-1, adopted by the Ethics Commission on May 14, 2001, that would clarify the time and manner for filing the annual Sunshine Ordinance declaration required by San Francisco Administrative Code 67.33 - Department Head Declaration.

July 2, 2001 Board of Supervisors — NOT APPROVED

Noes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011001

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was NOT APPROVED on July 2, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011002

File Copy
Do Not Remove

MOTION NO. M01-79

[Ethics Commission Regulation]

Motion vetoing proposed regulation numbers 1.140(k)-1, 1.140(o)-1, 1.142(a)-1, 1.142(a)-2, 1.142(a)-3, 1.144(c)-1, 1.144(d)-1, 1.144(e)-1, 1.148(b)-1, 1.148(d)-1, 1.114-1, 1.128-1, 3.710(a)-1, 3.710(b)-1, 3.710(c)-1, 3.710(d)-1, 3.715(a)-1, 3.715(a)-2, 3.720(a)-1, and 3.730-1, adopted by the Ethics Commission on May 14, 2001, that would implement Propositions O and J (November 7, 2000) and clarify related provisions of the City's Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code.

MOVED, That pursuant to Charter Sec. 15.102, which states that regulations adopted by the Ethics Commission become effective 60 days after the date of adoption unless two-thirds of the members of the Board of Supervisors vote to veto the regulations during the 60-day period, proposed regulation numbers 1.140(k)-1, 1.140(o)-1, 1.142(a)-1, 1.142(a)-2, 1.142(a)-3, 1.144(c)-1, 1.144(d)-1, 1.144(e)-1, 1.148(b)-1, 1.148(d)-1, 1.114-1, 1.128-1, 3.710(a)-1, 3.710(b)-1, 3.710(c)-1, 3.710(d)-1, 3.715(a)-1, 3.715(a)-2, 3.720(a)-1, and 3.730-1 adopted by the Ethics Commission on May 14, 2001, to implement Propositions O and J (November 7, 2000) and clarifying related provisions of the City's Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, are vetoed.

Rules Committee

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 1 8 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011002 **Date Passed:** July 2, 2001

Motion vetoing proposed regulation numbers 1.140(k)-1, 1.140(o)-1, 1.142(a)-1, 1.142(a)-2, 1.142(a)-3, 1.144(c)-1, 1.144(d)-1, 1.144(e)-1, 1.148(b)-1, 1.148(d)-1, 1.114-1, 1.128-1, 3.710(a)-1, 3.710(b)-1, 3.710(c)-1, 3.710(d)-1, 3.715(a)-1, 3.715(a)-2, 3.720(a)-1, and 3.730-1, adopted by the Ethics Commission on May 14, 2001, that would implement Propositions O and J (November 7, 2000) and clarify related provisions of the City's Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code.

July 2, 2001 Board of Supervisors — NOT APPROVED

Noes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011002

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was NOT APPROVED on July 2, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

File Copy
Do Not Remove

FILE NO. 011194 **MOTION NO.** M01-80

[Final Map for a 6 Unit Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 1344 Balboa Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 18, Assessor's Block No. 1557, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "1344 Balboa Street A Residential Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 18, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 1557, comprising 3 sheets, approved the 31st day of January, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 172,773, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "1344 Balboa Street, A Residential Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated March 28, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached Motion No. 14962.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., RCE
Division Manager

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 18 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011194 **Date Passed:** July 2, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 1344 Balboa Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 18, Assessor's Block No. 1557, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1

July 2, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval

Absent: 2 - Maxwell, Yee

File No. 011194

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 2, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011195

File Copy
Do Not Remove

MOTION M01-81

[Final Map for a 11 Unit Commercial / Residential Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 500 Masonic Avenue, a Commercial / Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 8A , Assessor's Block No. 1175, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 500 Masonic Avenue, A Commercial / Residential Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 8A, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 1175, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 20th day of June, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,018, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "500 Masonic Avenue, A Commercial / Residential Condominium Project".

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated January 24, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Joseph L. Pelayo, Jr., - RCE
Division Manager

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011195 **Date Passed:** July 2, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 500 Masonic Avenue, a Commercial / Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 8A, Assessor's Block No. 1175, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1

July 2, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 2 - Maxwell, Yee

File No. 011195

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 2, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011219

MOTION NO. M01-82

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 18 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom, Yee, Leno, Daly, Peskin, McGoldrick, Maxwell
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011219 **Date Passed:** July 2, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

July 2, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval

Absent: 2 - Maxwell, Yee

File No. 011219

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 2, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

As Amended in Board
7/2/01

FILE NO. 011224 MOTION NO. M01-83

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Adopting findings related to the conditional use appeal on property located at 3620 19th St.]

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the Planning Commission's approval of Conditional Use Application No. 99.210C (which would have authorized the development of a residential project comprised of 43 dwelling units and 52 off-street parking spaces, required conditional use approval to develop the project as a Planned Unit Development, with exceptions from rear yard requirements and residential density standards within an RH-2 District and an RH-3 District and a 40-x Height and Bulk District, on property located at 3620 19th Street, bounded by 19th Street, 18th Street, Oakwood Street and Guerrero Street), which findings support the decision of the Board of Supervisors to disapprove the decision of the Planning Commission and to approve the Conditional Use Application, subject to the conditions imposed by the Planning Commission and the additional, superseding conditions imposed by the Board of Supervisors.

The appellants, John Barbey and Nick Pasquariello, filed a timely appeal on May 7, 2001, protesting the approval by the Planning Commission of an application for a conditional use authorization (Conditional Use Application No. 99.201C, approved by Commission Motion No. 16134 on April 5, 2001) authorizing the development of a residential project comprised of 43 dwelling units and 52 off-street parking spaces, requiring conditional use approval to develop the project as a Planned Unit Development, with exceptions from rear yard requirements and residential density standards within an RH-2 (residential, house, two-family) District and an RH-3 (residential, house, three-family) District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District, on property located at 3620 19th Street, bounded on the south by 19th Street, on the west by Oakwood Street, and on the east by Guerrero Street (Lots

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 18 2011
SAN FRANCISCO

18, 68, and 70 in Assessor's Block 3587).

The public hearing before the Board of Supervisors on said appeal was scheduled for May 29, 2001. On May 29 the Board conducted a duly noticed hearing on the appeal from the approval of the requested conditional use authorization. Following the completion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors continued its consideration of the appeal to June 18, 2001. On June 18 the Board of Supervisors continued its consideration of the appeal to June 25, 2001, and also decided to reopen the hearing on the appeal at the June 25 meeting. On June 25, 2001, the Board of Supervisors conducted the duly reopened hearing. Following the completion of the reopened hearing, the Board of Supervisors disapproved the decision of the Planning Commission and granted Conditional Use Application No. 99.201C subject to the conditions approved by the Planning Commission, and the additional, superseding conditions imposed by the Board of Supervisors at the June 25 meeting.

In reviewing the appeal of the approval of the requested conditional use authorization, this Board reviewed and considered the written record before the Board and all of the public comments made in support of and opposed to the appeal.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby adopts, except as stated below, as its own and incorporates by reference herein, as though fully set forth herein, the findings made by the planning Commission in its Motion No. 16134, dated April 5, 2001; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors makes the following additional findings:

1. The Project, as proposed, was not necessary or desirable for, and was not compatible with, the neighborhood or the community because: the project, as proposed, was not consistent with the neighborhood character of building design, density and mass; the development was more intense than the surrounding neighborhood.

2. The Project, as proposed, would be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity, or would be injurious to property, improvements or potential development in the vicinity because: the project, as proposed, would intrude upon the sun and light of the surrounding neighborhood; the Project would result in a loss of privacy, due to the placement of unit windows; the project would result in an increase in noise, traffic, and air pollution resulting from the density of the development and its parking and vehicular access design; the Project, as designed, would create safety hazards for Project residents and neighbors due to traffic design and building security design.

3. The Project, as proposed, would adversely affect the objectives and policies of the City's General Plan by providing housing at a density level that would not be compatible with the prevailing neighborhood scale, and by not providing a sufficient number of affordable ownership units.

For the reasons stated above the Board of Supervisors imposed, at the June 25, 2001, Board meeting, the following superseding conditions, in addition to those conditions previously imposed by the Planning Commission, on the issuance of the requested conditional use authorization:

a. The Gateway Building façade will be notched back from the adjacent corner building.

b. The Gateway Building will be articulated as two masses on 19th Street, creating the appearance of two separate buildings.

c. The northern portion of the Gateway Building will be reduced in size.

d. The emergency vehicle access will be shifted west with the addition of a lobby and secured indoor entrance.

e. The southern row house will be removed from the west side of the row of houses.

f. The second floor bedroom windows of the western row of houses must face south, and not west towards Oakwood.

g. The one-story access from the Garage to the northern end of the Courtyard will be relocated to ensure light for existing windows.

h. The eastern end of the Commons Building will be reduced in size.

i. The roofs of the Mews Buildings will be pitched down to the east to reduce height at the property line.

j. One Mews Building shown on the 15 March, 2001, site plan will be removed.

k. One pair of Mews Buildings will be shifted to align directly behind the existing industrial building.

l. The Project will have the number of dwelling units decreased to 39 units, with 52 independently accessible off-street parking spaces.

m. The Project sponsor shall designate a total of 5 units as affordable (Below Market Rate – BMR) rental units to be constructed on the site of the principal Project.

n. One of these five BMR units shall be of the Project Sponsor's choosing, while the remaining four (10 percent) of these BMR units shall reflect the unit size mix of the market rate units and shall be distributed in the following range of unit sizes: two 2-bedroom units in the Gateway Building; one 1-bedroom unit in the Gateway Building; and one 2-bedroom unit in the Commons Building.

o. All five of the BMR units shall be (i) interspersed evenly throughout the Project, (ii) marketed concurrently with the rental of the market-rate units in the Project, and (iii) constructed of the same quality and materials as the market-rate units in the Project.

p. The Project shall be in substantial compliance with the plans dated June 18, 2001, incorporated herein by reference, which plans were reviewed and considered by the Board of Supervisors on June 25, 2001 and are on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 011224.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reviewed the Final Negative Declaration for the Project adopted on April 5, 2001, by Planning Commission Motion No. 99.210E, and hereby adopts and incorporates by reference herein the CEQA findings for such Project as set forth in Planning Commission Motion No. 99.210E, dated April 5, 2001. The Board further finds that there is no need to prepare a subsequent Final Negative Declaration because there have been no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and there is no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the Final Negative Declaration.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that the proposed Project, as amended by the additional, superseding conditions imposed by the Board of Supervisors, is in conformity with the General Plan, and is consistent with the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors, after carefully balancing the competing public and private interests, disapproved and reversed the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16134, dated April 5, 2001, and authorized the development of a residential project comprised of 39 dwelling units and 52 off-street parking spaces, requiring conditional use approval to develop the project as a Planned Unit Development, with exceptions from rear yard requirements and residential density standards within an RH-2 (residential, house, two-family) District and an RH-3 (residential, house, three-family) District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District, on property located at 3620 19th Street, bounded on the south by 19th Street, on the north by 18th Street, on the west by Oakwood Street, and on the east by Guerrero Street (Lots 18, 68, and 70 in Assessor's Block 3587), conditioned upon the applicant complying with the conditions previously imposed by the Planning Commission, and the additional, superseding conditions imposed by the Board of Supervisors at the June 25, 2001, Board meeting, as listed earlier in this motion.

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011224 **Date Passed:** July 2, 2001

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the Planning Commission's approval of Conditional Use Application No. 99.210C (which would have authorized the development of a residential project comprised of 43 dwelling units and 52 off-street parking spaces, required conditional use approval to develop the project as a Planned Unit Development, with exceptions from rear yard requirements and residential density standards within an RH-2 District and RH-3 District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District, on property located at 3620 19th Street, bounded by 19th Street, 18th Street, Oakwood Street and Guerrero Street), which findings support the decision of the Board of Supervisors to disapprove the decision of the Planning Commission and to approve the Conditional Use Application, subject to the conditions imposed by the Planning Commission and the additional; superseding conditions imposed by the Board of Supervisors.

June 27, 2001	Board of Supervisors — REFERRED: Board of Supervisors
July 2, 2001	Board of Supervisors — AMENDED
July 2, 2001	Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee Noes: 1 - Daly

File No. 011224

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on July 2, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

As Amended in Board
7/9/01

FILE NO. 010809

File Copy
Do Not Remove

MOTION NO. M01-84

[Rules of Order; Business Tax Restructuring Committee.]

Motion amending the Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding ~~Section~~ Rule 5.9.5 ~~thereby creating~~ to create a new Business Tax Restructuring Committee of the Board, and by amending Rule 5.10 to provide that the Business Tax Restructuring Committee shall be a fiscal committee for the sole purpose of the consideration of business tax issues.

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby add ~~Section~~ Rule 5.9.5 to its Rules of Order as follows:

5.9.5. Business Tax Restructuring Committee. The Business Tax Restructuring Committee shall be referred measures related to restructuring the City and County of San Francisco business tax. ~~The Business Tax Restructuring Committee shall be a fiscal committee within the meaning of Administrative Code Section 2.6-3.~~

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors amends Rule 5.10 of its Rules of Order as follows:

5.10. Fiscal Committees. In accordance with Administrative Code Section 2.6-3 concerning fiscal impact, the Finance Committee shall be a "fiscal committee" of the Board, the Business Tax Restructuring Committee shall be a "fiscal committee" for the sole purpose of the consideration of business tax issues, the Audit, Labor and Government Efficiency Committee shall be a "fiscal committee" of the Board for purposes of considering proposed memoranda of understanding with City employee unions, and the other committees of the Board, solely for the purpose of considering grants to the City within their subject areas, shall also be considered "fiscal committees."

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 20

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010809 **Date Passed:** July 9, 2001

Motion amending the Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding Rule 5.9.5, to create a new Business Tax Restructuring Committee of the Board and by amending Rule 5.10 to provide that the Business Tax Restructuring Committee shall be a fiscal committee for the sole purpose of the consideration of business tax issues.

July 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

July 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED

July 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010809

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on July 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

File No. 010809

FILE NO. 010978 File Copy Do Not Remove MOTION NO. M01-85

[Zoning Appeal]

Motion approving decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16145, approving Conditional Use Application No. 2001.072C, on property located at 501-503 Laguna Street, northwest corner at Fell Street, and adopting findings pursuant to Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No.16145 dated April 19, 2001, approving Conditional Use Application No. 2001.072C, subject to certain conditions, to mount a total of three panel antennas within a single cylinder on the roof and install a base transceiver station in the basement, as part of Sprint's wireless telecommunications network at the two-story residential over commercial mixed-use building within the Hayes-Gough Neighborhood Zoning District (NCD) and a 50-X Height and Bulk District, pursuant to Section 720.83 of the Planning Code, on property located at:

501-503 Laguna Street, northwest corner at Fell Street;

Lot 35, in Assessor's Block 0819,

be and the same is approved.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 18 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010978 **Date Passed:** July 9, 2001

Motion approving decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16145, approving Conditional Use Application No. 2001.072C, on property located at 501-503 Laguna Street, northwest corner at Fell Street, and adopting findings pursuant to Planning Code Section 101.1.

June 11, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

June 25, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

July 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Noes: 2 - Daly, Yee

File No. 010978

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

File No. 010978

FILE NO. 010979 MOTION NO. M01-86

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Zoning Appeal]

Motion disapproving decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16145, approving Conditional Use Application No. 2001.072C, on property located at 501-503 Laguna Street, northwest corner at Fell Street, and adopting findings pursuant to Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No.16145 dated April 19, 2001, approving Conditional Use Application No. 2001.072C, subject to certain conditions, to mount a total of three panel antennas within a single cylinder on the roof and install a base transceiver station in the basement, as part of Sprint's wireless telecommunications network at the two-story residential over commercial mixed-use building within the Hayes-Gough Neighborhood Zoning District (NCD) and a 50-X Height and Bulk District, pursuant to Section 720.83 of the Planning Code, on property located at:

501-503 Laguna Street, northwest corner at Fell Street;

Lot 35, in Assessor's Block 0819,

be and the same is disapproved.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 18 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010979 **Date Passed:** July 9, 2001

Motion disapproving decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16145, approving Conditional Use Application No. 2001.072C, on property located at 501-503 Laguna Street, northwest corner at Fell Street, and adopting findings pursuant to Planning Code Section 101.1.

June 11, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

June 25, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

July 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — TABLED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 010979

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was TABLED on July 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010980

MOTION NO. M01-87

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Findings]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings relating to proposed Conditional Use Application No. 2001.072C, on property located at 501-503 Laguna Street, northwest corner at Fell Street, for action by the Board at its next meeting.

IT IS HEREBY MOVED, That the Clerk of the Board be and he/she is hereby directed to prepare findings relating to proposed Conditional Use Application No. 2001.072C on property located at 501-503 Laguna Street, for action by the Board at its next meeting.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 18 20

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010980 **Date Passed:** July 9, 2001

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings relating to proposed Conditional Use Application No. 2001.072C, on property located at 501-503 Laguna Street, northwest corner at Fell Street, for action by the Board at its next meeting.

June 11, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

June 25, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Yee

July 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Noes: 2 - Daly, Yee

File No. 010980

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

File Copy
Do Not Remove

FILE NO. 011231 MOTION NO. M01-88

[Final Map for a 12 Unit Mixed Use Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 828 Innes Avenue, A Mixed Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 5, Assessor's Block No. 4645, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 828 Innes Avenue, A Mixed Use Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 5, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 4645, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 6th day of July, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,058, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "828 Innes Avenue, A Mixed Use Condominium Project"; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated April 30, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:	DESCRIPTION APPROVED:
Edwin M. Lee Director of Public Works	John R. Martin, L.S. Division Manager

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 18 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011231 **Date Passed:** July 9, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 828 Innes Avenue, A Mixed Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 5, Assessor's Block No. 4645, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

July 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011231

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011232

File Copy
Do Not Remove

MOTION NO. M01-89

[Final Map for a 12 Unit Residential Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 545 Leavenworth Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 2, Assessor's Block No. 319, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 545 Leavenworth Street, a Residential Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 2, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 319, comprising 4 sheets, approved the 6th day of July, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,057, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "545 Leavenworth Street, A Residential Condominium Project"; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated February 22, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, L.S.
Division Manager

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 1 8
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Name of Supervisor/Committee/Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011232 **Date Passed:** July 9, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 545 Leavenworth Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 2, Assessor's Block No. 319, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

July 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011232

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011269 MOTION NO. M01-90

[Committee of the Whole.]

Motion setting a special meeting of the Board of Supervisors on July 14, 2001 at 10:00 AM, and a Committee of the Whole on July 23, 2001 at 3:00 PM.

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors values public participation in the budget process; and,

WHEREAS, The recommendations of the Finance Committee have yet to be heard with appropriate public comment; and

WHEREAS, The City's budget is more than $5.2 billion; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That a special meeting of the Board of Supervisors is set for July 14, 2001 at 10:00 AM to discuss the budget with public comment, and the Board will not take any action; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the consideration of the budget occur during a Committee of the Whole, with public comment, at July 23rd at 3:00 PM.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011269 **Date Passed:** July 9, 2001

Motion setting a special meeting of the Board of Supervisors on July 14, 2001 at 10:00 AM, and a Committee of the Whole on July 23, 2001 at 3:00 PM.

July 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — SEVERED FROM FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA

July 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — TABLED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011269

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was TABLED on July 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011270 File Copy Do Not Remove MOTION NO. M01-91

[Budget Analyst Review of Paramedic Merger.]

Motion requesting the Budget Analyst to compare the current status of the Health Department and Fire Department EMS Merger to the Budget Analyst report done prior to the merger.

MOVED, That the Budget Analyst compare the current status of the Health Department and Fire Department EMS Merger to the Budget Analyst report done prior to the merger; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the report include actual levels of operational efficiency and effectiveness in comparison to that promised prior to the merger, differences in actual merger costs versus those predicted, and differences in operational benchmarks, such as numbers of crosstrained firefighter/paramedics, set before the merger versus actual operational numbers.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 1 8 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011270 **Date Passed:** July 9, 2001

Motion requesting the Budget Analyst to compare the current status of the Health Department and Fire Department EMS Merger to the the Budget Analyst report done prior to the merger.

July 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011270

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011297 File Copy Do Not Remove MOTION NO. M01-92

[Final Map for a 24 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 590 6th Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 13, Assessor's Block No. 3779, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 590 6th Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 13, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3779, comprising 8 sheets, approved the 11th day of July, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,072, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "590 6th Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project"; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated February 7, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, L.S.
Division Manager

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 18 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Name of Supervisor/Committee/Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011297 **Date Passed:** July 16, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 590 6th Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 13, Assessor's Block No. 3779, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

July 16, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011297

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 16, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011139

File Copy
Do Not Remove

MOTION NO. M01-93

[Zoning Appeal]

Motion disapproving decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16161, approving Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1244C, on property located at 2489-2491 Washington Street, southeast corner at Fillmore Street, and adopting findings pursuant to Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No.16161 dated May 10, 2001, approving Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1244C, subject to certain conditions, to mount a total of three panel antennas on the roof and install a non-occupied telephone equipment room on the basement level, as part of Sprint's wireless telecommunications network on a 2-story over basement commercial building, within the Upper Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial District (NCD) and a 40-X Height and Bulk District, pursuant to Section 718.83 of the Planning Code, on property located at:

2489-2491 Washington Street, southeast corner at Fillmore Street;

Lot 022, in Assessor's Block 0612,

be and the same is disapproved.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 18 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011139 **Date Passed:** July 16, 2001

Motion disapproving decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16161 approving Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1244C, on property located at 2489-2491 Washington Street, southeast corner at Fillmore Street, and adopting findings pursuant to Planning Code Section 101.1.

July 2, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

July 16, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Noes: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 011139

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 16, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011140

File Copy
Do Not Remove

MOTION NO. M01-94

[Findings]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings relating to proposed Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1244C, on property located at 2489-2491 Washington Street, southeast corner at Fillmore Street, for action by the Board at its next meeting.

IT IS HEREBY MOVED, That the Clerk of the Board be and he/she is hereby directed to prepare findings relating to proposed Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1244C on property located at 2489-2491 Washington Street, for action by the Board at its next meeting.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 [illegible]

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011140 **Date Passed:** July 16, 2001

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings relating to proposed Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1244C, on property located at 2489-2491 Washington Street, southeast corner at Fillmore Street, for action by the Board at its next meeting.

July 2, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

July 16, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Noes: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 011140

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 16, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011276

File Copy
Do Not Remove

MOTION NO. M01-95

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom, Yee, Leno, Daly, Peskin, McGoldrick, Maxwell
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011276 **Date Passed:** July 16, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

July 16, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011276

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 16, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Y

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

File Copy
Do Not Remove

FILE NO. 011295 MOTION NO. M01-96

[Adopting findings related to the conditional use appeal on property located at 501 - 503 Laguna Street.]

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the Planning Commission's approval of Conditional Use Application No. 2001.072C (which authorized, subject to certain conditions, the mounting of three panel antennas within a single cylinder on the roof and the installation of a base transceiver station in the basement as part of a wireless telecommunications network) for a two-story residential over commercial mixed use building within the Hayes - Gough Neighborhood Zoning District (NCD) and a 50-X Height and Bulk District,, pursuant to Section 720.83 of the Planning Code, on property located at 501 - 503 Laguna Street, northwest corner at Fell Street (Lot 35 in Assessor's Block 0819).

The appellant, Erik Olsen, filed a timely appeal on May 21, 2001, protesting the approval by the Planning Commission of an application for a conditional use authorization (Conditional Use Application No. 2001.072C, approved by Commission Motion No 16145 on April 19, 2001) to mount, subject to certain conditions imposed by the Planning Commission. a total of three panel antennas within a single cylinder on the roof, and to install a base transceiver station in the basement, as part of Sprint's wireless telecommunications network, at a two-story residential over commercial mixed use building within the Hayes - Gough Neighborhood Zoning District (NCD) and a 50-X Height and Bulk District, pursuant to Section 720.83 of the Planning Code, on property located at 501 – 503 Laguna Street, northwest corner at Fell Street (Lot 35 in Assessor's Block 0819).

The public hearing before the Board of Supervisors on said appeal was scheduled for June 11, 2001. On June 11 the Board continued the public hearing on the appeal to June 25, 2001. On June 25 the Board conducted a duly noticed hearing on the appeal from the

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 18 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Planning Commission's approval referred to in the previous paragraph. Following the conclusion of the public hearing on June 25, the Board continued its consideration of the appeal to the Board meeting of July 9, 2001. At the July 9 meeting the Board of Supervisors approved the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16145, which approved Conditional Use Application No. 2001.072C.

In reviewing the appeal of the approval of the requested conditional use authorization, this Board reviewed and considered the written record before the Board and all of the public comments made in support of and opposed to the appeal.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein, as though fully set forth, the findings made by the Planning Commission in its Motion No. 16145, dated April 19, 2001; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors further took notice that the San Francisco Department of Planning determined that the project was categorically exempt from environmental review as a Class 1 exemption under Title 14 of the California Administrative Code. The Board finds that there have been no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the determination of categorical exemption issued by the Planning Department; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors specifically adopts as its own, and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth, the findings made by the Planning Commission in its Motion No. 16145, dated April 19, 2001, that the proposed project is in conformity with the General Plan, and is consistent with the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors, after carefully balancing the competing public and private interests, approved the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16145, dated April 19, 2001, and authorized, subject to the conditions imposed by the Planning Commission, the mounting of a total of three panel antennas within a single cylinder on the roof and the installation of a base transceiver station in the basement, at a two-story residential over commercial mixed use building within the Hayes - Gough Neighborhood Zoning District (NCD) and a 50-X Height and Bulk District, pursuant to Section 720.83 of the Planning Code, on property located at 501 – 503 Laguna Street, northwest corner at Fell Street (Lot 35 in Assessor's Block 0819).

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011295 **Date Passed:** July 16, 2001

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the Planning Commission's approval of Conditional Use Application No. 2001.072C (which authorized, subject to certain conditions, the mounting of three panel antennas within a single cylinder on the roof and the installation of a base transceiver station in the basement as part of a wireless telecommunications network) for a two-story residential over commercial mixed use building within the Hayes-Gough Neighborhood Zoning District (NCD) and a 50-X Height and Bulk District, pursuant to Section 720.83 of the Planning Code, on property located at 501-503 Laguna Street, northwest corner at Fell Street (Lot 35 in Assessor's Block 0819).

July 11, 2001 Board of Supervisors — REFERRED: Board of Supervisors

July 16, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Noes: 2 - Daly, Yee

File No. 011295

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 16, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

File No. 011295

FILE NO. 011305 File Copy Do Not Remove MOTION NO. M01-97

[Final Map for a 11 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 588 South Van Ness Avenue, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 6, Assessor's Block No. 3570, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 588 South Van Ness Avenue, a Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 6, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3570, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 11th day of July, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,069, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "588 South Van Ness Avenue, A Live/Work Condominium Project"; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated December 7, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:	DESCRIPTION APPROVED:
Edwin M. Lee Director of Public Works	John R. Martin, L.S. Division Manager

GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 18 2011
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Name of Supervisor/Committee/Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011305 **Date Passed:** July 23, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 588 South Van Ness Avenue, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 6, Assessor's Block No. 3570, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

July 23, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011305

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 23, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011310 MOTION NO. M01-98

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Adopting findings related to the conditional use appeal on property located at 2489 – 2491 Washington Street.]

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the Planning Commission's approval of Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1244C (which authorized, subject to certain conditions, the mounting of a total of three panel antennas on the roof and the installation of a non-occupied telephone equipment room in the basement as part of a wireless telecommunications network) for a two-story over basement commercial building within the Upper Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial District (NCD) and a 40-X Height and Bulk District, pursuant to Section 718.83 of the Planning Code, on property located at 2489 – 2491 Washington Street, southeast corner at Fillmore Street (Lot 022 in Assessor's Block 0612).

The appellant, Kate Jones, on behalf of the Neighborhood Committee for Antenna Free Zone, filed a timely appeal on June 11, 2001, protesting the approval by the Planning Commission of an application for a conditional use authorization (Conditional Use Application No. 2001.1244C, approved by Commission Motion No. 16161 on May 10, 2001) to mount, subject to certain conditions imposed by the Planning Commission, a total of three panel antennas on the roof, and to install a non-occupied telephone equipment room on the basement level, as part of the applicant's (Sprint) wireless telecommunications network, on a two-story over basement commercial building, within the Upper Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial District (NCD) and a 40-X Height and Bulk District, pursuant to Section 718.83 of the Planning Code, on property located at 2489 – 2491 Washington Street, southeast corner at Fillmore Street (Lot 022 in Assessor's Block 0612).

The San Francisco Planning Department adopted Wireless Telecommunications Services ("WTS") Facilities Siting Guidelines ("Guidelines") to help manage the Department's

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 1 8 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

consideration of applications for conditional use authorization to install WTS facilities. The Guidelines establish location preferences for installation of WTS facilities throughout the City. The Location Preferences set forth seven location categories, with category 1 being the most preferred sites and category 7 being the most disfavored sites. The property located at 2489-2491 Washington Street falls within category 6. The Guidelines establish a presumption against approval of WTS facilities in category 6 locations. The installation of WTS facilities in a category 6 location is only proper where the applicant describes: 1) the more preferred sites located within the geographic service area of the facilities; 2) the good faith efforts that were taken to secure a more preferred location; 3) why such efforts were unsuccessful; and 4) how and why the proposed site is essential to meet the applicant's service demands.

The public hearing before the Board of Supervisors on said appeal was scheduled for July 2, 2001. On July 2 the Board continued the public hearing to July 16, 2001. On July 16 the Board conducted a duly noticed hearing on the appeal from the Planning Commission's approval referred to in the first paragraph of this motion. Following the conclusion of the public hearing on July 16, the Board disapproved the decision of the Planning Commission (Planning Commission Motion No. 16161), and denied the issuance of requested Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1244C.

In reviewing the appeal of the approval of the requested conditional use authorization, this Board reviewed and considered the written record before the Board and all of the public comments made in support of and opposed to the appeal.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein, as though fully set forth, the findings made by the Planning Commission in its Motion No. 16161, dated May 10, 2001, except as indicated below; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors further took notice that the project was categorically exempt from environmental review as a Class 1 exemption under Title 14 of the California Administrative Code. The Board finds that there have been no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the determination of categorical exemption issued by the Planning Department; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that:

1. As required by the Guidelines, the applicant identified four more preferred sites within the "coverage objective area" identified by the applicant for service by the proposed facilities. The applicant submitted materials indicating that two of these sites were not technically feasible, and that lease negotiations were not successful at the other two more preferred locations. At the public hearing, following an answer that was provided to a question to the applicant's representatives by a member of the Board of Supervisors, the Board determined that the written and oral information submitted by the applicant was not sufficient to establish that more preferred locations were not available within Sprint's desired coverage objective area, or that the proposed facilities would be the least intrusive means for filling any gaps in service.

2. At the public hearing, and in documents submitted by the applicant, the applicant stated that the installation of these proposed antennas is essential to meet the applicant's service demands within the geographic service area defined by Sprint. At the public hearing, however, the applicant was unable to demonstrate that the proposed facilities are essential. In particular, the applicant submitted a map entitled "Sprint PCS existing Coverage," which purported to identify locations within the service area in which no Sprint service was available. Sprint customers who live or work within the proposed service area, however, testified that they are able to use Sprint's services in the identified locations. Following questions to the applicant's representatives by

members of the Board of Supervisors, a Sprint representative advised the Board that the map identifying areas in which no Sprint service was available was not accurate. Following further questions of the applicant's representatives by members of the Board of Supervisors, the Board determined that the written and oral information provided by the applicant (a) was not persuasive or objectively verified, (b) did not establish, as required, that the proposed site is essential to meet the applicant's service demands, and (c) at most indicated that any deficiencies or gaps in the applicant's service coverage are insignificant. Consistent with this finding, based on the evidence presented and information contained in Sprint's application indicating that, as of December, 2000, the applicant has at least 49 sites at which WTS facilities operate within San Francisco, the Board further determined that disapproving the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion 16161, dated May 10, 2001, would not prohibit, or have the effect of prohibiting, the provision of personal wireless services.

3. Nothing in the record suggests that the Guidelines or the Board's application of the Guidelines in this case unreasonably discriminate against Sprint, and the Board therefore determined that disapproving the decision of the Planning Commission would not unreasonably discriminate in favor of providers of functionally equivalent services.

4. At the public hearing, several members of the public urged the Board to disapprove the decision of the Planning Commission because of their concerns about the environmental effects of radio frequency radiation. After a member of the Board of Supervisors sought legal advice about the role such considerations could play in the Board's decision, the Supervisor urged the public to address any such environmental concerns to other governmental bodies that have authority to address the environmental issues related to radio frequency radiation. The Supervisor further stated that any decision of the Board of Supervisors would be based on local land use

regulations, and not on the environmental effects of radio frequency radiation.

FURTHER MOVED, That based upon the findings made in the preceding paragraph the Board of Supervisors finds that Finding 7 made by the Planning Commission was incorrect and without substantiation, and finds that the installation of the proposed antennas is not necessary in order to meet the applicant's service demands in the applicant's desired coverage area to be served by the proposed facilities, and is not necessary to meet emergency communication service.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that Finding 8 made by the Planning Commission was incorrect and without substantiation, and finds that the installation of the proposed antennas is not necessary or desirable for and compatible with the surrounding neighborhood.

FURTHER MOVED, That prior to acting on this appeal, the Board reviewed the final negative declaration issued for the proposed project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors, after carefully balancing the competing public and private interests, disapproved the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion 16161, dated May 10, 2001, and denied the issuance of Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1244C.

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011310 **Date Passed:** July 23, 2001

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the Planning Commission's approval of Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1244C (which authorized, subject to certain conditions, the mounting of a total of three panel antennas on the roof and the installation of a non-occupied telephone equipment room in the basement as part of a wireless telecommunications network) for a two-story over basement commercial building within the Upper Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial District (NCD) and a 40-X Height and Bulk District, pursuant to Section 718.83 of the Planning Code, on property located at 2489-2491 Washington Street, southeast corner at Fillmore Street (Lot 022 in Assessor's Block 0612).

July 18, 2001 Board of Supervisors — REFERRED: Board of Supervisors

July 23, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 1 - Maxwell

File No. 011310

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 23, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

July 23, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 1 - Daly

July 23, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 2 - Daly, Gonzalez

File No. 011040

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on July 23, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 18 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 011360 MOTION NO. M01-100

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom, Yee, Leno, Daly, Peskin, McGoldrick, Maxwell
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011360 **Date Passed:** July 30, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

July 30, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 1 - Maxwell

File No. 011360

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 30, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011379

File Copy
Do Not Remove

MOTION NO. M01- 101

[Final Map for a 7 Unit Commercial Condominium Project]

Motion approving the Final Map of The Millennium Market Street Center Commercial Condominium, 747-767 Market Street, San Francisco, A Commercial Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 125, Assessor's Block No. 3706, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of The Millennium Market Street Center Commercial Condominium, 747-767 Market Street, San Francisco, A Commercial Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 125, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3706, comprising 25 sheets, approved the 23rd day of July, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,088, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of The Millennium Market Street Center Commercial Condominium, 747-767 Market Street San Francisco, A Commercial Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the Department of City Planning, by its letter dated May 26, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Eight Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the City Planning Code; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, LS
Division Manager

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 18 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011379 **Date Passed:** July 30, 2001

Motion approving the Final Map of The Millennium Market Street Center Commercial Condominium, 747-767 Market Street, San Francisco, A Commercial Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 125, Assessor's Block No. 3706, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

July 30, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 1 - Maxwell

File No. 011379

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on July 30, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011422 File Copy Do Not Remove MOTION NO. M01-102

[Co-sponsorship of the Regional Agencies Smart Growth Strategy and Bay Area Alliance for Sustainable Development Regional Livability Footprint Project.]

Motion approving the co-sponsorship of the Regional Agencies Smart Growth Strategy and Bay Area Alliance for Sustainable Development Regional Livability Footprint Project.

WHEREAS, the five regional agencies: Association of Bay Area Governments, Metropolitan Transportation Commission, Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Bay Conservation and Development Commission, and Regional Water Quality Control Board, and the Bay Area Alliance for Sustainable Development have joined forces to promote smart growth and sustainable development for the Bay Area; and,

WHEREAS, the five regional agencies want to build on the strengths of local leadership and organizational capacity to ensure a process with integrity and to increase the likelihood of results; and

WHEREAS, two county-wide workshops have been scheduled in San Francisco for the combined regional agencies on Saturday, October 13, 2001 and in February/March 2002, and;

WHEREAS, the City and County of San Francisco has been requested to co-sponsor the two workshops; and

WHEREAS, co-sponsorship includes permission to include the City and County of San Francisco's name on the workshop announcements and materials, cooperate in designating a representative of the organization to co-sign correspondence related to the workshop; and, encourage participation and mobilization of the Board members to attend the workshop; and

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 18 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

WHEREAS, the conference materials are in file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 011422, which is hereby declared to be a part of this motion as is set forth fully herein; now, therefore, be it moved, that the Board of Supervisors approves co-sponsorship of the Regional Agencies Smart Growth Strategy and Bay Area Alliance for Sustainable Development Regional Livability Footprint Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That Board Members and their staffs are encouraged to participate in the two workshops.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011422 **Date Passed:** August 6, 2001

Motion approving the co-sponsorship of the Regional Agencies Smart Growth Strategy and Bay Area Alliance for Sustainable Development Regional Livability Footprint Project.

August 6, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 2 - Daly, Gonzalez

File No. 011422

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 6, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011432

File Copy
Do Not Remove

MOTION NO. Mo1- 103

[Final Map for a 5 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 19 Rausch Street, a Live/Work and Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 87, Assessor's Block No. 3730, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 19 Rausch Street, a Live/Work and Residential Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 87, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3730, comprising 3 sheets, approved the 1st day of August, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,104, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 19 Rausch Street, A Live/Work and Residential Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated July 12, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, L.S.
Division Manager

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 18 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011432 **Date Passed:** August 6, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 19 Rausch Street, a Live/Work and Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 87, Assessor's Block No. 3730, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

August 6, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 2 - Daly, Gonzalez

File No. 011432

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 6, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

File Copy
Do Not Remove

FILE NO. 011433 MOTION NO. M01-104

[Final Map for a 6 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 360 10th Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 9, Assessor's Block No. 3520, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 360 10th Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 9, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3520, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 23rd day of July, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,089, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 360 10th Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated May 3, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:	DESCRIPTION APPROVED:
Edwin M. Lee Director of Public Works	John R. Martin, L.S. Division Manager

Name of Supervisor/Committee/Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 18 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011433 **Date Passed:** August 6, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 360 10th Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 9, Assessor's Block No. 3520, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

August 6, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

Absent: 2 - Daly, Gonzalez

File No. 011433

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 6, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011434 File Copy Do Not Remove MOTION NO. M01-105

[Final Map for a 24 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 730 Florida Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 9, Assessor's Block No. 4082, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 730 Florida Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 9, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 4082, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 1st day of August, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,109, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 730 Florida Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the Department of City Planning, by its letter dated January 30, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, L.S.
Division Manager

Name of Supervisor/Committee/Department**
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 18 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011434 **Date Passed:** August 6, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 730 Florida Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 9, Assessor's Block No. 4082, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

August 6, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 2 - Daly, Gonzalez

File No. 011434

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 6, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011435 File Copy Do Not Remove MOTION NO. M01-106

[Final Map for a 19 Unit Residential Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 1970 Sutter Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 126, Assessor's Block No. 677, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 1970 Sutter Street, a Residential Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 126, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 677, comprising 8 sheets, approved the 1st day of August, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,108, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 1970 Sutter Street, A Residential Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated December 12, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency letter dated December 12, 2000, verifying that the Agency approves the subject 19 Unit new condominium project.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:	DESCRIPTION APPROVED:
Edwin M. Lee Director of Public Works	John R. Martin, L.S. Division Manager

Name of Supervisor/Committee/Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 1 8 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011435 **Date Passed:** August 6, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 1970 Sutter Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 126, Assessor's Block No. 677, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

August 6, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

Absent: 2 - Daly, Gonzalez

File No. 011435

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 6, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 010718 MOTION NO. M01-107

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Youth Recognition.]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to work with the Youth Commission and interested members of the Board of Supervisors to plan for and execute a Youth Recognition Day, to occur in the fall of each year.

WHEREAS, There have been a number of incidents in San Francisco and the entire country that have tarnished the reputation of youth despite the fact that juvenile crimes have dropped dramatically since 1994; and,

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Youth Commission has provided exemplary leadership in helping to address this problem; and

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Board of Supervisors, in conjunction with the Commission on the Status of Women annually hosts a "Woman Making History" ceremony; and

WHEREAS, This ceremony recognizes the contributions of eleven women, one selected by each Supervisor; and

WHEREAS, This ceremony takes place as a Special Order of the Board of Supervisors so that all San Franciscans may learn about and appreciate these remarkable women; and

WHEREAS, This has been an effective way of recognizing key community leaders; and

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Youth Commission adopted a resolution on March 29, 2001 calling for similar recognition for young people; and

WHEREAS, This resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 010718, which is hereby declared to be a part of this motion as if set forth fully herein; and

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 18 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Board of Supervisors is committed to recognizing the contributions of San Franciscans from all communities; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to work with the San Francisco Youth Commission and interested members of the Board of Supervisors to develop and execute a Youth Recognition Day; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That it is the preference of the Board that this recognition be structured in a manner similar to the "Woman Making History" ceremony; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That this Youth Recognition Day will occur annually in the fall, at a date to be set by the Clerk of the Board.

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 010718 **Date Passed:** August 13, 2001

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to work with the Youth Commission and interested members of the Board of Supervisors to plan for and execute a Youth Recognition Day, to occur in the fall of each year.

August 13, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 3 - Hall, McGoldrick, Yee

File No. 010718

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 13, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Grégoire Hobson

Gregoire Hobson
Acitng Clerk of the Board

As Amended in Board
8/13/01

FILE NO. 011351 MOTION NO. M01-108

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Tentative Subdivision Map]

Motion approving decision of the Department of Public Works, approving Tentative Subdivision Map to legalize the proposed subdivision creating two lots in Assessor's Block 1334, Lot 1, at 107 24th Avenue; effective October 1, 2002.

l.w. /13/0

MOVED, That the decision of the Department of Public Works, dated July 9, 2001, affirming approval of Tentative Subdivision Map to legalize the proposed subdivision of Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 1334 into a 2 lot subdivision at a location described as follows:

107 24TH Avenue, between Lake Street and El Camino Del Mar;

Lot 1 in Assessor's Block No. 1334, in an RH-1 (D) One-Family (Detached Dwellings) within a 40-X Height and Bulk District,

be and the same is approved.

l.w. 8/13/01

FURTHER MOVED, That the approval of the proposed Subdivision Map shall not become effective until October 1, 2002.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 18 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011351 **Date Passed:** August 13, 2001

Motion approving decision of the Department of Public Works, approving Tentative Subdivision Map to legalize the proposed subdivision creating two lots in Assessor's Block 1334, Lot 1, at 107 24th Avenue; effective October 2, 2002.

August 6, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 3 - Daly, Gonzalez, Sandoval

August 13, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED

August 13, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 7 - Ammiano, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 2 - Daly, Hall
Excused: 2 - Gonzalez, Sandoval

File No. 011351

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on August 13, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Grégoire Hobson

Gregoire Hobson
Acitng Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011353 File Copy Do Not Remove MOTION NO. M01-109

[Findings]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings relating to Tentative Subdivision Map to legalize the proposed subdivision creating two lots in Assessor's Block 1334, Lot 1, at 107 24th Avenue, for consideration by the Board at its next meeting.

IT IS HEREBY MOVED, That the Clerk of the Board be and she is hereby directed to prepare findings relating to Tentative Subdivision Map to legalize the proposed subdivision creating two lots in Assessor's Block No. 1334, Lot 1, at 107 24th Avenue for consideration by the Board at its next meeting.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011353 **Date Passed:** August 13, 2001

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings relating to Tentative Subdivision Map to legalize the proposed subdivision creating two lots in Assessor's Block 1334, Lot 1, at 107 24th Avenue, for consideration by the Board at its next meeting.

August 6, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 2 - Daly, Gonzalez

August 13, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 7 - Ammiano, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 2 - Daly, Hall
Excused: 2 - Gonzalez, Sandoval

File No. 011353

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 13, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Grégoire Hobson

Gregoire Hobson
Acitng Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011447 MOTION NO. M01-110

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 18 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom, Yee, Leno, Daly, Peskin, McGoldrick, Maxwell
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011447 **Date Passed:** August 13, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

August 13, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 1 - Hall

File No. 011447

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 13, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Grégoire Hobson

Gregoire Hobson
Acitng Clerk of the Board

File Copy
Do Not Remove

FILE NO. 011488 MOTION NO. M01-111

[Disapprove certification of New M.H. de Young Museum EIR]

Motion disapproving the certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report for the New M.H. de Young Museum Development Project located at 75 Tea Garden Drive by the Planning Commission.

WHEREAS, The New M.H. de Young Museum (the "Project") is proposed to be constructed at the museum's existing site at 75 Tea Garden Drive in the Music Concourse of Golden Gate Park, Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 1700, after demolition of the eight existing buildings comprising the M.H. de Young Museum and the Asian Art Museum and relocation of the Asian Art Museum to its new facility in the City's Civic Center; and,

WHEREAS, The Corporation of the Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco submitted its application for environmental review of the proposed New de Young Museum on July 12, 1999 (City Planning File No. 1999.455E); and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department for the City and County of San Francisco (the "Department") determined that an Environmental Impact Report ("EIR") was required and provided public notice of that determination by publication in a newspaper of general circulation on May 6, 2000; and

WHEREAS, On July 22, 2000, the Department published the Draft Environmental Impact Report ("DEIR") for the Project; and

WHEREAS, On August 24, 2000, the Commission held a duly noticed public hearing on the DEIR, at which time opportunity for public comment was received on the DEIR, and written comments were received through August 24, 2000; and

WHEREAS, The Department prepared responses to comments received at the public hearing on the DEIR and submitted in writing to the Department, prepared revisions to the text

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 1 8 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

of the DEIR and published a Draft Summary of Comments and Responses on November 22, 2000; and

WHEREAS, A Final Environmental Impact Report ("FEIR") for the Project was prepared by the Department, consisting of the DEIR, any consultations and comments received during the review process, any additional information that became available and the Draft Summary of Comments and Responses, all as required by law; and

WHEREAS, On December 7, 2000, the Commission reviewed and considered the FEIR and, by Motion No. 16039, found that the contents of said report and the procedures through which the FEIR was prepared, publicized and reviewed complied with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the State CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code; and

WHEREAS, By Motion No. 16039, the Commission found the FEIR to be adequate, accurate and objective, reflected the independent judgment and analysis of the Department and the Commission and that the Summary of Comments and Responses contained no significant revisions to the DEIR, adopted findings relating to significant impacts associated with the Project and certified the completion of the FEIR in compliance with CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, A challenge to the FEIR and the process by which the FEIR was certified was brought and the San Francisco Superior Court issued a Writ of Mandamus on August 2, 2001 requiring the Board of Supervisors to hear an appeal of the FEIR in compliance with CEQA; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors held a public hearing on August 20, 2001 to review the decision by the Planning Commission to certify the FEIR; and

WHEREAS, the FEIR files and other Project-related Department files have been available for review by this Board of Supervisors and the public, and those files are part of the record before this Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, this Board has reviewed and considered the FEIR and heard testimony and received public comment regarding the adequacy of the FEIR; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors disapproves the certification of the FEIR by the Planning Commission.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011488 **Date Passed:** August 20, 2001

Motion disapproving the certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report for the New M.H. de Young Museum Development Project located at 75 Tea Garden Drive by the Planning Commission.

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin
Absent: 2 - Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011488

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole in Board
8/20/01

FILE NO. 011489 File Copy
Do Not Remove MOTION NO. M01-112

[Preparation of findings to disapprove certification of New M. H. de Young Museum EIR]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings disapproving the certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report for the New M.H. de Young Museum Development Project located at 75 Tea Garden Drive by the Planning Commission.

WHEREAS, The New M.H. de Young Museum (the "Project") is proposed to be constructed at the museum's existing site at 75 Tea Garden Drive in the Music Concourse of Golden Gate Park, Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 1700, after demolition of the eight existing buildings comprising the M.H. de Young Museum and the Asian Art Museum and relocation of the Asian Art Museum to its new facility in the City's Civic Center; and,

WHEREAS, The Corporation of the Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco submitted its application for environmental review of the proposed New de Young Museum on July 12, 1999 (City Planning File No. 1999.455E); and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department for the City and County of San Francisco (the "Department") determined that an Environmental Impact Report ("EIR") was required and provided public notice of that determination by publication in a newspaper of general circulation on May 6, 2000; and

WHEREAS, On July 22, 2000, the Department published the Draft Environmental Impact Report ("DEIR") for the Project; and

WHEREAS, On August 24, 2000, the City Planning Commission ("Commission") held a duly noticed public hearing on the DEIR, at which time opportunity for public comment was received on the DEIR, and written comments were received through August 24, 2000; and

WHEREAS, The Department prepared responses to comments received at the public

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 1 8 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

hearing on the DEIR and submitted in writing to the Department, prepared revisions to the text of the DEIR and published a Draft Summary of Comments and Responses on November 22, 2000; and

WHEREAS, A Final Environmental Impact Report ("FEIR") for the Project was prepared by the Department, consisting of the DEIR, any consultations and comments received during the review process, any additional information that became available and the Draft Summary of Comments and Responses, all as required by law; and

WHEREAS, On December 7, 2000, the Commission reviewed and considered the FEIR and, by Motion No. 16039, found that the contents of said report and the procedures through which the FEIR was prepared, publicized and reviewed complied with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the State CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code; and

WHEREAS, By Motion No. 16039, the Commission found the FEIR to be adequate, accurate and objective, that it reflected the independent judgment and analysis of the Department and the Commission, and that the Summary of Comments and Responses contained no significant revisions to the DEIR, adopted findings relating to significant impacts associated with the Project, and certified the completion of the FEIR in compliance with CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, The FEIR and the process by which the FEIR was certified was challenged, and the San Francisco Superior Court issued a Writ of Mandamus on August 2, 2001, requiring the Board of Supervisors to hear an appeal of the FEIR in compliance with CEQA; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors held a public hearing on August 20, 2001, to review the decision by the Planning Commission to certify the FEIR; and

WHEREAS, the FEIR files, and other Project-related Department files, have been available for review by this Board of Supervisors and the public, and those files are part of the record before this Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, this Board has reviewed and considered the FEIR and the written materials submitted to the Board, and the Board has heard testimony and received public comment regarding the adequacy of the FEIR; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings disapproving the certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report for the New M. H. de Young Museum Development Project located at 75 Tea Garden Drive, and further directs that the findings direct the Planning Commission and Planning Department to revise certain portions of the FEIR as follows: (1) errors and discrepancies in the shadow impacts analysis must be corrected to reflect the accurate height of the Tower; (2) errors and discrepancies in the visual impacts analysis must be corrected to reflect the accurate height of the Tower; (3) the Project description must be corrected to reflect the accurate height of the Tower; and (4) the discussion of the historical and environmental context should be broadened to address in more detail the issues regarding historic resources, particularly with respect to the issues addressed on FEIR pages C&R 80 – C&R 82.

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011489 **Date Passed:** August 20, 2001

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings disapproving the certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report for the New M.H. de Young Museum Development Project located at 75 Tea Garden Drive by the Planning Commission.

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin
Absent: 2 - Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011489

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on August 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011522 File Copy Do Not Remove MOTION NO. M01-113

[Findings – Tentative/Parcel Map Appeal for 107 24th Avenue.]

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the decision of the Director of Public Works approving a Tentative/Parcel Map to legalize a proposed subdivision creating two lots in Assessor's Block 1334, Lot 1, at 107 24th Avenue.

The appellant, Mary Russo McAfee, on behalf of the West Presidio Neighborhood Association, filed a timely appeal on July 19, 2001, protesting the July 9, 2001, decision of the Director of Public Works approving a proposed Tentative/Parcel Map to legalize a proposed subdivision creating two lots in Assessor's Block 1334, Lot 1, at 107 24th Avenue.

The public hearing before the Board of Supervisors on said appeal was scheduled for August 6, 2001. On August 6, 2001, the Board of Supervisors conducted a duly noticed hearing on the appeal from the approval of the Tentative/Parcel Map. After the conclusion of the hearing at the August 6, 2001, meeting, the Board continued its consideration of the appeal until August 13, 2001.

In reviewing the appeal of the approval of the requested Tentative/Parcel Map, the Board of Supervisors reviewed and considered the written record before the Board, including correspondence from the Planning Department conveying their determinations that the proposal is consistent with the City's General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1, and is exempt from environmental review, as well as all of the public comments made in support of and opposed to the appeal.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby finds that:

1. The proposed Tentative/Parcel Map is exempt from environmental review per Class 1 California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines.

2. There have been no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project

GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 18 2011
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the exemption of this proposed project from environmental review.

3. The proposed Tentative/Parcel Map is consistent with the General Plan and with the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1. The proposal is compatible with the objectives, policies, general land uses, and programs specified in the General Plan.

4. The Map conforms to the technical requirements of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and the State Subdivision Map Act with respect to form and content.

5. No basis exists under Government Code Sections 66473.5 or 66474 to deny the Tentative/Parcel Map, based on the information before the Board.

6. The applicant is currently engaged in the process of seeking a potential buyer(s) for the property proposed for the Tentative/Parcel Map.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors, on August 13, 2001, after carefully balancing the competing public and private interests, approved the decision of the Director of Public Works on July 9, 2001, and approved a Tentative/Parcel Map which creates two new lots on Assessor's Block 1334, Lot 1, located at 107 24th Avenue; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That in light of the pending efforts to seek a potential buyer(s) for the property proposed for the Tentative/Parcel Map, the Board of Supervisors conditioned its approval of the Tentative/Parcel Map by providing that the Tentative/Parcel Map shall not become effective until October 1, 2002.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011522 **Date Passed:** August 20, 2001

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the decision of the Director of Public Works approving a Tentative/Parcel Map to legalize a proposed subdivision creating two lots in Assessor's Block 1334, Lot 1, at 107 24th Avenue.

August 15, 2001 Board of Supervisors — RE-REFERRED: Board of Supervisors

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval
Excused: 2 - Daly, Gonzalez

File No. 011522

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole
in Committee 8/13/01

FILE NO. 011469

MOTION NO. M01-114

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition H, a Charter Amendment regarding revenue bond authority for renewable energy and energy conservation projects.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition H, a Charter amendment amending Section 9.107 to provide for the financing of the acquisition, construction, improvement, reconstruction or replacement of renewable energy facilities and energy conservation facilities; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT X FOR or __ AGAINST PROPOSITION H FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO

Style Notes B, *I*, or ***BI***	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
B	**Want solar panels on your roof? Blackout protection? Vote yes on**	11
B	**Proposition H** to bring affordable solar power, wind power and conservation	11
	programs to the neighborhoods of San Francisco, including residents,	8
	businesses and city government buildings.	5
	Proposition H is a charter amendment that allows the Board of Supervisors to	13
	issue revenue bonds specifically for renewable energy projects like solar, wind,	11
	and energy conservation. The Board already can issue revenue bonds for	11
	other kinds of project such as affordable housing and port related	11
	developments. Prop H would add renewable energy and conservation projects	10
	to the list.	3
	Revenue bonds are different than General Obligation bonds – they don't cause	12
	increased property taxes. Before investors buy municipal revenue bonds, they	10
	must be assured that the projects funded will generate enough revenue to pay	13
	back the bond issue. Prop H will not raise taxes.	10
	By passing Proposition H, voters will enable the City to share its purchasing	13
	power and low municipal rates of finance with residents and businesses,	11
	offering our neighborhoods and local economy a real solution to the energy	12
	crisis. Solar, wind and conservation city-wide can protect our local economy	12
	from future blackouts and rate hikes by making residents, businesses and the	12
	government less dependent on volatile electricity markets.	7
	The other proposal (Prop B) for a $100 million solar bond (which we support) is	15
	restricted to government facilities only. Proposition H will create renewable	10
	energy financing for the residents, businesses and neighborhoods of San	10
	Francisco.	0
	A plan for solar neighborhoods has already been proposed at the Board of	13
	Supervisors; Prop H would provide the funding mechanism, both to bring	11
	energy security to our community and to tackle global warming, of which	12
	electricity is the largest single cause. Please join the Sierra Club and the	14
	Chamber of Commerce in voting Yes on H.	2
	Board of Supervisors	3
	(continue text on next page) Total # of Words =	

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. __________ Printed Name __________ Signature and Date

__________ Title to Appear on Argument ______ S.F. 941 Address

2. __________ Printed Name __________ Signature and Date

__________ Title to Appear on Argument ______ S.F. 941 Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011469 **Date Passed:** August 20, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition H, a Charter Amendment regarding revenue bond authority for renewable energy and energy conservation projects.

August 20, 2001	Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE
August 20, 2001	Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin Noes: 1 - Yee Absent: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 011469

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on August 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole
in Committee 8/[illegible],01

FILE NO. 011470

MOTION NO. M01-115

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition F, a Charter Amendment creating a Municipal Water and Power Agency.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition F, a Charter amendment repealing Section 4.112 and 16.103, and A8.508, amending Section 9.107, 13.101 and A8.500, and adding a new Chapter 8B, regarding a Municipal Water and Power Agency; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 18 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

SA NCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORM OR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

Declaration by Authors of Argume and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT X FOR or __ AGAINST PROPOSITION F FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO

Style Notes B, *I*, or *BI*	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
	Residents in Sacramento and Los Angeles share one thing in common: they	11
	haven't seen large increases in their electricity bills, because they are both	13
	served by public power agencies. The energy crisis has taught us not to count	14
	on large energy companies, state regulators or the Federal Energy Regulatory	11
	Commission to look after consumer interests: we must develop local solutions	11
	instead.	1
	Prop F will establish a Municipal Water and Power Agency to bring our	13
B	electricity service under municipal control, away from PG&E. **Municipal power**	10
B	**is cheaper for consumers because:**	5
	• municipal utilities don't pay investor dividends;	7
	• municipal utilities don't pay federal, state and local taxes; and	11
	• municipal utilities can borrow money for capital improvements at much	10
	lower interest rates.	3
	The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (PUC) currently delivers water	9
	and sewer service to the entire city, and already serves City departments like	13
	MUNI and the Airport with electricity from city-owned hydroelectric generation	11
	facilities. Prop F will add the rest of the community to its electricity service	14
	much like the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, whose customers	11
	were protected against the massive rate increases and blackouts of the energy	12
	crisis.	1
	The Municipal Water and Power Agency will be governed by a 7-member	13
	board of directors, elected by district, and will be managed by a professional	13
	general manager.	2
	The Agency's charter contains several major goals including the development	10
	of renewable energy like solar and wind power, energy efficiency programs for	12
	residents and businesses, and measures to ensure that San Francisco's aging	10
	water system can withstand a major earthquake.	7
	Prop F is a companion to measure I, the proposed San Francisco-Brisbane	12
B	Municipal Utility District. **For an energy-independent San Francisco, vote**	9
B	**Yes on F and I.**	7
B	**Board of Supervisors**	3
	(continue text on next page) **Total # of Words =**	

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. ______________________ ______________________

Printed Name Signature and Date

______________________ S.F. 941

Title to Appear on Argument Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

Page ____ of ____ attach additional pages as needed

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011470 **Date Passed:** August 20, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition F, a Charter Amendment creating a Municipal Water and Power Agency.

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin
Noes: 1 - Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 011470

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on August 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole
in Committee. 8/13/01

FILE NO. 011471

MOTION NO. M01-116

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition G, a Charter Amendment regarding Redistricting.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition G, a Charter amendment amending Section 13.110 to provide that the Elections Commission, rather than the Director of Elections, shall appoint three members to the Elections Task Force; to require the use of adjusted census figures in redistricting Supervisorial districts; and to set deadlines for creation of the Elections Task Force and for completion of redistricting; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 2011

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT _X_ **FOR** or __ **AGAINST** PROPOSITION _G_ FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO

Style Notes **B**, *I*, or ***BI***	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line

District elections work. For the first time in twenty years, all of our neighborhoods are represented at City Hall. This year, the lines must be redrawn to make sure each district contains an equal number of residents and that the new districts preserve the integrity of our neighborhoods.

This Charter amendment clarifies the redistricting process in three ways. First, it sets a deadline for the process to begin and to be completed. Second, it specifies that statistically adjusted Census data will be used to make sure that every person is counted and that the diversity of San Francisco is reflected in our district lines. Finally, if voters approve a separate Charter amendment to reform elections and ethics, an independent Elections Commission would make three appointments to the nine-member committee that redraws the district lines.

Other communities across California have already completed local redistricting. This Charter amendment requires that San Francisco appoint the redistricting committee by January 8, 2002 and complete the process by April 15, 2002—nearly seven months before the next supervisorial elections. In future years, the deadline to begin the process is earlier.

San Francisco has long held that the Census count fails to count every person. In fact, the City recently joined a lawsuit to require the Bush Administration to use more accurate numbers adjusted for any overcount or undercount of a segment of the population. Members of the bipartisan Census Monitoring Board contend that 6.4 million people may have been missed nationwide. This Charter amendment requires that if adjusted data becomes available, the redistricting task force will use it to draw district lines.

San Francisco deserve a redistricting process free of undue political influence that uses the best available information and that has clear deadlines. We urge you to vote yes on Proposition G.

Board of Supervisors

[continue text on next page] **Total # of Words** 298

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. ______________________ ______________________

Printed Name Signature and Date

______________________ S.F. 941

Title to Appear on Argument Address

2. ______________________ ______________________

Printed Name Signature and Date

______________________ S.F. 941

Title to Appear on Argument Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

Page ____ of ____ attach additional pages as needed

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011471 **Date Passed:** August 20, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition G, a Charter Amendment regarding Redistricting.

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin
Noes: 1 - Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 011471

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on August 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of t' Whole
in Committee. ./13/01

FILE NO. 011472

MOTION NO. M01-117

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition B, a Revenue Bond Measure regarding Solar Energy Facilities.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition B, a Revenue Bond Measure regarding Solar Energy Facilities; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 [illegible]

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

Declaration by A rs of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT _X_ FOR or __ AGAINST PROPOSITION_B_ FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO

Style Notes B, *I*, or ***BI***	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
	PROPONENTS ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF THE SOLAR BOND	8
	California's energy crisis has once again shown that overdependence on fossil fuels leads to skyrocketing prices, profiteering, and blackouts. San Francisco must develop a more reliable and diverse energy supply.	11 9 9
	Proposition B would provide $100 million to increase San Francisco's energy supply with clean and dependable new sources of electric generation, much of which could be installed in one to two years.	10 12 9
	Our current dependence on PG&E and out-of-state energy suppliers cost San Francisco city government over $30 million more than last year. Becoming more self-reliant by investing in proven renewable and clean energy technologies – solar panels and wind turbines – as well as cost effective energy efficiency, San Franciscans will save millions of dollars.	13 10 11 11 9
	Instead of buying electricity, city government will produce more of it's own electricity from proven clean and renewable sources, and save money.	12 10
B	**Best of all, we can make this change at no cost to the taxpayers.** By using revenue bonds instead of general obligation bonds, the city repays the principal and interest on the bonds from revenue saved by the new facilities. This revenue bond will pay for itself through energy savings – No property taxes will be used.	16 12 13 13 2
	Solar energy technology generates electricity without producing pollution, making noise, or creating visual eyesores for neighborhoods. Furthermore, San Francisco will be doing its part to address global warming. Pollution from fossil fuel energy generation is the single largest contributor to climate change.	8 8 11 12 2
	Join the ~~Union of Concerned~~ Scientists, Environmental Defense, ~~Friends of Recreation and Parks, the Mayor~~, and the Board of Supervisors in supporting this environmentally sound, fiscally responsible measure.	10 12 6
	Be a part of the solution. Vote for the clean energy solar bond.	13
	Vote Yes on Proposition B	5
	Board of Supervisors	3
	(continue text on next page) **Total # of Words =**	

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. ____________________ ____________________
Printed Name Signature and Date

____________________ S.F. 941
Title to Appear on Argument Address

2. ____________________ ____________________
Printed Name Signature and Date

____________________ S.F. 941
Title to Appear on Argument Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

Page ____ of ____ attach additional pages as needed

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011472 **Date Passed:** August 20, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition B, a Revenue Bond Measure regarding Solar Energy Facilities.

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin
Noes: 1 - Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 011472

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011473 MOTION NO. M01-118

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition C, a Charter Amendment regarding vacancy in Elective Office

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisor does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition C, a Charter amendment repealing Sections 2.102, and 6.107, amending Sections 3.102, 8.100 and 8.101 and adding Section 13.101.5 to shorten the period following a vacancy in an elective officer before there is an election to fill the unexpired term; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 1 8 2011
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

Declaration by Ar -s of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT _X_ FOR or __ AGAINST PROPOSITION _C_ FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO

Style	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	
Notes		
B, *I*, or ***BI***	San Francisco, which prides itself in letting the voters decide issues of	11
	importance to the City, is behind the times and out of step when it comes to	16
	filling vacancies to local office.	5
	In California, if a vacancy occurs for the U.S. Senate, the Governor's	12
	appointee must face the voters within two years. Likewise, if a vacancy occurs	13
	for the U.S. Congress or for the California legislature, voters elect the	12
	successor within twelve months.	4
	In San Francisco, however, appointees to City-wide office and for	10
	Supervisor may serve for up to 29 months, and to the Community College and	14
	School Boards for up to 48 months before they must face the voters.	13
	With the exception of a vacancy for Mayor, which is filled by the Board of	15
	Supervisors, all other vacancies are filled by the Mayor.	9
	From 1996 to 1999, the Mayor appointed six members to the Board of	13
	Supervisors – a majority of the old Board.	7
	This tended to limit open debate at the Board on the issues of great	14
	importance to San Francisco, and undermine the independence of the Board	10
	as a co-equal branch of government.	6
	The voters last Fall, stated, loud and clear, that they wanted to see balance	14
	restored to City government, and to make the Board more accountable to the	13
	voters.	1
	Proposition C would do just that.	6
	In most cases, this Charter Amendment would limit the time to one year that	14
	appointees would serve without standing for election. The appointees would	10
	face the voters at elections already scheduled, resulting in no additional cost to	13
	administer elections.	2
	Proposition C would restore balance to City Government, put San Francisco in	11
	step with the rest of the State, and shift power to fill all elective offices back to	17
	the voters where it rightfully belongs.	6
	Vote Yes on Proposition C.	5
	Board of Supervisors	3
	[continue text on next page] Total # of Words =	299

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. ______________________ ______________________

Printed Name Signature and Date

______________________ ______________________ S.F. 941

Title to Appear on Argument Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

Page ____ of ____ attach additional pages as needed

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011473 **Date Passed:** August 20, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition C, a Charter Amendment regarding vacancy in Elective Office.

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 011473

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on August 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole
in Committee. 08/13/01

FILE NO. 011474 MOTION NO. M01-119

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition __E__, a Charter Amendment regarding Election, Ethics and Outside Counsel.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition __E__, a Charter amendment adding Sections 13.103.5, 13.104.5, 13.107.5, amending Sections 6.102, 13.104, 15.100, 15.101, 15.102, 15.105, C3.699-13 and C3.699-14, and repealing Section C3.699-15, regarding Election, Ethics and Outside Counsel; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 1 8 [illegible]

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

Declaration by Authors of Arguments [illegible] or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT _X_ FOR or __ AGAINST PROPOSITION _E_ FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO

Style Notes B, I, or BI	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	
	Fair and impartial City elections are the foundation of responsive and responsible City	13
	government. That is why Proposition E deserves your support.	9
	The Department of Elections needs the constant monitoring of a truly independent and	13
	non-partisan commission. Our present system has led to lawsuits brought by citizens,	13
	sluggish production of election results, suspicion of fraud, and a neglect of	12.
	infrastructure.	1
	Proposition E will create an appointed Elections Commission. The Commissioners will	11
	be selected by the Board of Supervisors, the City Attorney, the Public Defender, the	14
	District Attorney, the Treasurer, Board of Education, and the Mayor. Each elected	12
	official or body only gets one appointment to the Elections Commission thereby	12
	establishing a set of checks and balances that does not allow any official undue	14
	influence on the Commission.	4
	These reforms will make the Department of Elections open, honest and accountable to	13
	the people of San Francisco.	4
	Proposition E however, is not just about The Department of Elections.	11
	Proposition E :	2
	•Allows elected officials, department heads, boards or commissions to use lawyers	11
	other than the City Attorney to get impartial advice when a conflict of interest occurs.	15
	•Bars the City Attorney from endorsing, opposing or contributing money to candidates or	13
	measures appearing on the San Francisco Ballot.	6
	•Allows the Ethics Commission to investigate complaints independent of any	10
	investigation by the District Attorney or City Attorney.	8
	•Establishes conflict-of-interest provisions for Department of Elections employees and	11
	Ethics Commissioners that would prohibit employment by or receiving gifts from a	11
	lobbyist or campaign consultant or political committee.	7
	• Empowers the Sheriff's Office to handle ballot transportation and security on election	12
	day.	1
	Proposition E helps guarantee honest and fair elections.	8
	VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION E !	5
	Board of Supervisors	3
	Total # of Words =	286

[illegible] additional sheets for more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument

1. ______________________ ______________________

Printed Name Signature and Date

______________________ S.F. 941

Title to Appear on Argument Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

Page ____ of ____ attach additional pages as needed

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011474 **Date Passed:** August 20, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition E, a Charter Amendment regarding Election, Ethics and Outside Counsel.

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin
Noes: 1 - Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 011474

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on August 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whol
in Committee. 8/13/01

FILE NO. 011476

MOTION NO. M01-120

File Copy
Do Not Remove

[Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition D, a Charter Amendment regarding Land Fill in San Francisco Bay.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition D, a Charter amendment adopting a new Section 16.122, to allow the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco the right to vote on any Project of said city and county that would place 100 acres or more of fill in San Francisco Bay; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

[illegible] 1 8 [illegible]

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

SA NCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMA OR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

Declaration by Authors of Argume nd / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT x FOR or __ AGAINST PROPOSITION D FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO.

Style Notes B, *I*, or ***BI***	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words ineach line
	VOTING YES ON D GIVES ALL SAN FRANCISCANS THE RIGHT TO VOTE ON MASSIVE LAND FILL DEVELOPMENT IN SAN FRANCISCO BAY.	12 8
B B	**Over the years, land fill and development have devastated San Francisco Bay:**	10 1
	• The Bay has been shrunk one-third in size	9
	• 95% of the Bay's tidal wetlands have been destroyed	9
	• Over 100 species of Bay fish and wildlife are extinct, endangered or threatened	12 1
  B B	Today, a new Bay fill development has been proposed for the expansion of San Francisco International Airport. It has called for destroying up to 1,400 acres, or two square miles, of the Bay – **the largest Bay fill development ever proposed.**	13 11 13 2
	Scientists convened by the *National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration*	9
	have warned that this development project could have a devastating impact on	12
	San Francisco Bay's waters and wildlife.	5
B B B	**But right now, this huge Bay fill project could be rubber-stamped by political appointees without the oversight and approval of San Francisco voters.**	13 9 1
	The San Francisco Board of Supervisors voted unanimously for this Charter Amendment because we believe that massive Bay fill development projects should be decided by voters, not political appointees.	10 10 8
	The power to destroy more of San Francisco Bay should not remain in the hands of a few -- the public deserves a real voice on development that threatens our precious Bay.	13 13 4
  B	On November 6, please join the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods, Golden Gate Audubon Society, Sierra Club, Save The Bay, Bay Area business leaders and working people and Vote YES on D -- **Give the Bay a Vote**.	10 12 14
	Supervisor Aaron Peskin *Supervisor Tom Ammiano* *Supervisor Gavin Newsom* *Supervisor Chris Daly* *Supervisor Matt Gonzalez* *Supervisor Jake McGoldrick* *Supervisor Tony Hall* *Supervisor Mark Leno* *Supervisor Sophie Maxwell* Supervisor Gerardo Sandoval Supervisor Leland Yee	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
	(continue text on next page) Total # of Words =	

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. ______________________ ______________________

Printed Name Signature and Date

______________________ S.F. 941

Title to Appear on Argument Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

Page ____ of ____ attach additional pages as needed

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco
Tails
Motion

File Number: 011476 **Date Passed:** August 20, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition D, a Charter Amendment regarding Land Fill in San Francisco Bay.

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED
Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 011476

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 20, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011175 MOTION NO. M01-121

[Advisory Board Report, Union Square Business Improvement District]

Motion approving the annual report prepared by the Advisory Board to the Union Square Business Improvement District for Fiscal Year 2000-2001.

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors created the Union Square Business Improvement District, as well as an Advisory Board for the District, in January, 1999 pursuant to Sections 36600 et seq. of the California Streets & Highways Code; and,

WHEREAS, Section 36633 of the California Streets and Highways Code requires the Board of Supervisors to either approve, or modify and approve, the annual report; and

WHEREAS, The Advisory Board has submitted a report in accordance with Section 36633 of the California Streets and Highways Code for the 2000-2001 fiscal year, on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 011175, which is hereby declared to be a part of this motion as if set forth fully herein; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Fiscal Year 2000-2001 Annual Report of the Advisory Board to the Union Square Business Improvement District is hereby approved.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 18 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011175 **Date Passed:** August 27, 2001

Motion approving the annual report prepared by the Advisory Board to the Union Square Business Improvement District for Fiscal Year 2000-2001.

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 7 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, Peskin
Absent: 4 - McGoldrick, Newsom, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011175

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 27, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011468

Amendment of the Whole
in Committee 8/13/01

MOTION NO. M01-122

[Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition I, a proposal to form a Municipal Utility District for the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Brisbane to be known as the San Francisco-Brisbane Municipal Utility District.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition I, a proposal to form a Municipal Utility District for the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Brisbane to be known as the San Francisco-Brisbane Municipal Utility District; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the special election to consolidated with the election held on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
SEP 1 8 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisor Ammiano

Declaration by A   ors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT _X_ FOR or __ AGAINST MEASURE I FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO

Style Notes B, *I*, or *BI*	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
	Measure I creates a Municipal Utility District (MUD) in San Francisco and	11
	Brisbane. Once created, the MUD has the authority, under state law, to	12
B	**deliver public nonprofit electricity.**	4
B	**Public power is 18% cheaper than private utility power on average in**	12
B	**California because:**	2
	• MUDs don't pay investor dividends;	5
	• MUDs are exempt from taxes; and	6
	• MUDs can borrow funds for capital improvements more cheaply.	9
	On average, compared to privately owned utilities, MUDs contribute more than	11
	twice the amount of local government revenue and other economic benefits to	12
	local communities.	2
	San Francisco was mandated by the 1913 federal Raker Act and the U.S.	12
	Supreme Court to utilize our Hetch Hetchy water and power resources for the	13
	benefit of San Francisco residents and businesses. For over 80 years,	10
	PG&E's influence has kept San Francisco from realizing this public power	10
	mandate, forcing City residents to buy PG&E's expensive power.	9
	On November 6, vote for lower utility bills, energy self-sufficiency and an end to	15
	pollution impacts on our southeast neighborhoods.	6
	The primary opponent to public power is PG&E, a corporation that has given its	14
	executives unprecedented bonuses while saddling consumers with $8 billion in	10
B	debt. **PG&E and its allies are trying to scare ratepayers by arguing that a**	14
B	**MUD can't work in San Francisco: but Los Angeles, Sacramento, Palo**	9
B	**Alto, and dozens of other California cities already enjoy the benefits of**	11
	public power.	2
	Please vote Yes on Measure I to establish a MUD and Yes on San Francisco	14
	Proposition F to ensure public power.	6
	Please join State Senators John Burton and Jackie Speier, State Assembly	11
	Member~~s~~ Carole Migden ~~and Kevin Shelley~~, former Mayor Frank Jordan,	11
	Board President Tom Ammiano, former Board Presidents Angela Alioto and	10
	Harry Britt, the Sierra Club, and the SF Labor Council and visit	12
	www.SFBMUD.org for information on MUD Board candidates.	7
	Board of Supervisors	3
	(continue text on next page) Total # of Words =	295

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. ____________________ ____________________
Printed Name Signature and Date

____________________ S.F. 941
Title to Appear on Argument Address

2. ____________________ ____________________
Printed Name Signature and Date

____________________ S.F. 941
Title to Appear on Argument Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

Page ____ of ____ attach additional pages as needed

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011468 **Date Passed:** August 27, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Measure I, a proposal to form a Municipal Utility District for the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Brisbane to be known as the San Francisco-Brisbane Municipal Utility District.

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 1 - Newsom

File No. 011468

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 27, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

File No. 011468

FILE NO. 011482 MOTION NO. M01-123

[Appointment to San Francisco LAFCO]

Motion appointing Supervisor Matt Gonzalez, term to be determined, to the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission.

Government Code Section 56325 provides that the Local Agency Formation Commission shall consist of either four members of the Board of Supervisors, or three members of the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor. All four members are appointed by the Board of Supervisors. These four members shall select a public member to serve as the fifth member. The Board must also select two alternate commissioners.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That Supervisor Matt Gonzalez, succeeding Supervisor Chris Daly, Seat 2, is appointed as a member of the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission, term to be determined.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 1 8 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011482 **Date Passed:** August 27, 2001

Motion appointing Supervisor Matt Gonzalez, term to be determined, to the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission.

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 1 - Newsom
Excused: 1 - Gonzalez

File No. 011482

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 27, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011527 MOTION NO. M01-124

[Final Map for a 6 Unit Mixed-Use Condominium Project].

Motion approving the Final Map of 3288 Steiner Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 23, Assessor's Block No. 510, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 3288 Steiner Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 23, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 510, comprising 7 sheets, approved the 22nd day of August, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,128, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 3288 Steiner Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the Department of City Planning, by its letter dated June 19, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Eight Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the City Planning Code; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

for John R. Martin, LS
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT
SEP 18 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011527 **Date Passed:** August 27, 2001

Motion approving the Final Map of 3288 Steiner Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 23, Assessor's Block No. 510, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 2 - Daly, Newsom

File No. 011527

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 27, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011528 MOTION NO. M01-125

[Final Map for a 102 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project].

Motion approving the Final Map of City Lofts (175 Bluxome Street), A Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 29, Assessor's Block No. 3785, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of City Lofts (175 Bluxome Street), A Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 29, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3785, comprising 9 sheets, approved the 22nd day of August, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,129, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of City Lofts (175 Bluxome Street), A Live/Work Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the Department of City Planning, by its letter dated March 10, 1998, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the Master Plan and the Eight Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the City Planning Code; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, LS
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT
SEP 18 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco
Tails
Motion

File Number: 011528 **Date Passed:** August 27, 2001

Motion approving the Final Map of City Lofts (175 Bluxome Street), A Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 29, Assessor's Block No. 3785, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 2 - Daly, Newsom

File No. 011528

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 27, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011533 MOTION NO. M01-126

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 1 8 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom, Yee, Leno, Daly, Peskin, McGoldrick, Maxwell
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011533 **Date Passed:** August 27, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 2 - Daly, Newsom

File No. 011533

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 27, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

8/27/01

FILE NO. 011551 MOTION NO. M01-127

[Adopting findings related to the appeal of the Planing Commission's certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report for the proposed New M. H. de Young Museum Project in Golden Gate Park.]

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the Planning Commission's certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report, Case File No. 199.455E (Commission Motion No. 16039, approved December 7, 2000), for the proposed New M. H. de Young Museum Project in Golden Gate Park, located at 75 Tea Garden Drive (Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 1700).

The New M. H. de Young Museum Project (the "Project") is proposed to be constructed at the museum's existing site at 75 Tea Garden Drive in the Music Concourse of Golden Gate Park, Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 1700, after demolition of the eight existing buildings comprising the M.H. de Young Museum and the Asian Art Museum, and after the relocation of the Asian Art Museum to its new facility in the City's Civic Center. The Corporation of the Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco submitted its application for environmental review of the proposed New de Young Museum Project on July 12, 1999 (City Planning File No. 1999.455E).

The Planning Department for the City and County of San Francisco (the "Department") determined that an Environmental Impact Report ("EIR") was required, and provided public notice of that determination by publication in a newspaper of general circulation on May 6, 2000. On July 22, 2000, the Department published the Draft Environmental Impact Report ("DEIR") for the Project. On August 24, 2000, the City Planning Commission ("Commission") held a duly noticed public hearing on the DEIR, at which time public comment was received on the DEIR, and written comments were received through August 24, 2000. The Department prepared responses to comments received at the public hearing on the DEIR and submitted in writing to the Department, prepared revisions to the text of the DEIR, and published a Draft

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 1 8 2001
SAN FRANCISCO

Summary of Comments and Responses on November 22, 2000.

A Final Environmental Impact Report ("FEIR") for the Project was prepared by the Department, consisting of the DEIR, any consultations and comments received during the review process, any additional information that became available, and the Draft Summary of Comments and Responses, all as required by law.

On December 7, 2000, the Commission reviewed and considered the FEIR and, by Motion No. 16039, found that: the contents of said report and the procedures through which the FEIR was prepared, publicized and reviewed complied with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the State CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code; the FEIR was adequate, accurate and objective, and reflected the independent judgment and analysis of the Department and the Commission; and the Summary of Comments and Responses contained no significant revisions to the DEIR. The Commission also adopted findings relating to significant impacts associated with the Project, and certified the completion of the FEIR in compliance with CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines.

A challenge to the FEIR and the process by which the FEIR was certified was initiated. The San Francisco Superior Court issued a Writ of Mandamus on August 2, 2001, requiring the Board of Supervisors to hear an appeal of the FEIR, in compliance with CEQA.

The Board of Supervisors conducted a public hearing on August 20, 2001, to consider the appeal of the Planning Commission's certification of the FEIR. Prior to the August 20 hearing the FEIR files, and other Project-related Department files, were available for review by the Board of Supervisors and the public, and those files were part of the record before the Board of Supervisors on August 20, 2001.

In reviewing the appeal of the certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report, the Board reviewed and considered the written record before the Board, including the Final

Environmental Impact Report, and all of the public comments made in support of and opposed to the appeal. At the August 20 hearing, and by letter to the Board dated August 17, the Corporation of the Fine Arts Museum (the Project sponsor) stated that it had discovered a discrepancy in the height of the tower analyzed in the FEIR and the height actually proposed for the tower, which affected the visual and shadow impact analyses of the FEIR. The height of the tower analyzed for shadow and visual purposes in the FEIR was based upon an inaccurate computer file which scaled the tower to 144 feet rather than its actual 160 feet.

On August 20, 2001, the Board, after carefully balancing the competing ~~public and private~~ interests, disapproved the certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report for the New M. H. de Young Museum Development Project, and remanded the final Environmental Impact Report to the Planning Commission for further action consistent with the Board's findings.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that the Final Environmental Impact Report for the proposed New M. H. de Young Museum Project is not adequate, accurate and objective in all portions, that its conclusions are not correct in all portions, and that the findings contained in the Planning Commission's certification are not correct in all portions. The Board of Supervisors hereby directs the Planning Commission and Planning Department, in specific, and consistent with the findings of the Board of Supervisors, to revise the FEIR to include corrections and related environmental analysis, as may be appropriate under CEQA, on the following issues: (1) errors and discrepancies in the shadow impacts analysis relative to the height of the Tower; (2) errors and discrepancies in the visual impacts analysis relative to the height of the Tower; (3) updating and correcting the Project description relative to the height of the Tower and to other information now available regarding the proposed Project design; and (4) broadening and correcting the description and related impacts analysis of the Project environmental setting relative to existing and potentially qualified historic resources, currently addressed on FEIR pages C&R 80 – C&R 82.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011551 **Date Passed:** August 27, 2001

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the Planning Commission's certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report, Case File No. 199.455E (Commission Motion No. 16039, approved December 7, 2000), for the proposed New M. H. de Young Museum Project in Golden Gate Park, located at 75 Tea Garden Drive (Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 1700).

August 21, 2001 Board of Supervisors — REFERRED: Board of Supervisors

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 1 - Newsom
Excused: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 011551

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on August 27, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011568 MOTION NO. M01-128

DOCUMENTS DEPT

SEP 1 8 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

[Final Map for a 76 Unit Residential Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 530 Chestnut Street and 411 & 445 Francisco Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 10, Assessor's Block No. 52, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 530 Chestnut Street and 411 & 445 Francisco Street, a Residential Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 10, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 52, comprising 8 sheets, approved the 1st day of July, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,110, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 530 Chestnut Street and 411 & 445 Francisco Street, A Residential Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the Department of City Planning, by its letter dated June 27, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the Master Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1, and Planning Commission approvals under Motions 13021 and 13870, Certificate of Appropriateness approval under Case No. 1998.865A and Notice of Special Restrictions Document 2000.G743338; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:	DESCRIPTION APPROVED:
Edwin M. Lee Director of Public Works	John R. Martin, L.S. Division Manager

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011568 **Date Passed:** August 27, 2001

Motion approving the Final Map of 530 Chestnut Street and 411 & 445 Francisco Street, A Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 10, Assessor's Block No. 52, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 2 - Daly, Newsom

File No. 011568

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 27, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole
in Committee. 8/24/01

FILE NO. 011480 MOTION NO. M01-129

[Rebuttal Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition B, a Revenue Bond Measure regarding Solar Energy Facilities.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a rebuttal to opponent's ballot argument against Proposition B, a Revenue Bond Measure regarding Solar Energy Facilities; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said rebuttal ballot argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 1 8 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this REBUTTAL BALLOT ARGUMENT _X_ FOR or __ AGAINST PROPOSITION **B** FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO.

Style Notes B, *I*, or *BI*	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
	The opponent is clearly confused. Proposition B, the San Francisco Solar Revenue	11
	Bond, will provide a tax free source of clean energy for the City of San Francisco.	15
	This measure, when approved by the voters, will set San Francisco on the road to	14
	energy independence, paid for by energy savings, not taxes.	9
	A YES vote on Proposition B will:	7
	♦ Provide clean, renewable energy by financing facilities on city property to either	12
	generate or save approximately 25% of city government's total energy needs.	11
	♦ Lower our dependence on PG&E and out of state energy corporations who have	13
	already gouged the city out of over $30 million dollars in increased energy costs	14
	this past year.	3
	♦ Save taxpayers the expense of paying for these new energy facilities. By using	13
	revenue bonds instead of the traditional general obligation bonds, all bond	11
	repayments will be paid from the energy savings, not from taxpayers.	11
	If passed, Proposition B will NOT be a blank check, but will instead be a voter	16
	approved measure for a **specific** amount of funds for a **specific** purpose: to cut down	15
B	on the City of San Francisco's mounting energy costs by using renewable power	12
	instead of PG&E power.	4
	Join the Sierra Club, San Francisco Planning and Urban Research, the American Lung	12
	Association, Greenpeace, the San Francisco Democratic Party and many others in	10
	supporting Proposition B.	3
	Vote for a cleaner environment and energy independence at no cost to taxpayers.	13
	Vote Yes on B.	4
	Board of Supervisors	3
	[continue text on next page) **Total # of Words =**	236

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. ______________________ ______________________
Printed Name Signature and Date

______________________ S.F. 941 ______
Title to Appear on Argument Address

2. ______________________ ______________________
Printed Name Signature and Date

______________________ S.F. 941 ______
Title to Appear on Argument Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

Page ____ of ____ attach additional pages as needed

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011480 **Date Passed:** August 27, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition B, a Revenue Bond Measure regarding Solar Energy Facilities.

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval
Noes: 1 - Yee
Absent: 1 - Newsom

File No. 011480

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on August 27, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011517 MOTION NO. M01-130

[Rebuttal Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition H, a Charter Amendment authorizing the Board of Supervisors to issue revenue bonds for renewable energy and energy conservation programs.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition H, a Charter Amendment amending Charter Section 9.107 to authorize the Board of Supervisors to issue revenue bonds for renewable energy and energy conservation programs; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said rebuttal argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said rebuttal argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 1 8 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this REBUTTAL BALLOT ARGUMENT X FOR or __ AGAINST PROPOSITION H FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO.

Style Notes B, *I*, or *BI*	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
	If you support local investment in solar power and energy conservation, support Prop	13
	H.	1
	The opponent of Prop H is fixated on bonds: since 1997 he has filed 5 arguments	16
	against bond proposals. Cities, like businesses, must sometimes borrow to finance	11
	projects. It's a basic rule of municipal finance.	8
B	**Unlike General Obligation bonds, by law revenue bonds do not raise taxes and**	13
B	**are not backed by the General Fund.** Projects funded by revenue bonds must	13
	generate enough revenue to repay the bonds *or the bonds won't sell.* Interest rates on	15
	recent local issues have been as low as 3.9%.	9
	The authority proposed by Prop H to pay for solar, wind power, and energy	14
B	conservation **already exists** in the charter for other public works projects like airport	13
	projects and port projects. Prop H would add renewable energy and conservation to	13
	this list of projects that can be financed.	8
	Here's why San Francisco needs this authority:	6
	• to reduce the need for new health-threatening power plants in city	12
	neighborhoods;	1
	• to reduce our economy's exposure to rising electricity costs and blackouts; and	12
	• to use low interest financing to make solar power and energy efficient	12
	technology affordable to San Franciscans.	5
	Residents need an alternative to rate hikes, blackouts and climbing natural gas prices.	13
	Prop H offers low interest financing for energy security and sustainability in our local	14
	economy. That's why H is endorsed by diverse groups like Greenpeace and the San	14
	Francisco Chamber of Commerce. Vote Yes on H.	7
	Board of Supervisors	3
	[continue text on next page) **Total # of Words =**	246

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. ______________________ ______________________
Printed Name Signature and Date
______________________ S.F. 941______
Title to Appear on Argument Address

2. ______________________ ______________________
Printed Name Signature and Date
______________________ S.F. 941______
Title to Appear on Argument Address

3. ______________________ ______________________
Printed Name Signature and Date
______________________ S.F. 941______
Title to Appear on Argument Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

Page ____ of ____ attach additional pages as needed

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011517 **Date Passed:** August 27, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition H, a Charter Amendment authorizing the Board of Supervisors to issue revenue bonds for renewable energy and energy conservation programs.

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval
Noes: 2 - Hall, Yee
Absent: 1 - Newsom

File No. 011517

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 27, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011518 MOTION NO. M01-131

[Rebuttal Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition F, a Charter Amendment creating a Municipal Water and Power Agency.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition F, a Charter amendment repealing Section 4.112 and 16.103, and A8.508, amending Section 9.107, 13.101 and A8.500, and adding a new Chapter 8B, regarding a Municipal Water and Power Agency; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said rebuttal argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said rebuttal argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

SEP 1 8 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this REBUTTAL BALLOT ARGUMENT _X_ FOR or __ AGAINST PROPOSITION F FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO.

Style Notes B, *I*, or ***BI***	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
	The real opponent of Prop F is PG&E, not Mr. Reid, who is a frequent local candidate.	17
B	**Ratepayers have had enough.** Prop F will address the failed California deregulation	12
	scheme (supported by PG&E in the legislature) and fix a poorly functioning City PUC.	14
	In 1999, City voters restructured the Municipal Railway. Michael Burns was also hired	13
	to run MUNI. The result: steady improvement in service and fewer complaints.	12
	Prop F is modeled on the successful MUNI reform. In 2001, voters can reform the	15
	PUC, which delivers water service and sewer service to residents and power to City	14
	departments. Prop F is a companion measure to Measure I establishing a San	13
	Francisco-Brisbane Municipal Utility District. Clearly Mr. Reid has not read Prop F,	12
	because it expressly avoids any overlapping or duplication of services with the MUD.	13
	Environmentalists, business owners, property owners, tenants, labor and consumer	9
	advocates helped draft Prop F. Mr. Reid worries about labor. The reason public power	14
	is cheaper has nothing to do with labor costs: PG&E employees currently earn the	14
	same or more than their government counterparts.	7
	The savings from public power – on average 18% less expensive than power from	13
	investor-owned utilities – comes from not paying stockholder dividends, executive	10
	bonuses or federal and state taxes and from the cheaper cost of municipal, tax-free	15
	debt.	1
B	**Prop F is endorsed by the Sierra Club, SF Democratic Party, SF League of**	14
B	**Conservation Voters, Democratic Women's Forum and San Francisco Tenant's**	8
B	**Union. Vote Yes on F and I.**	7
	Board of Supervisors	3
	[continue text on next page) **Total # of Words =**	250

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. ______________________ ______________________
Printed Name Signature and Date

______________________ S.F. 941______
Title to Appear on Argument Address

2. ______________________ ______________________
Printed Name Signature and Date

______________________ S.F. 941______
Title to Appear on Argument Address

3. ______________________ ______________________
Printed Name Signature and Date

______________________ S.F. 941______
Title to Appear on Argument Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

Page ____ of ____ attach additional pages as needed

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011518 **Date Passed:** August 27, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition F, a Charter Amendment creating a Municipal Water and Power Agency.

August 27, 2001	Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE
August 27, 2001	Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval Noes: 1 - Yee Absent: 1 - Newsom

File No. 011518

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on August 27, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole
in Committee. 8/24/01

FILE NO. 011519

MOTION NO. M01-132

[Rebuttal Argument]

Motion authorizing proponents rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition D, a Charter Amendment regarding Land Fill in the San Francisco Bay.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a rebuttal to opponent's ballot argument against Proposition D, a Charter amendment adopting a new Section 16.122, to allow the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco the right to vote on any Project of said city and county that would place 100 acres or more of fill in San Francisco Bay; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said rebuttal ballot argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 18 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisor Peskin

Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this REBUTTAL BALLOT ARGUMENT _X_ FOR or __ AGAINST PROPOSITION **D** FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO.

Style Notes **B**, *I*, or ***BI***	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
	PROP D GIVES PEOPLE, NOT POLITICAL APPOINTEES, THE RIGHT TO	10
	DECIDE	1
	Don't be fooled. Proposition D does one thing – it gives you, the voter, the	14
	right to decide on large developments in San Francisco Bay. Proposition D is	12
	not for or against the airport.	6
	SF League of Women Voters says YES on D	9
	The San Francisco League of Women Voters says, "Because the Bay is such a	13
	crucial part of all our lives and because it is our position that citizens must	15
	have a meaningful participation in land use planning regulation in San	11
	Francisco, we support Proposition D."	4
	Labor and Business groups say YES on D	8
	Proposition D provides public accountability on large bay fill projects.	10
	The SF Chamber of Commerce, Local 21— InternationalFederation of Professional &	12
	Technical Engineers, and SPUR have endorsed it.	7
	Community leaders say YES on D	6
	The Bay belongs to all of us. That's why the Coalition for San Francisco	13
	Neighborhoods, State Senator Jackie Speier, Assemblywoman Carole Migden, the San	10
	Francisco Democratic Party, the Harvey Milk LGBT Democratic Club and many,	9
	many other community organizations endorse it.	6
	The Sierra Club, Save the Bay and Golden Gate Audubon Society say YES on D.	15
	Opponents talk about "minor fill" of the Bay. In fact, any project that	13
	destroys 100 acres of the Bay would be the largest approved in 30 years.	14
	That's why every leading environmental organization in the Bay Area urges you	12
	to vote YES on Prop D.	6
	Board of Supervisors	3
	[continue text on next page] **Total # of Words =**	239

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. ______________________ ______________________
Printed Name Signature and Date

______________________ S.F. 941______
Title to Appear on Argument Address

2. ______________________ ______________________
Printed Name Signature and Date

______________________ S.F. 941______
Title to Appear on Argument Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

Page ____ of ____ attach additional pages as needed

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011519 **Date Passed:** August 27, 2001

Motion authorizing proponents rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition D, a Charter Amendment regarding Land Fill in the San Francisco Bay.

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 1 - Newsom

File No. 011519

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on August 27, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole
in Committee. 8/24/01

FILE NO. 011534

MOTION NO. M01-133

[Rebuttal Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition G, a Charter Amendment regarding Redistricting.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a rebuttal to opponent's ballot argument against Proposition G, a Charter amendment amending Section 13.110 to provide that the Elections Commission, rather than the Director of Elections, shall appoint three members to the Elections Task Force; to require the use of adjusted census figures in redistricting Supervisorial districts; and to set deadlines for creation of the Elections Task Force and for completion of redistricting; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said rebuttal ballot argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 18 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this REBUTTAL BALLOT ARGUMENT _X_ FOR or __ AGAINST PROPOSITION G FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO.

Style Notes B, *I*, or ***BI***	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
B	**Neighborhoods Agree: YES ON G AND E!**	7
	The way it works now, Mayor Willie Brown and his Elections Department will select	14
	six of the nine members of the Elections Task Force charged with redrawing the	14
	district lines. The Board of Supervisors appoints just three San Franciscans to	12
	represent neighborhood interests and concerns. The Coalition for San Francisco	9
	Neighborhoods, a citywide organization representing neighborhood groups, joins the	9
	Board of Supervisors in urging your support for Propositions G and E.	12
	By approving Propositions G and E, voters will establish independence in the elections	13
	and redistricting processes. If both measures pass, the three appointees of the	12
	Elections Director would instead be appointed by an Elections Commission	10
	independent of both the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors.	10
	The nine-member panel could use only numbers generated by official government	12
	agencies—the U.S. Census Bureau or the California Department of Finance—that	12
	document a significant undercount or overcount. A deadline of April 15th, 2002 to	13
	complete the process will bring quick resolution and provide prospective candidates	11
	with plenty of time to engage in the political process.	10
	Join the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods, San Francisco Democratic County Central	10
	Committee and the Board of Supervisors in bringing independence to elections and redistricting.	13
	Vote Yes on G and E!	6
	[continue text on next page) **Total # of Words =**	209

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. ______ Printed Name ______ Signature and Date
______ Title to Appear on Argument ______ S.F. 941 ______ Address

2. ______ Printed Name ______ Signature and Date
______ Title to Appear on Argument ______ S.F. 941 ______ Address

3. ______ Printed Name ______ Signature and Date
______ Title to Appear on Argument ______ S.F. 941 ______ Address

4. ______ Printed Name ______ Signature and Date
______ Title to Appear on Argument ______ S.F. 941 ______ Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

Page ____ of ____ attach additional pages as needed

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011534 **Date Passed:** August 27, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition G, a Charter Amendment regarding Redistricting.

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval
Noes: 2 - Hall, Yee
Absent: 1 - Newsom

File No. 011534

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 27, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

41 Library
100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

41 Library
100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

FILE NO. 011554 MOTION NO. M01-134

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
OCT 16 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011554 **Date Passed:** September 17, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

September 17, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Yee

Absent: 2 - Peskin, Sandoval

File No. 011554

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on September 17, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011613 MOTION NO. M01-135

[Emergency Declaration Concurrence.]

Motion concurring in the September 11, 2001 Declaration of the Existence of a Local Emergency for Limited Purposes by the Mayor, in the actions taken to meet the emergency, and in the termination of the emergency.

WHEREAS, On September 11, 2001, Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr. declared a local emergency to exist for certain limited purposes in connection with the terrorist attacks that occurred in the eastern United States that morning; and,

WHEREAS, Government Code Sections 8550 et seq. and Charter Section 3.100 provide for the concurrence by members of the Board of Supervisors in such emergency declaration and in action taken by the Mayor to meet the emergency; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor authorized all appropriate measures relating to the closure of the courts on September 11, 2001, all appropriate measures relating to the release and deployment of City personnel on September 11, 2001, and all appropriate measures relating to the closure of public schools in the City and County of San Francisco on September 11, 2001; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor terminated the declaration of local emergency on September 12, 2001; and

WHEREAS, In declaring the existence of the local emergency and in terminating the emergency, the Mayor consulted with the President of the Board of Supervisors, as well as with other members of the Board who were available, and they concurred in such actions; now, therefore, be it

DOCUMENTS DEPT
OCT 16 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors concurs with the declaration of emergency issued by the Mayor, in the actions taken by the Mayor to meet the emergency, and in the Mayor's termination of the emergency.

City and County of San Francisco
Tails
Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011613 **Date Passed:** September 17, 2001

Motion concurring in the September 11, 2001 Declaration of the Existence of a Local Emergency for Limited Purposes by the Mayor, in the actions taken to meet the emergency, and in the termination of the emergency.

September 17, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Yee
Absent: 3 - McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval

File No. 011613

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on September 17, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011622 **MOTION NO.** M01-136

[Review of Audited Financial Statements for Sunset Scavenger Company, Golden Gate Disposal, Sanitary Fill Company and Norcal Waste Systems and Review of 2001 Garbage Rate Application Process and Rate Increase]

Motion requesting that the Budget Analyst conduct an expedited review of the audited financial statements for Sunset Scavenger Company, Golden Gate Disposal, Sanitary Fill Company and Norcal Waste Systems and review the 2001 garbage rate application process and recent residential rate increase.

WHEREAS, Under current City regulatory and rate setting procedures, only residential refuse and recycling rates can be regulated; and

WHEREAS, Currently both refuse companies (Sunset Scavenger and Golden Gate Disposal) combine residential and commercial waste collection; and

WHEREAS, It may be to the City's benefit to understand, and potentially regulate commercial rates, in addition to the existing residential rates, and

WHEREAS, Sunset Scavenger, Golden Gate Disposal & Recycling Company and Sanitary Fill Company (Norcal) submitted an application in January of 2001 for an increase in the rates for collection and disposal of refuse from residences, flats and apartments in San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, The City's Rate Review Board established new residential rates which became effective as of July 1, 2001; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco requests that the Budget Analyst undertake an expedited review of the audited financial statements for Sunset Scavenger Company, Golden Gate Disposal, Sanitary Fill Company and Norcal Waste Systems; and be it

DOCUMENTS DEPT
OCT 16 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors requests that the Budget Analyst undertake an expedited general review of the recent 2001 garbage rate application process and resulting residential rate increase; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Budget Analyst make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors regarding the 2001 rate review process and the potential for including commercial rate regulation and alternative regulatory structures.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011622 **Date Passed:** September 17, 2001

Motion requesting that the Budget Analyst conduct an expedited review of the audited financial statements for Sunset Scavenger Company, Golden Gate Disposal, Sanitary Fill Company and Norcal Waste Systems and review the 2001 garbage rate application process and recent resiential rate increase.

September 17, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE

September 17, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom
Noes: 1 - Yee
Absent: 2 - Peskin, Sandoval

File No. 011622

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on September 17, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole
9/24/01

FILE NO. 011392 MOTION NO. M01-137

[Appeal, Conditional Use, 4501 Irving Street.]

Motion disapproving decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16182 approving Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1261EC, on property located 4501 Irving Street, southwest corner of Irving Street and 46th Avenue, and approving Conditional Use authorization subject to new conditions.

WHEREAS, The City Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16182 approved Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1261EC, on property located at 4501 Irving Street, the southwest corner of Irving Street and 46th Avenue; and,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors does not approve of the conditions imposed on this project by the Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, The Board finds it appropriate to approve the Conditional Use Application, but only subject to conditions different from those imposed by the Planning Commission; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby disapproves the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16182 approving Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1261EC, on property located 4501 Irving Street; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby approves Conditional Use Authorization for a new three-story, mixed use building, at a maximum height of thirty-three feet, containing approximately 1,800 square feet of commercial office/retail space and no less than eleven but up to twelve off-street parking spaces, with the twelfth parking space, if provided, allowed as independently accessible or tandem parking, in the ground floor garage and eight dwelling units on the remaining two upper floors; and be it

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

OCT 16 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FURTHER MOVED, That conditions 6 through 11 of the conditions of approval in Exhibit A of Planning Commission Motion No. 16182 shall be deleted, condition 1 of Exhibit A shall be modified to be consistent with this motion and the remaining conditions in Exhibit A shall be retained.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011392 **Date Passed:** September 24, 2001

Motion disapproving decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16182 approving Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1261EC, on property located 4501 Irving Street, southwest corner of Irving Street and 46th Avenue, and approving conditional use authorization subject to new conditions.

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval

September 17, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Yee
Absent: 2 - Peskin, Sandoval

September 24, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE

September 24, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 011392

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on September 24, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

File No. 011392

FILE NO. 011393 MOTION NO. M01-138

[Findings]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings relating to proposed Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1261EC, on property located 4501 Irving Street, southwest corner of Irving Street and 46th Avenue, for action by the Board at its next meeting.

IT IS HEREBY MOVED, That the Clerk of the Board be and he/she is hereby directed to prepare findings relating to proposed Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1261EC, on property located 4501 Irving Street, for action by the Board at its next meeting.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 16 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Motions\cu01-5\ :lgd

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011393 **Date Passed:** September 24, 2001

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings relating to proposed Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1261EC, on property located 4501 Irving Street, southwest corner of Irving Street and 46th Avenue, for action by the Board at its next meeting.

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval

September 17, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Yee
Absent: 2 - Peskin, Sandoval

September 24, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 011393

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on September 24, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011588 MOTION NO. M01-139

[Board Off-site Meetings]

Motion ordering the City and School District Committee meeting of the Board of Supervisors be held at the Irving G. Breyer Board Meeting Room, Board Of Education, 555 Franklin Street, First Floor, San Francisco, on October 24, 2001, at 3:00 P.M. and again on November 14, 2001, at 3:00 P.M. and directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare the proper notices and postings.

WHEREAS, In the November 1993 election, Proposition O was passed by the voters of San Francisco to allow committee meetings of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to be held outside of City Hall; and

WHEREAS, The 1996 Charter Section 2.103 continued to allow meetings of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors committees to be held outside of City Hall; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on January 22, 2001, adopted Rule 5.9 of its Rules of Order which created the City and School District Committee to hear measures concerning issues of mutual interest that affect the City and School District, its employees, its students, and the families of its students, including the issue of the public use of the School District facilities; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Education on June 22, 1999 adopted Rule 5.6, Policy No. P120, creating the City and School District Committee with the same purpose as cited above and stating the City and School District Committee shall be comprised of two members of the Board of Supervisors and two members of the Board of Education; and,

WHEREAS, At the October 27, 1999 meeting of the City and School District Committee, it was decided to hold quarterly meetings at the Irving G. Breyer Board Meeting Room, Board of Education, 555 Franklin Street, First floor, San Francisco at 3:00 p.m.; now, therefore, be it

DOCUMENTS DEPT
OCT 16 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby order that the October 24, 2001, and November 14, 2001 meetings of the City and School District Committee be held at the Irving G. Breyer Board Meeting Room, Board of Education, 555 Franklin Street, First floor, San Francisco at 3:00 p.m.; and be it,

FURTHER MOVED, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to prepare the necessary notices and postings pursuant to Charter Section 2.103.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011588 **Date Passed:** September 24, 2001

Motion ordering the City and School District Committee meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held at the Irving G. Breyer Board Meeting Room, Board of Education, 555 Franklin Street, First Floor, San Francisco, on October 24, 2001 at 3:00 P.M., and again on November 14, 2001, and directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare the prior notices and postings.

September 24, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 011588

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on September 24, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011666 MOTION NO. M01-140

[United Nations Working Group.]

Motion establishing a 15 member working group on United Nations Plaza.

WHEREAS, United Nations Plaza is an extremely important open space for the City of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it moved, that the Board of Supervisors establishes a 15 member working group on United Nations Plaza, to be appointed as follows:

- 4 members appointed by the Director of Department of Public Works,
- 1 member appointed by the Director of Recreation & Parks Department,
- 2 members appointed by the Mayor, one of whom should be a member of the Mid-Market Redevelopment Project Area Committee,
- 8 members appointed by the Board of Supervisors, one of whom shall be a member of the United Nations Association, one of whom shall be a member of the Market Street Association, one of whom shall be residential tenant in the proximity of United Nations Plaza, one of whom shall be a vendor at the Farmer's Market held in United Nations Plaza, one of whom shall be a homeless advocate, and 3 of whom shall be a member of the public at large. and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the working group for United Nations Plaza Working Group will be responsible for reviewing all plans and documents for United Nations Plaza; making recommendations to Department of Public Works, and the Board of Supervisors, and shall approve a conceptual plan for United Nations Plaza; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the working group shall make its first report to the Board of Supervisors by December 31, 2001.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
OCT 1 6 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011666 **Date Passed:** September 24, 2001

Motion establishing a 15 member working group on United Nations Plaza.

September 24, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval

File No. 011666

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on September 24, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011607 MOTION NO. M01-141

[Records Retention and Destruction Schedule]

Motion approving the Board of Supervisors revised Records Retention Schedule and Records Retention and Destruction Policy retroactive to July 1, 2001 and authorizing the Clerk of the Board to adjust the schedule to increase retention periods and requiring Board approval for additions and/or reduction in retention periods.

WHEREAS, Chapter 8 of the San Francisco Administrative Code requires that each City department follow an approved schedule for the retention and disposal of records; and,

WHEREAS, The City Attorney has been consulted regarding the retention schedule; on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 011607, which is hereby declared to be a part of this motion as if set forth fully herein; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board approves the Board of Supervisors revised Records Retention Schedule and Records Retention and Destruction Policy retroactive to July 1, 2001 and directs the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to carry out the implementation of the schedule; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board authorizes the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to adjust this retention schedule on finding that it would provide better service to the Board and the public if something were retained in a different location or for a longer period of time; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall obtain approval of the Board prior to adding record titles to the retention schedule or reducing the retention period for any listed record.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
OCT 16 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011607 **Date Passed:** October 1, 2001

Motion approving the Board of Supervisors revised Records Retention Schedule and Records Retention and Destruction Policy retroactive to July 1, 2001 and authorizing the Clerk of the Board to adjust the schedule to increase retention periods and requiring Board approval for additions and/or reduction in retention periods.

October 1, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 2 - Daly, Maxwell

File No. 011607

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 1, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011676 MOTION NO. M01-142

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
OCT 16 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011676 **Date Passed:** October 1, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

October 1, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 2 - Daly, Maxwell

File No. 011676

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 1, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011702 MOTION NO. M01-143

[Adopting findings related to the conditional use appeal on property located at 4501 Irving Street.]

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the Planning Commission's approval of Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1261EC (which authorized, subject to certain conditions, the development of a new four-story, mixed use building, at a maximum height of forty feet, containing approximately 1,800 square feet of commercial office/retail space and eleven off-street parking spaces in the ground floor garage, and ten dwelling units on the remaining three upper floors, on two lots with a total area exceeding 5,000 square feet) in an NC (Neighborhood Commercial Cluster) District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District, on property located at 4501 Irving Street, southwest corner of Irving Street and 46th Avenue (Lots 47 and 49, in Assessor's Block 1801).

The appellant, Harry J. Aleo, filed a timely appeal on July 30, 2001, protesting the approval by the Planning Commission of an application for a conditional use authorization (Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1261EC, approved by Commission Motion No. 16182 on June 28, 2001) to develop a new four-story, mixed-use building, at a maximum height of forty feet, containing approximately 1,800 square feet of commercial office/retail space and eleven off-street parking spaces in the ground floor garage, and ten dwelling units on the remaining three upper floors, on two lots with a total area exceeding 5,000 square feet, in an NC (Neighborhood Commercial Cluster) District and a 40-X height and Bulk District, on property located at 4501 Irving Street, southwest corner of Irving Street and 46th Avenue (Lots 47 and 49 in Assessor's Block 1801).

The public hearing before the Board of Supervisors on said appeal was scheduled for August 20, 2001. On August 20 the Board continued the public hearing to September 24, 2001. On September 24 the Board conducted a duly noticed hearing on the appeal from the

DOCUMENTS DEPT
OCT 16 2001
SAN FRANCISCO

Planning Commission's approval referred to in the first paragraph of this motion. Following the conclusion of the public hearing on September 24, the Board disapproved the decision of the Planning Commission (Planning Commission Motion No. 16182), and approved the issuance of requested Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1261EC subject to conditions imposed by the Board of Supervisors, those conditions being different from those imposed by the Planning Commission in several respects.

In reviewing the appeal of the approval of the requested conditional use authorization, this Board reviewed and considered the written record before the Board and all of the public comments made in support of and opposed to the appeal.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein, as though fully set forth, the findings made by the Planning Commission in its Motion No. 16182, dated June 28, 2001, except as indicated below.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors took notice that a Certificate of Determination of Exemption/Exclusion from Environmental Review for Case No. 2000.1261EC was issued on February 15, 2001, by the Planning Department, confirming tha the project could not have a significant effect on the environment, and that no further environmental review is required. The Board finds that there have been no substantial projec changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the determination of exemption issued by the Planning Department.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that it appears that the projec developer intends to develop the housing units on the site as ownership units. The development of these units as ownership units is subject to the laws of the City and County c San Francisco and of the State of California with regard to subdivisions.

FURTHER MOVED, That the conditional use authorization conditions approved by the Board of Supervisors on September 24 included the revision of the building to be a three-story, mixed use building, at a maximum height of thirty-three feet, containing approximately 1,800 square feet of commercial office/retail space and no less than eleven, but up to twelve off-street parking spaces, with the twelfth parking space, if provided, allowed as independently accessible or tandem parking, in the ground floor garage, and eight dwelling units on the remaining two upper floors. The conditions approved by the Board also included the deletion of conditions 6 through 11 of the conditions of approval in Exhibit A of Planning Commission Motion No. 16182, the modification of condition 1 of Exhibit A to be consistent with the Board's September 24 decision, and the retention of the remaining conditions in Exhibit A. Planning Commission finding 2 is amended and adopted by the Board accordingly.

FURTHER MOVED, That at the public hearing, members of the public urged the Board to disapprove the decision of the Planning Commission because of their concerns about the height of the proposed development, the number of housing units, and the amount of off-street parking spaces provided for the proposed ten housing units and the commercial space in the proposed project. The Board finds that neighborhood opposition to the project, as proposed, is significant, and that finding 3 of the Planning Commission is incorrect. The Board adopts this finding in place of the Planning Commission's finding 3.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that finding 4 of the Planning Commission is incorrect, and the Board adopts this finding in place of Planning Commission finding 4. The (proposed four-story) mass and façade of the proposed structure are incompatible with the existing scale of the district, which is characterized by two story buildings in the areas adjacent to the proposed project. The Board also finds that the proposed ten units of housing are out of scale with the adjacent properties in the neighborhood. The Board further finds that the revised three-story building and eight housing units are compatible with the existing scale of the district, and with the design and features of adjacent facades, and that it will contribute to the positive visual quality of the district.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board reduced the number of housing units in the proposed project from ten to eight. The parking requirements were also altered to require no less than eleven, but up to twelve parking spaces, with the twelfth parking space, if provided, allowed as independently accessible, or tandem, parking, in the ground floor garage. These changes are consistent with City requirements, as referred to in Planning Commission findings 10 and 11, and Planning Commission finding 10 is amended and adopted by the Board accordingly.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board altered the proposed project to provide eight housing units. This change is consistent with City requirements, as referred to in Planning Commission finding 12, and Planning Commission finding 12 is amended and adopted by the Board accordingly.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board finds that the revised project (which deletes the requirement of two affordable housing units), as approved by the Board, will meet the requirements of Planning Code Section 303. The revised project will provide a development that is necessary or desirable for, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community, and that such use will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity, or injurious to property, improvements or potential development in the vicinity, and that such use will not adversely affect the General Plan. The project as approved by the Planning Commission, contrary to finding 13 of the Planning Commission, would not have met the requirements of Planning Code Section 303, due to its height, mass, number of housing units, and insufficient parking for the size of the project. Findings 13 and 15c of the Planning Commission ~~is~~ are amended, and adopted by the Board as amended, to reflect that the revised project, as approved by the Board, will increase the availability and variety of neighborhood serving commercial establishments with the ground floor retail space and will also provide eight much needed dwelling units in the City. The revised project will be compatible with recent development in the community.

FURTHER MOVED, That on balance, the project, as revised by the Board of Supervisors, is consistent with the Objectives and Policies of the General Plan. Finding 14 of the Planning Commission is amended and adopted by the Board as amended to reflect that the revised project, as approved by the Board, will result in a building height compatible with the character of surrounding buildings, rather than a prominent corner building, and, by adding eight housing units, will increase the supply of housing, but not affordable units, without affecting the prevailing character of the existing neighborhood.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors, after carefully balancing the competing public and private interests, disapproved the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion 16182, dated June 28, 2001, and approved the issuance of the Conditional Use Application, subject to the conditions imposed by the Board on September 24, 2001 and referred to earlier in this motion.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011702 **Date Passed:** October 1, 2001

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the Planning Commission's approval of Conditional Use Application No. 2000.1261EC (which authorized, subject to certain conditions, the development of a new four-story, mixed use building, at a maximum height of forty feet, containing approximately 1,800 square feet of commercial office/retail space and eleven off-street parking spaces in the ground floor garage, and ten dwelling units on the remaining three upper floors, on two lots with a total area exceeding 5,000 square feet) in an NC (Neighborhood Commercial Cluster) District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District, on property located at 4501 Irving Street, southwest corner of Irving Street and 46th Avenue (Lots 47 and 49, in Assessor's Block 1801).

September 26, 2001	Board of Supervisors — REFERRED: Board of Supervisors
October 1, 2001	Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE
October 1, 2001	Board of Supervisors — AMENDED
October 1, 2001	Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee Absent: 2 - Daly, Maxwell

File No. 011702

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on October 1, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011706 MOTION NO. M01-144

[Final Map for a 21 Unit Mixed-Use Condominium Project].

Motion approving the Final Map of 3388 17th Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 92, Assessor's Block No. 3569, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 3388 17th Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 92, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3569, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 29th day of August, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,147, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 3388 17th Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the Department of City Planning, by its letter dated August 16, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the City Planning Code; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, LS
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT
OCT 16 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011706 **Date Passed:** October 1, 2001

Motion approving the Final Map of 3388 17th Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 92, Assessor's Block No. 3569, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

October 1, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 2 - Daly, Maxwell

File No. 011706

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 1, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011707 MOTION NO. M01-145

[Final Map for a 6 Unit Condominium Project]

Motion approving the Final Map of 1701 Page Street, A Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 1, Assessor's Block No. 1230, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "1701 Page Street", being a Final Map of Lot 1, of Assessor's Block No. 1230, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 24th day of September 2001, by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,181, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 1701 Page Street, A Residential Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the Department of City Planning, by its letter dated July 20, 2000, that the project is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1. and the attached Motion No.14954.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, LS
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 16 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011707 **Date Passed:** October 1, 2001

Motion approving the Final Map of 1701 Page Street, A Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 1, Assessor's Block No. 1230, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

October 1, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 2 - Daly, Maxwell

File No. 011707

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 1, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011708 MOTION NO. M01-146

[Final Map for a 9 Lot Subdivision]

Motion approving the 598 Missouri Street, A Nine Lot Subdivision Project, being a subdivision of Lot 5, Assessor's Block No. 4100, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of Missouri Street Residences", being a subdivision of Lot 5, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 4100, comprising 2 sheets, approved the 29th day of August, 2001, by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,146, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 598 Missouri Street, A Nine Lot Subdivision Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the Department of City Planning, by its letter dated November 25, 1996, that the project is consistent with the Master Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

for R Martin

John R. Martin, LS
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT
OCT 16 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011708 **Date Passed:** October 1, 2001

Motion approving the 598 Missouri Street, A Nine Lot Subdivision Project, being a subdivision of Lot 5, Assessor's Block No. 4100, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

October 1, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 2 - Daly, Maxwell

File No. 011708

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 1, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

41 Library
100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

FILE NO. 010982 MOTION NO. M01-147

[Release of Reserve Funds, San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission (SF LAFCo).]

Motion releasing $239,806 placed on Board of Supervisors Reserve for the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission (SF LAFCo) to pay for the services of the City Attorney and outside legal counsel.

WHEREAS, On December 5, 2000, the Board of Supervisors appropriated $754,250 from the General Fund Reserve to fund the adoption of the San Francisco Agency Formation Commission (SF LAFCo) budget (File 001995, Ordinance 308-00); and

WHEREAS, $604,250 of these funds were placed on reserve pending submission by the SF LAFCo of a revised budget proposal; and

WHEREAS, The SF LAFCo has requested the release of funds in the amount of $239,806 to pay for the services of the City Attorney and outside legal counsel; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby release $239,806 placed on reserve for the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission to pay for the services of the City Attorney and outside legal counsel.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
OCT 3 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 010982 **Date Passed:** October 9, 2001

Motion releasing $239,806 placed on Board of Supervisors Reserve for the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission (SF LAFCo) to pay for the services of the City Attorney and outside legal counsel.

October 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

Noes: 2 - Hall, Newsom

File No. 010982

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011776 MOTION NO. M01-148

[Final Map for a 5 Unit Condominium Project]

Motion approving the Final Map of 425 14th Street, A Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 30, Assessor's Block No. 3546, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "425 14th Street, a Residential Condominium Project," being a Subdivision of Lot 30, Assessor's Block No. 3546, comprising 4 sheets, approved the 24th day of September 2001, by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,187, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 425 14th Street, A Residential Condominium Project;

and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the Department of City Planning, by its letter dated May 18, 2001, that the project is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, LS
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT
NOV - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011776 **Date Passed:** October 9, 2001

Motion approving the Final Map of 425 14th Street, A Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 30, Assessor's Block No. 3546, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

October 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011776

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011777 MOTION NO. M01-149

[Final Map for a 9 Unit Mixed-Use Condominium Project].

Motion approving the Final Map of 166 Sanchez Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 9, Assessor's Block No. 3541, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 166 Sanchez Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 9, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3541, comprising 4 sheets, approved the 24th day of September, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,186, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 166 Sanchez Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the Department of City Planning, by its letter dated April 16, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the City Planning Code; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, LS
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT
NOV - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011777 **Date Passed:** October 9, 2001

Motion approving the Final Map of 166 Sanchez Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 9, Assessor's Block No. 3541, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

October 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011777

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011778 MOTION NO. M01-150

[Final Map for a 13 Unit Live Work / Commercial Condominium Project].

Motion approving the Final Map of 1026 Folsom Street, A Live Work/ Commercial Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 15, Assessor's Block No. 3731, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 1026 Folsom Street, A Live Work/ Commercial Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 15, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3731, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 3rd day of October, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,200, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 1026 Folsom Street, A Live Work/ Commercial Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the Department of City Planning, by its letter dated May 15, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the City Planning Code, Planning Commission Motion #15983—Case No. 2000.136 C and Notice of Special Restrictions recorded October 13, 2000, as Instrument No. 2000-G849071-00; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, LS
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT
NOV - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011778 **Date Passed:** October 9, 2001

Motion approving the Final Map of 1026 Folsom Street, A Live Work/ Commercial Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 15, Assessor's Block No. 3731, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

October 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011778

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011779 MOTION NO. M01-151

[Final Map for a 34 Unit Mixed-Use Condominium Project].

Motion approving the Final Map of 410 Jessie Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 2, Assessor's Block No. 3704, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 410 Jessie Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 2, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3704, comprising 13 sheets, approved the 24th day of September, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,185, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 410 Jessie Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the Department of City Planning, by its letter dated August 31, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the City Planning Code; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:	DESCRIPTION APPROVED:
Edwin M. Lee Director of Public Works	John R. Martin, LS Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011779 **Date Passed:** October 9, 2001

Motion approving the Final Map of 410 Jessie Street, A Mixed-Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 2, Assessor's Block No. 3704, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

October 9, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011779

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 9, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole
in Committee 10/04/01

FILE NO. 011477 MOTION NO. M01-152

[Amendment to agreement for Budget Analyst Services]

Motion amending the Agreement for Professional Budget Analyst Services between the City and County of San Francisco and Stanton W. Jones and Associates; Debra A. Newman; Rodriguez, Perez, Delgado & Company Certified Public Accountants; Harvey M. Rose Accountancy Corporation Certified Public Accountants; and Louie & Wong LLP Certified Public Accountants "A Joint Venture" to provide for an expanded level of Budget Analyst services during Fiscal Year 2001-2002 to conduct a performance audit of the Planning Department, to be completed by April 15, 2002.

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors has appropriated funds in the amount of $200,000 in the FY 2001-2002 budget for the Budget Analyst to conduct a performance audit; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors requests that the performance audit commence as soon as practicable and be completed by April 15, 2002; now therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby amends its Agreement with the Budget Analyst Joint Venture to increase the Joint Venture contract by $200,000 in Fiscal Year 2001-2002 to conduct the performance audit on the Planning Department, and the Budget Analyst has agreed to complete this performance audit by April 15, 2002; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors directs the Planning Department to cooperate fully and promptly with the Budget Analyst in the conduct of this performance audit; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is authorized and directed to take all necessary administrative steps to carry out the intent of this motion.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
NOV - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011477 **Date Passed:** October 15, 2001

Motion amending the Agreement for Professional Budget Analyst Services between the City and County of San Francisco and Stanton W. Jones and Associates; Debra A. Newman; Rodriguez, Perez, Delgado & Company Certified Public Accountants; Harvey M. Rose Accountancy Corporation Certified Public Accountants, and Louie & Wong LLP Certified Public Accountants "A Joint Venture" to provide for an expanded level of Budget Analyst services during Fiscal Year 2001-2002 to conduct a performance audit of the Planning Department, to be completed by April 15, 2002.

August 13, 2001	Board of Supervisors — REFERRED: Rules Committee
October 15, 2001	Board of Supervisors — APPROVED Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011477

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 15, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

for Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011479 MOTION NO. M01-153

[Amendment to Budget Analyst contract to exercise two-year option.]

Motion approving exercising the second option set forth in the agreement for professional budget analyst services between the Board of Supervisors and Stanton W. Jones and Associates/Debra A. Newman/Rodriguez, Perez, Delgado & Company Certified Public Accountants/Harvey M. Rose Accountancy Corporation Certified Public Accounts/Louie & Wong LLP Certified Public Accountants – a Joint Venture, to extend the term of the Agreement from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2003.

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors wishes to hereby exercise the second option set forth in the agreement for professional budget analyst services between the Board of Supervisors and Stanton W. Jones and Associates/Debra A. Newman/Rodriguez, Perez, Delgado & Company Certified Public Accountants/Harvey M. Rose Accountancy Corporation Certified Public Accounts/Louie & Wong LLP Certified Public Accountants – a Joint Venture, contained in Board of Supervisors File No. __011479__, to extend the term of the Agreement from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2003; now therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby amends its agreement with the Budget Analyst Joint Venture to extend the term of the agreement from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2003.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
NOV - 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011479 **Date Passed:** October 15, 2001

Motion approving exercising the second option set forth in the agreement for professional budget analyst services between the Board of Supervisors and Stanton W. Jones and Associates/Debra A. Newman/Rodriguez, Perez, Delgado & Company Certified Public Accountants/Harvey M. Rose Accountancy Corporation Certified Public Accounts/Louie & Wong LLP Certified Public Accountants - a Joint Venture, to extend the term of the Agreement from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2003.

October 15, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011479

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 15, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

for Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011787 MOTION NO. M01-154

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
NOV - 1
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011787 **Date Passed:** October 15, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

October 15, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011787

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 15, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011793 **MOTION** M01-155

[Final Map for a 7 Unit Mixed Use Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 5301 Mission Street, a Mixed Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 1, Assessor's Block No. 6461, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 5301 Mission Street, A Mixed Use Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 1, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 6461, comprising 4 sheets, approved the 3rd day of October, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,208, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 5301 Mission Street, A Mixed Use Condominium Project.

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated October 5, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, - L.S.
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV - 9 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011793 **Date Passed:** October 22, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 5301 Mission Street, a Mixed Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 1, Assessor's Block No. 6461, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

October 22, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Daly, Hall, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 3 - Gonzalez, Leno, McGoldrick

File No. 011793

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 22, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

for Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011888 MOTION NO. M01-156

[Final Map for a 9 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 1047 Mississippi Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 12, Assessor's Block No. 4224, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 1047 Mississippi St., a Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 12, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 4224, comprising 4 sheets, approved the 10th day of October, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,211, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 1047 Mississippi Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated May 31, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, L.S.
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV - 9 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Name of Supervisor/Committee/Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011888 **Date Passed:** October 22, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 1047 Mississippi Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 12, Assessor's Block No. 4224, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

October 22, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Daly, Hall, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 3 - Gonzalez, Leno, McGoldrick

File No. 011888

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 22, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Grégoire Hobson

for Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

[Final Map for a 12 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 1578 Indiana Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 20, Assessor's Block No. 4318, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 1578 Indiana Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 20, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 4318, comprising 4 sheets, approved the 17th day of October, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,220, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 1578 Indiana Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated January 30, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, L.S.
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

NOV - 9 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011901 **Date Passed:** October 29, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 1578 Indiana Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 20, Assessor's Block No. 4318, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

October 29, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011901

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 29, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011906 MOTION NO. M01-158

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV - 9 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011906 **Date Passed:** October 29, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

October 29, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011906

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 29, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011926 MOTION NO. M01-159

[Final Map for a 12 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 1588 Indiana Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 21, Assessor's Block No. 4318, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 1588 Indiana Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 21, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 4318, comprising 4 sheets, approved the 17th day of October, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,228, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 1588 Indiana Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated January 30, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, L.S.
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

NOV - 9 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011926 **Date Passed:** October 29, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 1588 Indiana Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 21, Assessor's Block No. 4318, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

October 29, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011926

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 29, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011927 MOTION NO. M01-160

[Final Map for a 5 Unit Residential Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 1649 - 1657 Greenwich Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 33, Assessor's Block No. 521, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 1649 – 1657 Greenwich Street, a Residential Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 33, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 521, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 3rd day of October, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,207, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 1649-1657 Greenwich Street, A Residential Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated February 13, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:	DESCRIPTION APPROVED:
Edwin M. Lee Director of Public Works	John R. Martin, L.S. Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV - 9 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Name of Supervisor/Committee/Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011927 **Date Passed:** October 29, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 1649 - 1657 Greenwich Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 33, Assessor's Block No. 521, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

October 29, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011927

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 29, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011928 MOTION NO. M01-161

[Final Map for a 136 Unit Residential Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of Loyola Village (301 – 399 Anza Street), a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 7, Assessor's Block No. 1107, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of Loyola Village (301 – 399 Anza Street), a Residential Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 7, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 1107, comprising 13 sheets, approved the 17th day of October, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,227, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of Loyola Village (301 – 399 Anza Street), A Residential Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated September 22, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, L.S.
Division Manager

Name of Supervisor/Committee/Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

NOV - 9 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011928 **Date Passed:** October 29, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of Loyola Village (301 - 399 Anza Street), a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 7, Assessor's Block No. 1107, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

October 29, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Excused: 1 - McGoldrick

File No. 011928

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on October 29, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011547 MOTION NO. M01-162

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

NOV - 9 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

[Audit, Homeless Services.]

Motion requesting the Controller ~~and directing the Budget Analyst~~ to prepare a management audit of the City's homeless programs with the cooperation of the Budget Analyst, no later than December 31, 2001.

WHEREAS, Homelessness is a crisis in San Francisco; and,

WHEREAS, San Franciscans must have confidence in the system of care for homeless men, women, children and families; and

WHEREAS, A major component of assuring this confidence is to ensure that the public funds are used well; ~~now, therefore, be it~~ and

WHEREAS, The term homeless includes individuals or families who lack a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence and who have a primary residence in one or more of the categories outlined in the San Francisco Local Homeless Coordinating Board's Definition of Homelessness, on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 011547 which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors requests the Controller ~~and directs the Budget Analyst~~ to conduct a management audit of the City's homeless programs with the cooperation of the Budget Analyst; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Controller and the Budget Analyst shall report back to the Audit, Labor and Government Efficiency Committee on a suggested scope of the management audit upon completion of the update of the 1994 Budget Analyst report (Board File No. 011840) on the cost of services provided to the homeless population. This report shall be made no later than December 31, 2001.

Supervisors Daly, Newsom **, Gonzalez, Peskin
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011547 **Date Passed:** October 29, 2001

Motion requesting the Controller to prepare a management audit of the City's homeless programs with the cooperation of the Budget Analyst no later than December 31, 2001.

October 29, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED

October 29, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011547

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on October 29, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

As amended in Board
October 29, 2001.

FILE NO. 011840 MOTION NO. M01-163

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

NOV - 9 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

[Budget Analyst request.]

Motion directing the Office of the Budget Analyst to update its 1994 Report on the cost of direct services provided to the homeless population in the City and County of San Francisco; the completion of this update is a priority assignment.

WHEREAS, In October of 1994, the Office of the Budget Analyst submitted to the Board of Supervisors a special study of programs effecting the homeless administered by the City and County of San Francisco; and,

WHEREAS, The primary findings showed that an estimated total of $75,791,511 in funding for direct and indirect programs affecting the homeless in FY 1993-94; and,

WHEREAS, The General Fund was the revenue source for 43.7 percent of the total and other local revenue sources contributed another 7.3 percent of the funds; ~~now, therefore, be it~~ and

WHEREAS, The term homeless includes individuals or families who lack a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence and who have a primary residence in one or more of the categories outlined in the San Francisco Local Homeless Coordinating Board's Definition of Homelessness, on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 011840 which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Office of the Budget Analyst update the 1994 report on the cost of direct services provided to the homeless population of San Francisco by completing the following:

1. Conducting a survey of City Departments to provide a description of services provided to the homeless population;

GAVIN NEWSOM, CHRIS DALY, MATT GONZALEZ, AARON PESKIN
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2. Reporting on the number of homeless persons served, and the estimated costs of providing such services;
3. Conducting a report that separately identify the estimated costs of General Assistance payments to homeless individuals; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board directs that the completion of this update shall be a priority assignment for the Budget Analyst upon completion of the Fire Department performance audit.

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011840 **Date Passed:** October 29, 2001

Motion directing the Office of the Budget Analyst to update its 1994 Report on the cost of direct services provided to the homeless population in the City and County of San Francisco; the completion of this update is a priority assignment.

October 15, 2001	Board of Supervisors — REFERRED: Audit, Labor and Government Efficiency Committee
October 29, 2001	Board of Supervisors — AMENDED
October 29, 2001	Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

41 Library
100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

File No. 011840

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on October 29, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011889 MOTION NO. M01-164

41 Library
100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

[Office of the Legislative Analyst Staff Vacancy]

Motion to authorize the Clerk of the Board to fill a vacancy in the Office of the Legislative Analyst for the position of Senior Legislative Analyst.

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors created the Office of the Legislative Analyst (the "Office") to provide independent and objective information, research and analysis to assist the legislative efforts of Board Members in addressing policy issues; and,

WHEREAS, The Office is authorized to operate with a staff of four Legislative Analysts, comprising two Senior Legislative Analysts and 2 Legislative Analysts; and

WHEREAS, since September 28, 2001, the position of Senior Legislative Analyst has been vacant following the resignation of one member of that Office; and

WHEREAS, the Office requires a full staff of four Legislative Analysts in order to adequately provide assistance to members of the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, the Finance Committee has directed the Office to review its mission and to that end, work with Board Members and their legislative aides; and

WHEREAS, the Finance Committee further directed that during this period of review, the Clerk of the Board should present a request to fill a position to the Finance Committee for its consideration; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That prior to filling the Senior Legislative Analyst vacancy, the Clerk of the Board shall obtain authorization from the Finance Committee; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That upon receipt of such authorization, the Clerk of the Board shall take all necessary steps to hire a Senior Legislative Analyst and notify members of the Board of Supervisors of such action.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 3 0 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011889 **Date Passed:** November 5, 2001

Motion to authorize the Clerk of the Board to fill a vacancy in the Office of the Legislative Analyst for the position of Senior Legislative Analyst.

November 5, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 8 - Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Sandoval
Noes: 3 - Ammiano, Peskin, Yee

File No. 011889

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on November 5, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011961 MOTION NO. M01-165

[Final Map for a 5 Unit Residential Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 1034 Filbert Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a merger and resubdivision of Lots 58, 59, 60, and 61 and all of the Common Area of 1034 Filbert Street, Assessor's Block No. 93, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 1034 Filbert Street, a Residential Condominium Project", being a merger and resubdivision of Lots 58, 59, 60, and 61 and all of the Common Area of 1034 Filbert Street, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 93, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 31st day of October, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,242, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 1034 Filbert Street, a Residential Condominium Project, and replaces the Map of 1034 Filbert Street recorded in Condominium Map Book 31 at pages 106 - 109; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated September 17, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, L.S.
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT

NOV 3 0 [illegible]

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Name of Supervisor/Committee/Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011961 **Date Passed:** November 13, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 1034 Filbert Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a merger and resubdivision of Lots 58, 59, 60, and 61 and all of the Common Area of 1034 Filbert Street, Assessor's Block No. 93, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

November 13, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011961

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on November 13, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 012025 MOTION NO. M01-166

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 3 0 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 012025 **Date Passed:** November 13, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

November 13, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 012025

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on November 13, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011599 MOTION NO. M01-167

41 Library
100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

[Final Map for a 12 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 188 South Park Avenue, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 71, Assessor's Block No. 3775, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 188 South Park Avenue, a Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 71, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3775, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 7th day of November, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,249, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 188 South Park Avenue, A Live/Work Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated March 7, 2000, and confirmed for the revised Final Map by its letter dated December 19, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, L.S.
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
DEC - 6 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 011599 **Date Passed:** November 19, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 188 South Park Avenue, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 71, Assessor's Block No. 3775, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

November 19, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 011599

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on November 19, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011896 MOTION NO. M01-168

41 Library
100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

[Disapproving decision of Planning Commission, conditional use appeal, 1101 O'Farrell St.]

Motion disapproving decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16234, approving Conditional use Application No. 2000.961C, on property located at 1101 O'Farrell Street; approving Conditional Use Application No. 2000.961C for property located at 1101 O'Farrell Street, subject to the conditions imposed by the Planning Commission in its Motion No. 16234, as modified herein, and further subject to additional conditions imposed by the Board of Supervisors as set forth herein**, and adopting findings pursuant to Planning Code Section 101.1.**

Moved, That the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 16234 adopted September 13, 2001, approving a conditional use authorization through a Planned Unit Development allowing for the demolition of an existing three-story building and the construction of a new twenty three story, 240 foot tall, approximately 308,395 square foot, 240 unit Senior Housing Facility; and the conversion of the second floor at 1001 Franklin Street from residential space to social services/support office spaces per Section 303 and 304 of the Planning Code for the property on Assessor's Block 713, Lot 33; and Assessor's Block 720, Lots 35 and 36 in an RM-4 (Residential, Mixed, High Density) Zoning District within a 240-G Height and Bulk District at:

1101 O'Farrell Street, southwest corner of O'Farrell (a.k.a. Starr King Way) and Franklin Streets, in an area know as St. Mark's Square

be and the same is disapproved; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors approves Conditional Use Application No. 2000.961C for the property located at 1101 O'Farrell Street, subject to the following conditions:

DOCUMENTS DEPT
DEC 1 8 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

1. The Board of Supervisors imposes the conditions imposed by the Planning Commission in its Motion No. 16234, except as noted in Condition 2 below.

2. Approval is not granted for the conversion of the second floor at 1001 Franklin Street (also know as Martin Luther Tower) from residential space to social services/support office spaces, thereby preserving the 10 existing units in that space.

3. The Project shall include approximately 1,800 square feet of social services/support office spaces within the envelope of the proposed Senior Housing Facility, fronting on Frankli Street, at the corner of Starr King Way.

4. The Project Sponsor will provide access to the multi-purpose space in the Senior Housing Facility on a scheduled basis for programs and community services offered by St. Mark's Church and the Urban Life Center.

5. The Project Sponsor shall establish a program, effective prior to the final occupancy of the new building, to enable senior residents of Martin Luther Tower to obtain a hot meal seven days a week. The meal would be provided by the Project Sponsor at cost. Martin Luther Tower personnel would pick up the meals and serve them to residents at Martin Luthe Tower.

6. Transportation services provided by the Project Sponsor, effective prior to the final occupancy of the new building, would be offered to seniors residing at Martin Luther Tower o a space available basis for a nominal fee.

7. The Project Sponsor will work with Martin Luther Tower to offer activities (including exercise programs, seminars and social activities) which will be made available to seniors residing at Martin Luther Tower.

8. The Project Sponsor will also work with St. Marks Church and Martin Luther Tower to develop a program of activities which can be offered at Martin Luther Tower, the Church o other locations in the neighborhood to both the residents of Martin Luther Tower and other

neighborhood seniors. Such activities could include wellness and fitness presentations, seminars, and cultural and educational events.

9. The Project Sponsor will commit to funding a direct donation of $5,000 annually to local senior-serving charities.

10. The Project Sponsor will work with a designer and lighting consultant to explore methods of augmenting the natural daylight through the rose window on the south side of the Unitarian sanctuary during the times when this window is in the shadow of the new senior tower.

11. The Project Sponsor will pay up to $5,000 per year to cover the documented increase in utility costs incurred by the Unitarian Church as a direct result of the new shadow from the new senior housing tower.

12. If the fee owner of the Ellis Street Parking Lot (Martin Luther Tower, Inc. or its successors in interest) decides to offer the Ellis Street Parking Lot for sale or ground lease, then the owner shall grant to the City and County of San Francisco, or the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, acting through the Mayor's Office of Housing ("City") a right of first offer to purchase or enter into a ground lease for the Ellis Street Parking Lot for an affordable housing development. The terms of such grant shall be included in a Right of First Offer Agreement which shall be entered into between the City and Martin Luther Tower, Inc. or its successors in interest. Under this Agreement, Martin Luther Tower, Inc., or its successors in interest, would be required to give the City at least 120 days' notice of its intent to offer the property for sale or ground lease, including the price and other proposed terms of sale or ground lease, and during such 120 day period, the City would have the first right to enter into a binding agreement (the "City Acquisition Agreement") to acquire or to ground lease the property on such terms. If the City does not enter into a City Acquisition Agreement within such 120 day period, then Martin Luther Tower, Inc. shall have the right to sell or ground

lease the Ellis Street Parking Lot to a party (a "Third Party Acquirer") other than the City on the terms offered to the City or on terms which are substantially equivalent to those offered to the City, which the parties agree shall mean that the essential economic terms of the transaction shall not change in favor of the purchaser or lessee by more than five percent (5%). If such an agreement is reached with such a Third Party Acquirer, the City's right of first offer hereunder shall terminate. If the terms of a proposed sale or ground lease with a Third Party Acquirer are not the same or substantially equivalent to those offered to the City, then such terms shall be reoffered to the City and the City shall have thirty (30) days to accept the terms as so modified and to enter into a City Acquisition Agreement or Martin Luther Tower, Inc. shall have the right to enter into an agreement with a Third Party Acquirer on such modified terms or terms substantially equivalent thereto. Martin Luther Tower, Inc. reserves the right to require that any development include approximately 10,000 square feet of space for office and programmatic use by the Church.

13. The approval of this Conditional Use Application shall become effective only upon the completion, within 90 days, of a signed Agreement between Martin Luther Tower, Inc. and the Project Sponsor embodying conditions 2 through 8, herein, and certification by the Mayor's Office of Housing that such Agreement has been received by the City and conforms to conditions 2 through 8.

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011896 **Date Passed:** December 3, 2001

Motion disapproving decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 1634 approving Conditional Use Application No. 2000.961C, on property located at 1101 O'Farrell Street, approving Conditional Use Application No. 2000.961C for property located at 1101 O'Farrell Street, subject to the conditions imposed by the Planning Commission in its Motion No. 16234 as modified herein, and further subject to additional considtions imposed by the Board of Supervisors as set forth herein, and adopting findings pursuant to Planning Code Section 101.1.

November 5, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Noes: 2 - Hall, Yee

December 3, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE

December 3, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Noes: 2 - Daly, Gonzalez

File No. 011896

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on December 3, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 011897 MOTION NO. M01-169

[Findings]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings relating to proposed Conditional use Application No. 2000.961C, on property located at 1101 O'Farrell Street, for action by the Board at its next meeting.

IT IS HEREBY MOVED, That the Clerk of the Board be and he/she is hereby directed to prepare findings relating to proposed Conditional Use Application No. 2000.961C on property located at 1101 O'Farrell Street, for action by the Board at its next meeting.

DOCUMENTS DEPT

DEC 1 8 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 011897 **Date Passed:** December 3, 2001

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings relating to proposed Conditional Use Application No. 2000.961C, on property located at 1101 O'Farrell Street, for action by the Board at its next meeting.

November 5, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Noes: 2 - Hall, Yee

December 3, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval
Noes: 1 - Daly
Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 011897

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on December 3, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 012081 MOTION NO. M01-170

[Final Map for a 201 Unit Residential / Commercial Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of Yerba Buena Lofts (855 Folsom Street), A Residential / Commercial Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 155, Assessor's Block No. 3752, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of Yerba Buena Lofts, A Residential / Commercial Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 155, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3752, comprising 21 sheets, approved the 14th day of November, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,260, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of "Yerba Buena Lofts, A Residential / Commercial Condominium Project"; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated December 8, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, L.S.
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT
DOCUMENTS DEPT
DEC 1 8 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Department of Public Works
Name of Supervisor/Committee/Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 012081 **Date Passed:** December 3, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of Yerba Buena Lofts (855 Folsom Street), A Residential / Commercial Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 155, Assessor's Block No. 3752, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

December 3, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 7 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom
Absent: 4 - Leno, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 012081

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on December 3, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 012089 MOTION NO. M01-171

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

DOCUMENTS DEPT

DEC 1 8 2001

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 012089 **Date Passed:** December 3, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

December 3, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 7 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom
Absent: 4 - Leno, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 012089

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on December 3, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 012115 MOTION M01-172

[Final Map for a 5 Unit Mixed / Use Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 563 Minna Street, a Mixed / Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 90, Assessor's Block No. 3726, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 563 Minna Street, A Mixed / Use Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 90, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3726, comprising 3 sheets, approved the 3rd day of October, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,209, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 563 Minna Street, A Mixed / Use Condominium Project.

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated April 27, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, L.S.
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT
DEC 1 8 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 012115 **Date Passed:** December 3, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 563 Minna Street, a Mixed / Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 90, Assessor's Block No. 3726, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

December 3, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 7 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom
Absent: 4 - Leno, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 012115

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on December 3, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 012119 MOTION NO. M01-173

[Final Map for an 8 Unit Residential Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 415 Bryant Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 97, Assessor's Block No. 3775, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 415 Bryant Street, a Residential Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 97, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3775, comprising 4 sheets, approved the 21st day of November, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,267, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 415 Bryant Street, A Residential Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated April 26, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED :

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, L.S.
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT
DEC 1 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 012119 **Date Passed:** December 10, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 415 Bryant Street, a Residential Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 97, Assessor's Block No. 3775, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

December 10, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 1 - Newsom

File No. 012119

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on December 10, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 012120 MOTION NO. M01-174

[Final Map for a 21 Unit Mixed Use Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 70 – 78 Ocean Avenue, a Mixed Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 26, Assessor's Block No. 3207, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 70 – 78 Ocean Avenue, A Mixed Use Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 26, Portion of Assessor's Block No. 3207, comprising 5 sheets, approved the 5th day of December, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,295, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 70 – 78 Ocean Avenue, A Mixed Use Condominium Project.

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letters dated January 6, 2000 and February 3, 2000, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings.

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, - L.S.
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT
DEC [illegible] 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 012120 **Date Passed:** December 10, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 70 - 78 Ocean Avenue, a Mixed Use Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 26, Assessor's Block No. 3207, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

December 10, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 1 - Newsom

File No. 012120

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on December 10, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 012162 MOTION NO. M01-175

[Final Map for a 20 Unit Live/Work Condominium Project]

Motion approving Final Map of 2407 - 2411 Harrison Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 33, Assessor's Block No. 4084, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

MOVED, That the certain map entitled "Map of 2407 – 2411 Harrison Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project", being a subdivision of Lot 33 Portion of Assessor's Block No. 4084, comprising 6 sheets, approved the 5th day of December, 2001 by Department of Public Works Order No. 173,300, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the Official Map of 2407 – 2411 Harrison Street, A Live/Work Condominium Project; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the City Planning Commission, by its letter dated March 13, 2001, that the project intended by the map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That approval of this map is also contingent upon compliance by the subdivider with all applicable provisions of the San Francisco Subdivision Code and amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin M. Lee
Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

John R. Martin, L.S.
Division Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT
DEC 3 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 012162 **Date Passed:** December 10, 2001

Motion approving Final Map of 2407 - 2411 Harrison Street, a Live/Work Condominium Project, being a subdivision of Lot 33, Assessor's Block No. 4084, and adopting findings pursuant to City Planning Code Section 101.1.

December 10, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 1 - Newsom

File No. 012162

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on December 10, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 012179 MOTION NO. M01-176

[Legislative Analyst Request.]

Motion asking the Legislative Analyst to prepare a report comparing the best practices and/or models on Cash Assistance Programs utilized in Alameda County and surrounding Bay Area counties.

MOVED, That the Office of the Legislative Analyst prepare a report on the best practices and/or models on Cash Assistance Programs utilized in Alameda County and surrounding counties; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That this report be generated within 90 days of this request.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
DEC 31 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisor Gavin Newsom
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 012179 **Date Passed:** December 10, 2001

Motion asking the Legislative Analyst to prepare a report comparing the best practices and/or models, on Cash Assistance Programs utilized in Alameda County and surrounding Bay Area counties.

December 10, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 6 - Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Yee
Noes: 4 - Ammiano, Daly, Peskin, Sandoval
Absent: 1 - Newsom

File No. 012179

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on December 10, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 012178　　　　MOTION NO. M01-177

[Legislative Analyst Request.]

Motion asking the Legislative Analyst to prepare a report comparing the best practices and/or models, otherwise known as Intermediary Care Facilities, used in other major cities in the treatment of public inebriates, including but not limited to the cities of Portland, Oregon; Seattle, Washington; and Denver, Colorado.

MOVED, That the Office of the Legislative Analyst research and analyze the best practices and/or models, otherwise known as Intermediary Care Facilities, used in other major cities in the United States in the treatment of public inebriates; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That this report shall include research on current models being used including but not limited to Portland, Oregon; Seattle, Washington; and Denver, Colorado; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That this report be generated within 90 days of this request.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
DEC 3 1 2001
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 012178 **Date Passed:** December 10, 2001

Motion asking the Legislative Analyst to prepare a report comparing the best practices and/or models, otherwise known a Intermediary Care Facilities, used in other major cities in the treatment of public inebriates, including but not limited to the cities of Portland, Oregon; Seattle, Washington; and Denver, Colorado.

December 10, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 1 - Newsom

File No. 012178

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on December 10, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole
12/10/01

FILE NO. 012181 MOTION NO. M01-178

[Adopting findings related to the conditional use appeal on property located at 1101 O'Farrell Street.]

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the Planning Commission's approval of Conditional Use Application No. 2000.961C (which authorized the demolition of an existing three-story building and the construction of a new twenty-three story, 240 foot tall, approximately 308,395 square-foot, 240 unit Senior Housing Facility, and the conversion of the second floor at 1001 Franklin Street from residential space to social services/support office spaces per Sections 303 and 304 of the Planning Code) in an RM-4 (Residential, Mixed, High Density) Zoning District and 240-G Height and Bulk District on property located at 1101 O'Farrell, southwest corner of O'Farrell (a.k.a. Starr King Way) and Franklin Streets, in an area know as St. Mark's Square (Lot 33 in Assessor's Block 713, and Lots 35 and 36 in Assessor's Block 720).

Six members of the Board of Supervisors, as provided for in Section 308.1 (b) of the San Francisco Planning Code, filed a timely appeal on October 15, 2001, protesting the approval by the Planning Commission (Motion No. 16234 adopted September 13, 2001) of a conditional use authorization (No. 2000.961C) through a Planned Unit Development allowing for the demolition of an existing three-story building and the construction of a new twenty three-story, 240 foot tall, approximately 308,395 square foot, 240 unit Senior Housing Facility and the conversion of the second floor at 1001 Franklin Street from residential space to social services/support office spaces per Sections 303 and 304 of the Planning Code for the property on Assessor's Block 713, Lot 33, and Assessor's Block 720, Lots 35 and 36 in an RM-4 (Residential, Mixed, High Density) Zoning District within a 240-G Height and Bulk District at 1101 O'Farrell Street, southwest corner of O'Farrell (a.k.a. Starr King Way) and Franklin Streets, in an area know as St. Mark's Square.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
DEC 11 2001
SAN FRANCISCO

The public hearing before the Board of Supervisors on said appeal was scheduled for November 5, 2001. On November 5 the Board conducted a duly noticed hearing on the appeal from the Planning Commission's approval referred to in the first paragraph of this motion. On November 5 the Board heard public comment regarding the appeal and decided to continue the public hearing to the meeting of December 3, 2001, in order to allow for additional public comment before the Board rendered its decision on the appeal. On December 3, 2001, the Board considered the appeal again, and following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board disapproved the decision of the Planning Commission (Planning Commission Motion No. 16234), and approved the issuance of requested Conditional Use Application No. 2000.961C subject to conditions imposed by the Planning Commission, except as modified by the Board, and subject to additional conditions imposed by the Board of Supervisors, those conditions being different from those imposed by the Planning Commission in several respects.

In reviewing the appeal of the approval of the requested conditional use authorization, this Board reviewed and considered the written record before the Board and all of the public comments made in support of and opposed to the appeal.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein, as though fully set forth, the findings made by the Planning Commission in its Motion No. 16234, dated September 13, 2001, except as indicated below.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors took notice that a Preliminary Negative Declaration for the Project was published on May 26, 2001. The Environmental Review Officer found that the Project could not have a significant effect on the environment, with the mitigation measures included as part of the Project. Two appeals were filed in connection with the Negative Declaration, which were considered by the Planning

Commission at its public hearing on September 13, 2001, prior to consideration of the Project itself by the Commission. The Commission upheld the Negative Declaration finding of the Department and the Negative Declaration became final on September 13, 2001. On December 3, 2001, the Environmental Review Officer issued an Addendum to Negative Declaration which recited proposed revisions to the Project that were considered subsequent to the issuance of the Final Negative Declaration. The Addendum concluded: that the conclusions reached in the Final Negative Declaration remained valid and that no supplemental environmental review was required; that the proposed revisions to the Project would not cause new significant impacts not identified in the Final Negative Declaration; that no new mitigation measures would be necessary to reduce significant impacts; that no changes have occurred with respect to circumstances surrounding the proposed Project that would cause significant environmental impacts to which the Project would contribute considerably; that no new information had become available that showed that the Project would cause significant environmental impacts; and, therefore, no supplemental environmental review was required beyond the Addendum. The Board finds that there have been no substantial Project changes, no substantial changes in Project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the Final Negative Declaration, as amended by the Addendum.

FURTHER MOVED, That the conditional use authorization conditions approved by the Board of Supervisors on December 3 included:

1. The Board of Supervisors imposed the conditions imposed by the Planning Commission in its Motion No. 16234, except as noted in Condition 2 below.

2. Approval was not granted for the conversion of the second floor at 1001 Franklin Street (also know as Martin Luther Tower) from residential space to social services/support office spaces, thereby preserving the 10 existing units in that space.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
DEC
SAN FRA

3. The Project shall include approximately 1,800 square feet of social services/support office spaces within the envelope of the proposed Senior Housing Facility, fronting on Franklin Street, at the corner of Starr King Way.

4. The Project Sponsor will provide access to the multi-purpose space in the Senior Housing Facility on a scheduled basis for programs and community services offered by St. Mark's Church and the Urban Life Center.

5. The Project Sponsor shall establish a program, effective prior to the final occupancy of the new building, to enable senior residents of Martin Luther Tower to obtain a hot meal seven days a week. The Project Sponsor will provide the meal at cost. Martin Luther Tower personnel will pick-up the meals and serve them to residents at Martin Luther Tower.

6. Transportation services will be provided by the Project Sponsor, effective prior to the final occupancy of the new building, and will be offered to seniors residing at Martin Luthe Tower on a space available basis for a nominal fee.

7. The Project Sponsor will work with Martin Luther Tower to offer activities (including exercise programs, seminars and social activities) which will be made available to seniors residing at Martin Luther Tower.

8. The Project Sponsor will also work with St. Marks Church and Martin Luther Tower to develop a program of activities which can be offered at Martin Luther Tower, the Church or at other locations in the neighborhood to both the residents of Martin Luther Tower and other neighborhood seniors. Such activities could include wellness and fitness presentations, seminars, and cultural and educational events.

9. The Project Sponsor will commit to funding a direct donation of $5,000 annually to local senior-serving charities.

10. The Project Sponsor will work with a designer and lighting consultant to explore methods of augmenting the natural daylight through the rose window on the south side of the

Unitarian sanctuary during the times when this window is in the shadow of the new senior tower.

11. The Project Sponsor will pay up to $5,000 per year to cover the documented increase in utility costs incurred by the Unitarian Church as a direct result of the new shadow from the new senior housing tower.

12. If the fee owner of the Ellis Street Parking Lot (Martin Luther Tower, Inc. or its successors in interest) decides to offer the Ellis Street Parking Lot for sale or ground lease then the owner shall grant to the City and County of San Francisco, or the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, acting through the Mayor's Office of Housing ("City") a right of first offer to purchase or enter into a ground lease for the Ellis Street Parking Lot for an affordable housing development. The terms of such grant shall be included in a Right of First Offer Agreement which shall be entered into between the City and Martin Luther Tower, Inc. or its successors in interest. Under this Agreement, Martin Luther Tower, Inc., or its successors in interest, would be required to give the City at least 120 days' notice of its intent to offer the property for sale or ground lease, including the price and other proposed terms of sale or ground lease, and during such 120 day period, the City would have the first right to enter into a binding agreement (the "City Acquisition Agreement") to acquire or to ground lease the property on such terms. If the City does not enter into a City Acquisition Agreement within such 120 day period, then Martin Luther Tower, Inc. shall have the right to sell or ground lease the Ellis Street Parking Lot to a party (a "Third Party Acquirer") other than the City on the terms offered to the City or on terms which are substantially equivalent to those offered to the City, which the parties agree shall mean that the essential economic terms of the transaction shall not change in favor of the purchaser or lessee by more than five percent (5%). If such an agreement is reached with such a Third Party Acquirer, the City's right of first offer hereunder shall terminate. If the terms of a proposed sale or ground lease with a Third

Party Acquirer are not the same or substantially equivalent to those offered to the City, then such terms shall be reoffered to the City and the City shall have thirty (30) days to accept the terms as so modified and to enter into a City Acquisition Agreement or Martin Luther Tower, Inc. shall have the right to enter into an agreement with a Third Party Acquirer on such modified terms or terms substantially equivalent thereto. Martin Luther Tower, Inc. reserves the right to require than any development include approximately 10,000 square feet of space for office and programmatic use by the Church.

13. The approval of this Conditional Use Application shall become effective only upon the completion, within 90 days, of a signed Agreement between Martin Luther Tower, Inc, and the Project Sponsor embodying conditions 2 through 8, herein, and certification by the Mayor's Office of Housing that such Agreement has been received by the City and conforms to conditions 2 through 8.

FURTHER MOVED, The Board finds that the Project should be altered to provide maximum housing opportunities for seniors and supportive community services in the Senior housing Facility in light of the recognized need for additional housing for seniors. The Board adds this finding to the Planning Commission's findings 5, 6 and 8(c).

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that the Project will, at certain times, cast a shadow over the rose window on the south side of the Unitarian sanctuary, and that the Project Sponsor has agreed to work with a designer and lighting consultant to explore methods of augmenting the natural daylight through the rose window in mitigation of the impact of shadows on the rose window.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board finds that the revised Project, as approved by the Board, will meet the requirements of Planning Code Section 303. The revised Project will provide a development that is necessary or desirable for, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community, and that such use will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity, or injurious to property, improvements or potential development in the vicinity, and that such use will not adversely affect the General Plan. The Project as approved by the Planning Commission, contrary to its findings, would not have met the requirements of Planning Code Section 303, due to its lower number of housing units, inadequate provision of supportive community and senior services, and the adverse impacts caused by the Project shadowing the rose window of the south side of the Unitarian sanctuary at certain times. The Findings of the Planning Commission referred to above are hereby amended, and adopted by the Board as amended, to reflect that the revised Project, as approved by the Board, will increase the availability of senior housing and supportive community and senior services, and will provide mitigation for the affects caused by the shadowing of the rose window on the south side of the Unitarian sanctuary. The revised Project will be compatible with recent development in the community.

FURTHER MOVED, That on balance, the Project, as revised by the Board of Supervisors, is consistent with the Objectives and Policies of the General Plan, and is consistent with the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors, after carefully balancing the competing public and private interests, disapproved the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion 16234, dated September 13, 2001, and approved the issuance of the Conditional Use Application, subject to the conditions imposed by the Board on December 3, 2001 and referred to earlier in this motion.

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 012181 **Date Passed:** December 10, 2001

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the Planning Commission's approval of Conditional Use Application No. 2000.961C (which authorized the demolition of an existing three-story building and the construction of a new twenty-three story, 240 foot tall, approximately 308,395 square-foot, 240 unit Senior Housing Facility, and the conversion of the second floor at 1001 Franklin Street from residential space to social services/support office spaces per Sections 303 and 304 of the Planning Code) in an RM-4 (Residential, Mixed, High Density) Zoning District and 240-G Height and Bulk District on property located at 1101 O'Farrell, southwest corner of O'Farrell (a.k.a. Starr King Way) and Franklin Streets, in an area know as St. Mark's Square (Lot 33 in Assessor's Block 713, and Lots 35 and 36 in Assessor's Block 720).

December 5, 2001	Board of Supervisors — REFERRED: Board of Supervisors
December 10, 2001	Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE
December 10, 2001	Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee Noes: 1 - Daly Absent: 1 - Newsom

File No. 012181

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on December 10, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole
In Committee. 12/12/01

FILE NO. 012168 **MOTION NO. M01-179**

41 Library
100 Larkin Street Govt Information Center

[Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition C, a Charter Amendment regarding Noncitizens on City Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Election Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition C, a charter amendment to amend Section 4.101 to permit noncitizens to serve on appointive boards, commissions, and advisory bodies and advisory bodies, and to clarify existing provisions allowing nonelectors to serve on appointive boards, commissions, and advisory bodies; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, March 5, 2002.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN - 9 2002
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT _X_ **FOR** or __ **AGAINST** PROPOSITION _C_ FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON MARCH 5, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO.

Style Notes B, *I*, or ***BI***	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
	Proposition C will ensure that qualified individuals are appointed to San	11
	Francisco commissions and task forces.	4
	City commissions and task forces are created to advise the Board of Supervisors	13
	and the Mayor on important public policy issues such as housing, health care,	13
	and civil rights. The individuals on these commissions are selected to serve	12
	because of their expertise in a specific field and to provide valuable input on a	15
	wide array of legislation.	4
	Currently, the San Francisco City Charter allows the Board of Supervisors, and	11
	other appointing authorities, such as the Mayor, to appoint only electors who	12
	reside in San Francisco. Exemptions can be made for 1) someone under the age	13-
	of 18, or 2) someone who is not a San Francisco resident. Generally, exemptions	13
	are made if an applicant would provide expertise or a unique perspective not	13
	otherwise available.	2
	This Charter amendment will add citizenship to the list of requirements an	12
	appointing authority may waive, by majority vote. The citizenship requirement	10
	may be waived for an applicant where the perspective of a noncitizen may be	14
	deemed essential and there is no equally qualified citizen applicant available.	11
	San Francisco has long been a beacon for immigrant communities. Currently,	10
	noncitizens work in our City government and in our Municipal court system.	12
	They also pay our local, state and federal taxes. However, noncitizens are not	13
	able to advise San Francisco policymakers in a formal manner. This Charter	11
	amendment will further San Francisco's tradition of encouraging civic	8-
	involvement from its immigrant communities and give the Board of Supervisors	11
	the power, when they deem appropriate, to waive citizenship requirements for	11
	advisory bodies.	2
	Vote Yes on Proposition C.	5
	San Francisco Board of Supervisors	4
	Supervisor Ammiano Supervisor Daly Supervisor Gonzalez Supervisor Hall Supervisor Leno Supervisor Maxwell Supervisor McGoldrick Supervisor Newsom Supervisor Peskin Supervisor Sandoval Supervisor Yee	22
	[continue text on next page) **Total # of Words =**	292

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. ______________________ ______________________

Printed Name Signature and Date

______________________ S.F. 941 ______

Title to Appear on Argument Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

City and County of San Francisco
Tails
Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 012168 **Date Passed:** December 17, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition C, a Charter Amendment regarding Noncitizens on City Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies.

December 17, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 012168

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on December 17, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole
In Committee. 12/12/01

FILE NO. 012170

Amendment of the Whole
12/17/01

MOTION NO. M01-180

[Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition A, a Charter Amendment regarding Elective Officials.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Election Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition A, a charter amendment to repeal the current Section 13.102 and add a new Section 13.102 to provide for the election of the Mayor, Sheriff, District Attorney, City Attorney, Treasurer, Assessor-Recorder, Public Defender, and members of the Board of Supervisors using a ranked-choice, or "instant run-off" ballot, to require that City voting systems be compatible with a ranked-choice system, and setting date and conditions for the implementation; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, March 5, 2002.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
JAN - [illegible] 2002
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

Declaration by Ar rs of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT X FOR or __ AGAINST PROPOSITION A FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON MARCH 5, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO.

Style Notes B, *I*, or ***BI***	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
	Proposition A will allow San Francisco to elect candidates supported by a	11
	popular majority without needing expensive, low-turnout December runoff	9
	elections. This will	3
B	**SAVE $2 MILLION TAX DOLLARS PER YEAR,**	7
B	**RAISE VOTER TURNOUT** and	4
B	**REDUCE NEGATIVE CAMPAIGNING**	3
	Last December's runoff had a voter turnout of only FIFTEEN PERCENT -- the	12
	lowest in San Francisco's history. December is an awful time for an election.	13
	Voters are busy with holiday plans, and don't even realize the runoff is	13
	happening. Voter turnout usually declines.	5
	Runoffs are costly to taxpayers. The December runoff for city attorney cost	12
	nearly $2 MILLION, an average of $29 per voter. This money could be better	14
	spent on other city services threatened with cutbacks in our ailing economy.	12
	Previous runoff elections have seen excessive negative campaigning and "hit"	10
	pieces. Such mudslinging is common when the field is reduced to two	12
	candidates, and candidates can win by attacking their lone opponent rather than	12
	attracting voters.	2
	The purpose of the runoff—to ensure majority support for winners—is a good	14
	one, but huge declines in voter turnout, high costs, and negative campaigning	12
	undermine this worthy goal.	4
	Proposition A implements instant runoff voting to fulfill the goal of electing	12
	majority winners without the inconvenience of a second election.	9
	The "instant" runoff works much like December's "delayed" runoff. Voters	10
	indicate their favorite candidate, just like now. But at the same time they also	14
	rank their runoff choices, 1, 2, 3. This eliminates the need for a separate runoff	15
	election.	1
	By doing it in one election, we produce winners who have a majority of the vote	16
	and save millions of tax dollars. And we avoid the considerable headaches of a	14
	second election during the busy holiday season.	7
B	Proposition A will make our elections more **EFFICIENT** and **LESS**	10
B	**EXPENSIVE.**	1
B	**SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**	4
	[continue text on next page) **Total # of Words =**	297

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. ____________________ ____________________

Printed Name Signature and Date

____________________ S.F. 941

Title to Appear on Argument Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

Page ____ of ____ attach additional pages as needed

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

File Number: 012170 **Date Passed:** December 17, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition A, a Charter Amendment regarding Elective Officials.

December 17, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

December 17, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 7 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick
Noes: 4 - Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 012170

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on December 17, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole
In Committee. 12/12/01

FILE NO. 012172

MOTION NO. M01-181

[Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition E, a Charter Amendment regarding Domestic Partner Benefits.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Election Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition E, a charter amendment to amend Appendix 8.500-2, relating to domestic partner benefits; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, March 5, 2002.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
JAN - [illegible]
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT _X_ **FOR** or __ **AGAINST** PROPOSITION _E_ FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON MARCH 5, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO.

Style Notes B, *I*, or *BI*	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
B	**Proposition E is fair.** When the original Domestic Partners Charter	10
	Amendment was passed in November 1994, a few City retirees with domestic	12
	partners were overlooked. Those retirees met the one-year domestic partnership	11
	requirement when they retired but could not meet the requirement to register	12
	with the City Retirement System. This Charter Amendment simply allows those	11
	individuals, who retired before November 1995 and had domestic partners, to	11
	now meet that requirement.	4
B	**Proposition E has strict safeguards.** The proposition will apply only to	11
	retirees who meet the full requirements for domestic partnership within San	12
	Francisco, had registered the domestic partnership for at least one year before	11
	retirement and who remain domestic partners for the remainder of the retiree's	12
	life. The benefit is therefore only for a very few retirees who met all of the	16
	requirements before they retired except for the one they could not meet.	12
B	**Proposition E will have negligible cost impact on the City.** The Retirement	12
	System has estimated that only a few individuals will qualify for this benefit and	14
	that there will be no significant associated costs.	8
B	**Proposition E is reasonable and equitable.** This proposal is really "clean-up"	12
	legislation that treats all retirees that qualify for domestic partnership retirement	11
	benefits in an equal and fair way.	7
	We ask that you support this small but fair benefit change.	11
	VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION E.	5
	Submitted by the Board of Supervisors.	6
	[continue text on next page) **Total # of Words =**	231

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. ____________________ ____________________
Printed Name Signature and Date
____________________ S.F. 941______
Title to Appear on Argument Address

2. ____________________ ____________________
Printed Name Signature and Date
____________________ S.F. 941______
Title to Appear on Argument Address

3. ____________________ ____________________
Printed Name Signature and Date
____________________ S.F. 941______
Title to Appear on Argument Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 012172 **Date Passed:** December 17, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's argument in favor of Proposition E, a Charter Amendment regarding Domestic Partner Benefits.

December 17, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 012172

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on December 17, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amended of the Whole
In Committee. 12/12/01

FILE NO. 012174 **MOTION NO. M01-182**

[Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition D, a Charter Amendment regarding Appointments to the Planning Commission and the Board of Appeals.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Election Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition D, a charter amendment to amend Section 4.105, 4.106 and 15.105 to provide that appointments to the Planning Commission and the Board of Appeals shall be shared by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, March 5, 2002.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN - 3 2002
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT _X_ FOR or __ AGAINST PROPOSITION _D_ FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON MARCH 5, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO.

Style Notes B, *I*, or *BI*	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
	VOTE YES ON D TO RESTORE CHECKS AND BALANCES TO SAN FRANCISCO'S PLANNING PROCESS.	11 2
	When development is put in the hands of lobbyists, special interests and political appointees, our city suffers. Neighborhoods and communities are damaged. Small businesses are displaced. Historic treasures are destroyed. Over time, as San Francisco loses its character, our economic lifeblood – tourism – is threatened.	12 9 9 10 3
	Proposition D restores balance and accountability to local development decisions. By democratizing appointments to the Planning Commission and Board of Appeals, Proposition D gives citizens, neighborhoods and communities more meaningful participation in shaping the future of San Francisco.	9 9 9 10 0
	Proposition D will make the appointment process for members of the Planning Commission and the Board of Appeals more open and accountable. It will continue to allow the Mayor to have a majority of the appointments to these bodies, but will share a minority of the seats with the legislative branch of government. All seats will be subject to public confirmation process at the Board of Supervisors to ensure that appointees are qualified and that neighborhood interests get representation in land use decisions. Once appointed, commissioners will be protected from political pressure to favor developers by allowing dismissal of appointees only in cases of misconduct.	11 12 15 14 12 11 10 11 9
	Time and time again, San Franciscans have watched in horror as lobbyists, developers and campaign contributors are given unchecked power over neighbors and citizens. The power to make crucial land use decisions should not remain in the hands of the few.	11 9 12 9
	Please join the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods, representing over # San Francisco neighborhood organizations, in restoring balance and accountability to city government.	8 9 4
	Supervisor Jake McGoldrick Supervisor Tom Ammiano Supervisor Chris Daly Supervisor Matt Gonzalez Supervisor Tony Hall Supervisor Mark Leno Supervisor Sophie Maxwell Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Gerardo Sandoval	27
	[continue text on next page] **Total # of Words =**	287

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. ______________________ ______________________

Printed Name Signature and Date

______________________ S.F. 941

Title to Appear on Argument Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 012174 **Date Passed:** December 17, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition D, a Charter Amendment regarding Appointments to the Planning Commission and the Board of Appeals.

December 17, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval
Noes: 2 - Newsom, Yee

File No. 012174

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on December 17, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Amendment of the Whole
In Committee. 12/12/01

FILE NO. 012176 **MOTION NO. M01-183**

[Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition B, a Charter Amendment regarding Cost of Living Benefits for Retirees.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Election Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition B, a charter amendment to amend Appendix A8.526-1, relating to supplemental cost of living benefits for retirees and their survivors; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, March 5, 2002.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
JAN - 9 2002
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT

Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT _X_ **FOR** or __ **AGAINST** PROPOSITION _B_ FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON **MARCH 5, 2001** HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO

Style Notes B, *I*, or *BI*	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
B	**Our City retirees are facing a financial crisis!** Over 14,000 City retirees,	12
	many who are over 80 years of age with average pensions of less than $1000 per	16
	month, face cuts of up to $700 and more per year from their current pensions	15
	unless Proposition B is approved.	5
B	**Proposition B doesn't require the City to pay any additional tax dollars for**	13
B	**many years** because over $1 billion in surplus funding exists in the Retirement	13
	System to meet pension obligations. Proposition B keeps City pensions in line	11
	with other government jurisdictions. Proposition B does not increase current	10
	pensions. Proposition B will avoid the prospect that many older retirees will	12
	suffer a severe decline in the pensions they currently receive.	10
B	**Proposition B is fair both to retirees and to the City**. It protects elderly	14
	retirees from the severe financial hardship that will result from a significant	12
	decrease in their current pension benefits. These cuts for many pensioners on	12
	fixed incomes can only increase the serious problems that the elderly face in	13
	dealing with rising costs of daily necessities, trying to find a way to pay for	15
	costly drugs and medical expenses, and having their HMOs withdrawing	10
	medical services. And it doesn't cost the City additional tax dollars!	11
	Vote Yes on Proposition B. It is fair to the City. It is fair to the City's retirees.	18
	Submitted by Supervisor Tom Ammiano	35
	Supervisor Chris Daly	
	Supervisor Matt Gonzalez	
	Supervisor Tony Hall	
	Supervisor Mark Leno	
	Supervisor Sophie Maxwell	
	Supervisor Jake McGoldrick	
	Supervisor Gavin Newsom	
	Supervisor Aaron Peskin	
	Supervisor Gerardo Sandoval	
	Supervisor Leland Yee	
	[continue text on next page) **Total # of Words =**	257

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1. ______________________ ______________________

Printed Name Signature and Date

______________________ S.F. 941

Title to Appear on Argument Address

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 012176 **Date Passed:** December 17, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition B, a Charter Amendment regarding Cost of Living Benefits for Retirees.

December 17, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 012176

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on December 17, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 012213 MOTION: M01-184

[Authorizing Submission of Rebuttal Ballot Arguments]

Motion authorizing Supervisor Gonzalez to submit a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition A, Supervisor McGoldrick to submit a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition B, Supervisor Gonzalez to submit a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition C, Supervisor McGoldrick to submit a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition D and Supervisor Leno to submit a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition E on the March 2002 ballot.

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby authorize Supervisor Gonzalez to submit a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition A on the March 2002 election on behalf of the Board of Supervisors; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize Supervisor McGoldrick to submit a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition B on the March 2002 election on behalf of the Board of Supervisors; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize Supervisor Gonzalez to submit a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition C on the March 2002 election on behalf of the Board of Supervisors; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize Supervisor McGoldrick to submit a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition D on the March 2002 election on behalf of the Board of Supervisors; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize Supervisor Leno to submit a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition E on the March 2002 election on behalf of the Board of Supervisors.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN - 9 2002
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

SUPERVISOR AMMIANO

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 012213 **Date Passed:**

Motion authorizing Supervisor Gonzalez to submit a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition A, Supervisor McGoldrick to submit a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition B, Supervisor Gonzalez to submit a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition C, Supervisor McGoldrick to submit a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Proposition D and Supervisor Leno to submit a rebuttal ballot argument in favor of Propositon E on the March 2002 ballot.

File No. 012213

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

FILE NO. 012216 MOTION NO. M01-185

[Declaration of Emergency]

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

WHEREAS, On March 15, 1993, the Mayor declared a local emergency to exist in connection with the AIDS epidemic and the high rate of HIV infection among injection drug users and the corresponding high rate of transmission of the disease; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor directed the Department of Public Health to take immediate steps to implement a needle exchange program in order to prevent the further spread of HIV infection; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution concurring in the declaration of emergency and in the Mayor's directive to the Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 8630 requires the Board of Supervisors to review the need for continuing the local emergency at least every 14 days; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor has determined that the conditions that gave rise to the need for the declaration of emergency still exist and that the need for the declaration of emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby concurs in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
JAN - 9 2002
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom, Yee, Leno, Daly, Peskin, McGoldrick, Maxwell
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 012216 **Date Passed:** December 17, 2001

Motion concurring in the determination of the Mayor for the continued need for the declaration of emergency in connection with the needle exchange program.

December 17, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 012216

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on December 17, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

Utica/Omaha, NE
Houchen
Bindery Ltd